A Platform for Change

Final Report

An integrated transportation strategy for the Greater Dublin Area 2000 to 2016

Dublin Transportation Office
November 2001
# The Contents

1. The Background 3
2. The Vision 10
3. The Challenge 12
4. The Technical Tasks 16
5. The Strategy 50
6. The Costs 68
7. The Benefits 70
8. The Programme 75
9. The Next Steps 80

Appendix: DTO Committees
1. The Background

The Dublin Transportation Office (DTO) was set up by Government in 1995, and was given primary responsibility for strategic transportation planning in the Greater Dublin Area.

The genesis of the DTO was in the Final Report of the Dublin Transportation Initiative (DTI), which was published in August 1995. The DTI had three critical objectives:

- the production of a long-term transportation strategy (to 2011) for the Greater Dublin Area;
- the preparation of a medium-term Investment and Implementation Programme for the period 1994-1999 drawn from the recommended Strategy;
- the putting in place of a continuous transportation planning process.

The Government decided that the DTI Strategy should provide the planning framework for the future development of the transport network in the Greater Dublin Area.

One of the key recommendations of the DTI Final Report was that the DTI Strategy should form the first phase of an ongoing transportation planning process. The Dublin Transportation Office was set up in 1995 to carry on that transportation planning process. This report provides a description of the first updating by the DTO of the original DTI Strategy and outlines the resulting DTO Strategy. An outline of the DTO Strategy was published in September 2000 under the title "A Platform for Change". This report provides a full description of the DTO Strategy and the process by which it was developed.

Terms of Reference

The DTO Steering Committee agreed the Terms of Reference for the Updating of the DTI Strategy on 22 December 1998 and set up a Steering Group to oversee the development of the strategy. The Terms of Reference set the following tasks:

- review the definition of the study area;
- review the Vision Statement;
- review the fundamental policy principles underlying the DTI Strategy;
- complete the analysis of multi-modal travel surveys and develop a full set of origin-destination matrices for 1997;
- define a land use / socio-economic / demographic scenario consistent with the Strategic Planning Guidelines against which to assess the updated Strategy;
- review the testing methodology;
- refine the performance indicators;
- develop alternative transportation options for testing;
- test and evaluate the alternative transportation options;
- develop a draft Updated DTO Strategy for 2000-2016;
- derive a medium term investment and implementation programme;
- review institutional arrangements for implementation of the updated strategy.

The Study Area

The original DTI Study Area comprised the “journey to work” area for Dublin. It covered the city and county of Dublin and parts of counties Meath, Kildare and Wicklow. In February 1999, the DTO Steering Committee decided to broaden the study area to include the entire Greater Dublin Area. This comprises the administrative areas of Dublin Corporation and of the county councils of Fingal, South Dublin and Dún Laoghaire-Rathdown (the Dublin Region), and the counties of Kildare, Meath and Wicklow (the Mid-East Region). This enlarged study area reflects the increasing influence of Dublin on the surrounding counties, the extending journey to work patterns and the expansion of employment opportunities to these areas. It is also the same area as that covered by the Strategic Planning Guidelines.
Fundamental Policy Background

The DTO Strategy set out in this report has been designed to support and complement the strategic land use planning framework set out in the Strategic Planning Guidelines for the Greater Dublin Area, published in February 1999 and reviewed in April 2000.

The Strategy also takes account of:

- the transport investment proposals in the National Development Plan 2000 to 2006;
- the development plans of the local authorities;
- the National Sustainable Development Strategy;
- the Green Paper on Sustainable Energy;
- the National Climate Change Strategy;
- the Dublin Suburban Rail Strategic Review;
- the Bus Network Strategy Appraisal for the Greater Dublin Area;
- the National Road Needs Study;
- the Eastern By-Pass Strategic Study;
- the ESRI Medium Term Review;
- the views of representative organisations and interested parties.

Strategic Planning Guidelines and Review

The Strategic Planning Guidelines for the Greater Dublin Area were published in February 1999. They were prepared for the seven Local Authorities in the Greater Dublin Area and the Department of the Environment and Local Government in conjunction with the Dublin and Mid-East Regional Authorities and were drafted by a team of consultants led by Brady Shipman Martin. They have been accepted as Government policy and are given statutory recognition under the Planning and Development Act, 2000. The Guidelines provide a broad planning strategy for the area to 2011, including recommendations on the general location of residential, commercial and industrial development.

The overall strategy in the Guidelines envisages the consolidation of future growth into a limited number of locations. The strategy distinguishes between the Metropolitan Area and the Hinterland Area (see figure 1.1), but in both areas the strategy seeks and facilitates a better balance between public and private transport.

In the Metropolitan Area, development will be consolidated in line with the principles of sustainable development, to accommodate a greater population than at present. One of the principal reasons for consolidating development in the Metropolitan Area is to achieve a more compact city, which will reduce the amount of travel and will create the conditions where improved public transport can provide a viable alternative to the private car. A more compact city also encourages the most sustainable travel modes of walking and cycling. The key transportation objective is, therefore, to develop an integrated network of public transport services throughout the Metropolitan Area, together with a well managed road network, an extensive cycle network and improved walking routes and pedestrian facilities.

In the Hinterland Area development will be concentrated into primary ‘development centres’, which will be located on existing or future transportation corridors at Naas-Newbridge-Kilcullen, Navan, Balbriggan and Wicklow, with additional secondary centres at Arklow, Athy, Kildare-Monasterevin and Rush-Lusk. In the longer-term, these development centres will become self-sufficient, which involves the development of a strong employment and service base in each development centre.

The Guidelines’ strategic forecasts of population, residential location and employment location constitute the land use scenario for the development of the DTO Strategy.

In April 2000, the first annual Review and Update of the Strategic Planning Guidelines was published. The Review revised upwards the forecasts of population, household and employment given in the Guidelines. It concluded that this further strengthens the need to implement the strategy of consolidation, with its associated emphasis on public transport. The alternative would be unsustainable and unacceptable sprawl, accompanied by intolerable traffic congestion. It is now especially important that a commitment be made to the location and character of the rail based elements of the public transport system, as these will determine the detailed location of future land uses and will reduce the pressure for sprawl.
The Development Plans of the Local Authorities

Each local authority in the DTO area publishes its own development plan, in accordance with the Local Government (Planning and Development) Act, 1963 (which has been replaced by the Planning and Development Act, 2000). The development plans set out the development policies of the local authorities. In particular, they provide detailed information about the location of residential, retail and employment developments. This information was an important input to the technical task of forecasting the demand for travel, during the development of the Strategy. They also show the alignments of proposed transportation developments such as proposed roads, cycle routes and LUAS lines.

The National Development Plan 2000-2006

The National Development Plan 2000-2006 is a coherent development strategy, which lays the foundation for Ireland’s continuing economic and social development. A key element of the Plan is a major investment in economic and social infrastructure. Of relevance to the DTO are elements of the Economic and Social Infrastructure Operational Programme under the headings National Roads and Transport in the Greater Dublin Area.

The investment in National Primary Roads includes the development to motorway/high quality dual carriageway standard of the routes from Dublin to the Border (M1), to Galway (N4/N6), to Limerick (N7), to Cork (N8) and to Waterford (N9).

There will be major improvements on other National Primary Routes including those from Dublin to Monaghan (N2), to Enniskillen (N3), and to Rosslare (N11) and the completion of the Dublin C-Ring (M50) and the Dublin Port Tunnel.

Among the National Secondary Roads to be improved is the Tallaght to Blessington route (N81).

The National Development Plan’s investment programme for the Greater Dublin Area is largely drawn from the DTO’s “Dublin Transportation Blueprint 2000-2006”. The Plan’s strategy for the Greater Dublin Area is to concentrate investment on:

- developing, extending and increasing the capacity of the bus network by expanding the route network to include orbital and local routes, purchasing additional buses, providing additional Quality Bus Corridors (QBCs), extending and enhancing the existing QBCs and introducing other bus priority measures;
- implementing the light rail network approved by Government in 1998 including the construction of the surface element of the proposed LUAS network from Tallaght to Connolly Station and from Sandyford to St Stephen’s Green;
- exploiting much more fully the potential for development of the suburban rail network by purchasing additional rolling stock, quadrupling of track between Hazlehat and Sallins, providing new stations and new depot facilities and resignalling between Howth and Grand Canal Dock;
- promotion of transport integration through the provision of additional park and ride facilities and the introduction of integrated public transport ticketing and public transport interchange facilities;
- completion and upgrading of the C-Ring (M50), Dublin Port Access Tunnel and national road projects;
- implementation of non-national road projects of particular relevance to the achievement of DTI Strategy objectives;
- provision of further cycle infrastructure and facilities;
- implementation of traffic management measures (including measures to respond to the needs of mobility impaired and disabled people).

The National Development Plan provides for an indicative expenditure of €5,968m (IR£4,700m) (1999 prices) on National Roads, including €3,792m (IR£2,986m) in the Southern and Eastern Region of the country, and €2,012m (IR£1,585m) (1999 prices) for Public Transport in the Greater Dublin Area.
National Sustainable Development Strategy

In 1997, the Government published Sustainable Development: A Strategy for Ireland. This comprehensive document redefines all relevant aspects of government policy in the context of sustainable development. Key elements of the Strategy, relating to transportation, include:

- minimisation of the potential growth in transport demand will be incorporated as a leading consideration in land use planning;
- increased efforts will be made to manage the existing roads network more efficiently;
- government policy will continue to support and improve public transport systems and infrastructure with a view to increasing their market share;
- relevant agencies will provide more sustainable and environmentally acceptable alternatives to private car transport;
- implementation of the DTI Strategy will be intensified;
- opportunities for non-motorised transport will be improved;
- appropriate agencies will actively encourage public awareness of the unsustainable aspects of increasing use of vehicle transport;
- continuing efforts to reduce CO₂ emissions from motor vehicles.

Green Paper on Sustainable Energy

The Department of Public Enterprise published its Green Paper on Sustainable Energy in September 1999. The Green Paper indicates how Ireland will progress towards meeting its energy requirements in an environmentally and economically sustainable way. It addresses targets for reducing consumption of greenhouse gases, arising from the Kyoto Protocol. In relation to the transport sector, domestic policies to be addressed include:

- land use planning;
- road pricing;
- promotion of public transport.

The Green Paper highlights the benefits of taking an integrated approach to land use planning and transport policy development.

National Climate Change Strategy

The Government adopted the National Climate Change Strategy to ensure that Ireland meets its targets under the Kyoto Protocol. Under the Kyoto Protocol, Ireland agreed to limit the growth of emissions of a number of greenhouse gases to 13% above 1990 levels by the period 2008-2012. The Environmental Protection Agency has confirmed that emissions exceeded this target in 1998. The Strategy sets out a framework for action and is designed to achieve the necessary reduction in emissions equitably, with economic and environmental efficiency and while continuing to support economic growth. Key initiatives include:

- a commitment to put in place an appropriate framework of greenhouse gas taxation, prioritising carbon dioxide emissions;
- a commitment to participate in international emissions trading, as a supplement to, and not a substitute for, domestic action;
- a balanced range of measures within the transportation sector to address fuel efficiency, demand management and modal shift. The fuel efficiency measures include a rebalancing of Vehicle Registration Tax and annual motor tax to favour more fuel efficient cars, fuel economy labelling for all new cars, and fuel switching and efficiency for public transport and State vehicles. Measures for demand management have also been set out including development of integrated traffic management, higher residential densities and setting fuel taxes at appropriate levels to limit the rate of increase of overall fuel consumption.

The Dublin Suburban Rail Strategic Review

Iarnród Éireann and Córas Iompair Éireann commissioned consultants, led by Arup Consulting Engineers, to conduct a strategic review of the Dublin suburban rail system and to provide a vision for the network to 2020. The review was based on land use and planning forecasts from the Strategic Planning Guidelines. It identified three main constraints in the existing rail network: the capacity of the Loop Line, the level crossings south of Grand Canal Dock and the conflicts between inter-city and suburban trains. A number of investment options were developed to achieve four strategic aims: meet demand for rail travel, support...
development, facilitate sustainability initiatives and promote a commercial rail business. The recommended plan comprises a number of schemes that build on the strengths of the existing suburban rail network and address its weaknesses. They include:

- lengthening of platforms to cater for 8 car trains;
- three- or four-tracking of the Kildare line;
- upgrading the Loop line including resignalling from Howth to Grand Canal Dock and the provision of an additional platform at Pearse;
- three or four tracking of the Northern suburban line from Connolly to north of Howth Junction;
- new stations along existing alignments (e.g., Lucan South and Leixlip);
- construction of a heavy rail link to Dublin Airport, subject to further review;
- building of new spur lines off existing alignments (e.g., Navan, Blanchardstown and Tallaght West);
- removal of level crossings;
- an East-West city centre tunnel;
- electrification of suburban lines from Maynooth and Sallins/Kildare to the city centre;
- acquisition of additional rolling stock, depots and stabling facilities.

The review considered suburban rail schemes only, although it recognised that suburban rail has a close interaction with LUAS. It suggested that the systems need to be planned together to achieve a fully integrated system.

**Bus Network Strategy Appraisal for the Greater Dublin Area**

CIE appointed consultants led by Scott Wilson to undertake a strategic review of the network of bus services in the Greater Dublin Area. The objective of the study was to develop a strategy for the 2006 bus network. The study was based on the Strategic Planning Guidelines. It developed a preferred strategy for both the Metropolitan and Hinterland Areas. The preferred strategy made recommendations on enhancing the service network and greatly extending bus priority measures, including:

- additional QBCs;
- increased interchange opportunities;
- better service distribution to and within the city centre through the provision of new city centre orbital routes and the extension of certain radial routes to operate across the city centre;
- enhanced service frequency on key radial routes;
- introduction of an integrated ticketing system;
- new orbital and local services in the Hinterland Area.

**The National Road Needs Study**

The National Road Needs Study was commissioned by the National Roads Authority and carried out by a team of consultants led by MC O’Sullivan & Co Ltd. One of the broad objectives of the study was to determine the appropriate type of roadway for each segment of the national road network in order to cater for projected traffic flows over the twenty-year period 2000-2019. The study assessed likely traffic growth rates from 1995 to the design year 2019 and carried out a sensitivity analysis with higher and lower growth rates. It recommended six different road types as suitable for Irish conditions, ranging from a reduced two-lane road to dual carriageway motorway. In particular, the study identified the appropriate road type for each section of the national road network in the Greater Dublin Area. Its recommendations for the DTO area were incorporated into the Dublin Transportation Blueprint 2000-2006 and subsequently into the National Development Plan.
The Eastern By-Pass Strategic Study

The National Roads Authority appointed Arup Consulting Engineers to undertake a strategic study of the proposal for an Eastern By-pass of Dublin. The purpose of the study was to examine the implications of such a scheme in terms of strategic objectives dealing with planning, environmental, transportation, financial and engineering issues. The study was primarily undertaken with a view to establishing the feasibility of the Eastern By-pass concept and did not recommend a definitive alignment. It concluded that the Eastern By-pass accords with the strategic objectives set out in the study, that it has a robust economic performance, that it is suitable for a Public Private Partnership and that it should be taken forward for detailed consideration. The Government has endorsed this position.

The ESRI Medium Term Review

The Economic and Social Research Institute (ESRI) published the Medium Term Review in October 1999. It included macroeconomic forecasts of gross national product (GNP), gross domestic product (GDP) and employment to 2015. GNP was forecast to grow by 5.1% per year between 2000 and 2005, by 4.3% per year between 2005 and 2010 and by 3.2% per year between 2010 and 2015. The unemployment rate (on an ILO basis) was forecast to fall from 5.6% in 2000, to 5.3% in 2005 and to 4.7% in 2010. These forecasts form the basis of the economic assumptions that underlie the transport demand forecasts in chapter 3 of this report.

Conclusion

The DTO Strategy was not developed in a vacuum. The above documents provide both a general policy background and more detailed technical analysis.

The policy documents such as the Strategic Planning Guidelines, the National Development Plan and the local authority development plans, have been adopted by Government and the local authorities. The Strategy must therefore be consistent with the policies that are set out in these documents.

The technical documents, such as the Dublin Suburban Rail Strategic Review and the Eastern By-Pass Strategic Study, examine proposed infrastructural schemes and public transport services. They provide valuable information on the strengths and weaknesses of the schemes and facilitate decisions on whether the schemes should be included in the Strategy.
Figure 1.1 STRATEGIC PLANNING GUIDELINES
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Note: Map derived from Strategic Planning Guidelines - Page 9x
2. The Vision

Transportation is a means to an end. That is, people do not normally travel for the sake of travelling; they travel to achieve some other need or want. It is important, therefore, to put transportation in the context of the broader objectives for the city and region. The starting point for the development of the DTO Strategy was, therefore, to ask the question: “what type of city and region do we wish to live, work and relax in?”. This question was first posed by the DTI and answered in the form of a Vision for Dublin. In accordance with the Terms of Reference for this study, the original DTI Vision for Dublin was reviewed and amended as set out below.

Vision Statement

The Vision sees the Greater Dublin Area as:

- a City and Region which embraces the principles of sustainability;
- encompassing a leading European City, proud of its heritage and looking to the future;
- having at its heart the National Capital, seat of government and national centres of excellence;
- a strong, competitive, dynamic and sustainable Region;
- a Living City and Region, on a human scale, accessible to all and providing a good quality of life for its citizens.

The next step was to translate this Vision into a series of more concrete, though still broad, objectives. The objectives have been grouped under five separate headings as follows:

The Regional Economy

- improve accessibility and reduce congestion;
- sustain economic development and regeneration;
- consolidate existing economic activity;
- encourage a further increase in participation in the labour force, particularly by disadvantaged groups in society;
- enhance goods distribution in a sustainable way.

Quality of Life

- reduce growth in the demand for transport, especially private transport;
- reduce the need for car commuting by improving the reliability, availability and quality of public transport;
- reduce travel times and congestion;
- ameliorate direct environmental effects of transport - noise, severance, air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions;
- promote cycling and walking as safe, sustainable and healthy means of transport;
- improve transport safety.

International and National Context

- act consistently with Government, EU and UN policies;
- improve accessibility to ports and airports for passengers and goods;
- improve accessibility to and from the Greater Dublin Area;
- foster sustainable development.
Development of the City and Region

- promote implementation of the Strategic Planning Guidelines for the Greater Dublin Area and the proposed National Spatial Strategy;
- within the Region, consolidate growth in the Metropolitan Area;
- within the Hinterland Area, promote the self-sufficiency of the Development Centres.

Efficiency in Implementation

- optimise the use of existing infrastructure and facilities;
- promote sustainable land use;
- ensure timely implementation to meet sustainable transport needs;
- ensure the efficient and cost-effective use of resources - public, EU and private sector;
- ensure that legislative, institutional and administrative structures optimise implementation;
- maximise self-enforcement;
- ensure minimum disruption during construction and implementation.

The Vision and the objectives are largely the same as in the DTI (with some changes in wording and arrangement). There are, however, two substantive differences. First, there is an additional explicit emphasis on sustainability, both in the Vision and consequently in a number of the objectives. Second, the Strategic Planning Guidelines for the Greater Dublin Area have led to a change in several objectives. In particular, all the objectives under the heading ‘Development of the City and Region’ are derived directly from the Guidelines. There is also a broader focus than existed during DTI. Instead of concentrating on accessing the city centre, the updated Vision and objectives follow the Guidelines by considering the entire Greater Dublin Area and do not let the Metropolitan Area dominate the Hinterland Area.

During the course of the update, the DTO Steering Committee set two additional quantitative objectives for the horizon year of 2016:

- to reduce the level of congestion on the road network to 1991 levels when the average speed in the morning peak hour was 22kph;
- to provide adequate capacity for all journeys to work and education, which make up the vast majority of trips in the morning peak hour.

The additional quantitative objectives provided easily measurable targets for the development of the Strategy. The DTO felt that the achievement of these two objectives would provide an effective transportation strategy and would be likely to satisfy all of the criteria arising from the Vision Statement.
3. The Challenge

It is worthwhile to compare the 1995 DTI Strategy with the position today and to analyse the challenge that we face in the future. First, we note the progress made in the implementation of the recommendations of the DTI Strategy. Second, we review the changes in the demand for transport since 1991 and compare the actual outturn with the DTI forecasts. Finally, we forecast how the demand for transport will change between now and our planning horizon of 2016.

Substantial progress has been made in implementing the recommendations of the 1995 DTI Strategy. There has, however, been considerable slippage in the implementation of elements of that Strategy, especially major infrastructure projects such as the QBCs, LUAS and the Dublin Port Tunnel. The growth in demand for travel has been far ahead of the forecasts in DTI. The result has been a rapid increase in congestion, for both private and public transport, and consequential environmental damage.

Progress in Implementing DTI Recommendations

**Quality Bus Corridors (QBCs):** Nine of the DTI's eleven QBCs have been opened: Malahide Road, Swords (from Whitehall to the city centre), Finglas, Blanchardstown, Lucan, North Clondalkin, Tallaght, Rathfarnham and the N11. The remainder to the Swords QBC, South Clondalkin and an additional QBC from Ballymun are under construction. The Orbital QBC (from Tallaght via Clondalkin and Blanchardstown to Dublin Airport) is at the design stage. The longer established QBCs (Malahide, Lucan and N11) are now carrying more than twice as many people as a lane of general traffic at their design speed of 22kph.

**DART/ Suburban Rail:** Almost all the main elements of DART/Suburban Rail in the DTI Strategy have been implemented. The Arrow service from Kildare to Heuston has been introduced. DART extensions to Greystones and Malahide are open. New DART rolling stock is in service providing longer trains and increased capacity. There are new stations at Clontarf Road and Grand Canal Dock and upgraded stations (eg at Dún Laoghaire). The twin-tracking of the Maynooth line is completed. New diesel rolling stock is in service providing longer trains and increased frequency and capacity. There is a new station at Drumcondra.

**Light Rail (LUAS):** Lines from Tallaght to Connolly Station and from Sandyford Industrial Estate to St Stephen's Green are under construction. They are expected to start operating during 2003. Rolling stock for the lines has been ordered with delivery due for completion in 2002.

**Park and Ride:** 2000 extra spaces have been provided at suburban rail stations.

**National Roads** that have been completed are:

- the Northern Cross Route (M50) (including its extension to the Malahide Road (N32));
- the Southern Cross Route (M50);
- some junction improvements on the C-Ring (M50);
- the Northern Motorway (Balbriggan By-pass) (M1);
- North Road in Finglas (N2);
- the Leixlip-Maynooth-Kilcock By-pass (M4);
- White's Cross to Knocksinna (N11);
- Naas Road to Blessington Road (N82).

**National Roads** that are under construction are:

- the Northern Motorway (Airport to Five Roads) (M1);
- Kilmacanogue to the Glen o’ the Downs (N11);
- the Dublin Port Tunnel;
- the South-Eastern Motorway.
**Other Roads:** Non-national roads in the DTI area that have been completed include: phase 2 of Church Road (Ballybrack), the Wyckham By-pass (which is part of the Dundrum relief road scheme), the Mercer Street/Stephen’s Street scheme, Milltown Road, the Newlands to Fonthill Road, the Nangor to Fox & Geese Road, Grange Road (Baldoyle) and parts of the Outer Ring Road. Non-national roads in the DTI area that are under construction include phase 3 of Church Road (Ballybrack) and the Dundrum Main Street By-pass.

**Traffic Management and Parking:** Availability of on-street parking in the city centre has improved radically - largely due to both parking policy measures (e.g. the reduction of free on-street parking and the new pricing structure) and improved enforcement by clamping and tow-away. A centrally controlled system of variable message signs giving real-time information on parking availability at city centre car parks has been installed. These measures have reduced the number of cars circulating in traffic looking for available car parking spaces. The computerised traffic signal system (ISCATS) now covers 320 junctions in the city centre and the inner suburbs. Dublin Corporation monitors traffic in a central control room using Closed Circuit Television (CCTV). A new traffic control centre has also been installed by South Dublin County Council. Traffic cells and traffic calming are widespread.

**Cycling:** 160km of two-way cycle routes and 2,500 cycle parking spaces have been provided.

**Factors Influencing Traffic Growth**

The main factors that influence growth in the demand for travel are economic growth, employment levels, car ownership, population and average household size.

**Economic growth** has an indirect influence on travel because it leads to higher employment levels and increased car ownership. Also, people with higher disposable incomes tend to travel more for retail, leisure and recreation purposes, especially during off peak periods.

**Employment** is the most important single causal factor in the growth in the demand for travel, particularly in the critical morning peak hour. Travel surveys in 1991 and 1997 showed that over 90% of trips in the morning peak hour are trips from home to work or education. It follows that if there is an increase in the number of people in employment, there will be a corresponding increase in the demand for travel.

**Car ownership** affects both the total demand for travel and fundamental travel choices. Because car-based travel is generally more convenient and comfortable than public transport, car-owners tend to travel more than others, especially in off peak periods. There is a strong correlation between economic growth and growth in car ownership. As the economy grows, car ownership grows. The growth in car ownership is slow at low levels of economic development, then rises steeply and finally levels off at a high level of economic development because car ownership reaches saturation levels (i.e. when almost everyone who has a driving licence owns a car).

**Population** has an obvious effect. If there are more people living in the area, then there will be more people travelling.

As the **average household size decreases**, (and the number of households correspondingly increases), there is an increase in the demand for travel. Smaller households tend to have more trips per person than large households.

**Review of Factors Influencing Traffic Growth 1991 to 1999**

The table shows the changes between 1991 and 1999 in the main factors that lead to a demand for travel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Greater Dublin Area</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1996</th>
<th>1999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population (million)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households ('000)</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment ('000)</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment rate</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car ownership (per 1,000 population)</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Growth in GDP since 1991</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Growth to date has far outstripped the projections in the 1995 DTI Strategy:

- the population of the original DTI Area has grown more rapidly than was projected in the original DTI Strategy. The population projected for 2001 was actually exceeded in 1997;
- GDP grew by 79% between 1991 and 1999, compared with a DTI forecast of 38%;
- the unemployment rate has already declined to less than 5% while DTI was forecasting a rate of 17% in 2001 and 12% in 2011;
- the number of employed persons projected for 2011 was exceeded in 1996;
- car ownership rates have substantially exceeded those projected and are steadily increasing towards the European average of 450 per 1000 population. Car ownership per 1000 population was 292 in 1996 and 342 in 1999, far outstripping the original DTI forecast of 288 for the year 2001;
- total passenger numbers through Dublin Airport in 2000 (13.8m) exceeded those originally projected for 2011 (11.0m), while the annual tonnage throughput at Dublin Port in 2000 (21.0m tonnes) was almost twice the projected level for 2011 (10.7m tonnes).

The consequence of this unexpected growth is that Dublin has faced a rapidly increasing demand for travel, the scale of which is illustrated in the following table:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>1997</th>
<th>1999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM Peak Hour</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Peak Hour</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total peak hour trips increased by 78,000 or 45% between 1991 and 1997. However, the bulk of that growth has been accounted for by private car commuting (up by 71,000). In 1991, the private car accounted for 64% of peak hour trips; by 1997 that had increased to 72%. The average journey time by car increased from 31 minutes in 1991 to 43 minutes in 1997, reflecting greater congestion and longer journeys.

In addition to overall growth, the Strategy will also have to take account of changing patterns of travel. A comparison of journey destinations in the morning peak hour shows significant changes between the surveys carried out in 1991 and 1997. In the 1991 survey, the city centre was the primary destination. The 1997 survey showed that:

- the city centre continues to be the most popular destination;
- the south-east inner city remains a primary and growing destination;
- the fastest growing destination is Clondalkin/Tallaght. There was almost a fourfold increase in morning peak hour car trips to this area between 1991 and 1997 and it is now the second highest trip destination;
- Dublin Airport is a major destination for trips from all parts of the Greater Dublin Area;
- there is an extensive two-way trip demand between the western towns of Tallaght, Clondalkin, Blanchardstown and the environs of Dublin Airport;
- other areas of growing importance as peak hour destinations include Ballsbridge, Sandyford and the area on the north fringe of Dublin City and the south fringe of Fingal.

### Forecast of Factors Influencing Traffic Growth 1999 to 2016

The table shows the projected changes between 1999 and 2016 in the main factors that lead to a demand for travel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Greater Dublin Area</th>
<th>1999</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population (million)</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households ('000)</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment ('000)</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment rate</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car ownership (per 1,000 population)</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Growth in GDP since 1991</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>260%</td>
<td>4.2%pa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The projections of population and households are based on the Strategic Planning Guidelines. The projections for GDP, employment and unemployment are from the ESRI’s Medium Term Review. The forecast of car ownership is from the DTO’s car ownership model, which is based on the correlation between car ownership and economic growth.

The first annual Review and Update of the Strategic Planning Guidelines indicates that the 2016 forecast of population was conservative by at least 100,000 and the 2016 forecast of household numbers was conservative by up to 10%. Short term economic forecasts from several commentators and stockbrokers are higher than in the Medium Term Review. Overall, this suggests that the above forecasts may be slightly conservative for the short-term future.

| Forecast of demand for travel 1999 - 2016 (Thousand person trips) |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
|                 | 1999            | 2016            | Growth |
| AM Peak Hour    | 283             | 488             | 72%    |
| Off Peak Hour   | 179             | 256             | 43%    |

By 2016, total peak hour trips are forecast to be 488,000, a 95% increase on the 1997 level. Total trips in the off peak hour in 2016 will be 256,000. That is 6,000 trips more than was experienced in the peak hour in 1997. To take account of the uncertainty associated with long-term forecasts, two sensitivity analyses were conducted. In the first (high growth), the morning peak hour demand was increased by 20% to 586,000 trips and in the second (low growth), it was reduced by 20% to 390,000 trips. The central forecast was used to develop and test various options for transportation strategies. The high growth and low growth forecasts were then used to test the robustness of the final preferred DTO Strategy.

The Challenge

The challenge for the DTO was to prepare a transportation strategy that meets the objectives derived from the Vision Statement and the additional objectives set by the Steering Committee and that supports the development objectives of the Strategic Planning Guidelines. It needed to do so in the context of:

- slower than expected delivery of some of the major infrastructure projects recommended in the 1995 DTI Strategy;
- a rapid growth in population and households, leading to increasingly dispersed travel patterns;
- a substantial increase in employment leading to a large growth in the demand for travel in the peak hour;
- increasing car ownership resulting in additional commuting by car, which is economically inefficient and environmentally unsustainable;
- an unprecedented and continuing high level of economic growth.
4. The Technical Tasks

The development of an integrated, multi-modal transportation strategy for the Greater Dublin Area requires complex analysis. The DTO Strategy was developed with the aid of two complex analytical tools. First, the Trip Attraction / Generation Model (TAGM) takes land use and demographic forecasts for the region and calculates the resultant changes in the demand for travel. Second, the DTO Transportation Model analyses the interaction between the demand for travel and the supply of transportation infrastructure and services. This chapter begins with a summary of the various technical tasks, which lead to the development of the final recommended strategy. It then goes on to describe these technical tasks in more detail, and the two models used to carry out these tasks.

Summary of Technical Tasks

The first step in the development of the Strategy was the updating of the DTO Transportation Model itself. This update began in autumn 1997 with a full set of origin / destination traffic surveys covering all motorised modes of transport (car, bus, rail and heavy goods vehicles). The results of this survey were used to update the travel patterns and levels of demand for travel within the model for the 1997 base year. Following this, up to date data on the transportation infrastructure both constructed and committed (LUAS, M50 completion etc) was entered into the model.

The model update also included the collation of the latest economic, demographic and land use data and forecasts. These were input into the TAGM to produce forecasts of the demand for travel in the main forecast year, 2016.

With the 1997 base model and forecasts of the future situation established, development of a transportation strategy commenced. The first step in the development of the strategy was the creation and testing of a Do-minimum scenario. The Do-minimum is the base case against which possible strategies are assessed. This scenario, as expected, demonstrated severe congestion levels and did not provide a viable transportation solution.

The next step was to identify a suitable methodology for developing transportation strategies. Three approaches were assessed using the DTO Model, namely a bottom-up approach, a middle-road approach maximising the use of existing transport networks and a top-down approach. These are all described later in more detail. The top-down approach – using a conceptual ‘Higher Mode’ public transport network was identified as the most desirable and sound method of creating strategies for assessment. This approach enabled demand for public transport to be identified on each transportation corridor. Different public transport modes, with different passenger carrying capabilities were then applied to these transportation corridors depending on the range of passenger flows shown by the model. From this analysis, it became evident that a high capacity public transport system would be required for any proposed strategy to work. Further analysis revealed the necessity for some form of demand management to enhance the public transport usage and to reduce congestion.

The strategy development phase concentrated on developing three different themes. From these three themes, a strategy evolved. This strategy encompassed the best elements of the three transportation themes and took account of the strategic rail and bus studies (described in Chapter 1). Further refinements to the strategy followed, including introducing park and ride / feeder bus options, rail alignment testing (ie best alignment to serve Dublin Airport), reducing the demand for travel on the M50 and introduction of the Eastern By-pass.

The preferred strategy then could be put forward for multi-criteria assessment along with another strategy, similar to the preferred strategy, but based primarily on the strategic rail study. In this strategy, the METRO system is replaced with heavy rail extensions because of the large capital cost associated with the METRO system. The multi-criteria assessment came out in favour of the preferred Strategy on the basis of the large benefits attained.

Sensitivity testing of the Preferred Strategy followed to assess its robustness if economic growth was lower than anticipated and if it did not include demand management measures.

In the remainder of this chapter a brief description is given of the two models used (the TAGM and the DTO Transportation Model). This is followed by a more detailed description of the technical tasks undertaken and the various phases in the development of the final preferred strategy.
Trip Attraction / Generation Model – TAGM

The trip attraction / generation model (TAGM) is a spreadsheet type model that is used to predict trends in the growth of demand for travel within the Greater Dublin Area. It provides trip growth predictions for the main target forecast year, 2016, and an intermediate year, 2006. The TAGM requires data from three primary sources: population, employment and education. An estimate of these figures for each zone within the model was made for the years 1996 and 2016. These estimates were factored to ensure that the overall totals of population and employment for the Greater Dublin Area agreed with the predictions made in the Strategic Planning Guidelines.

Population
The 1996 data on population and numbers of households for each zone were taken from the 1996 census. Projections for population and households for 2016 were made using the development plans supplied by the seven local authorities in the Greater Dublin Area and estimates of population growth for the region from the Strategic Planning Guidelines.

Employment
The baseline data on zonal employment was taken from the 1991 census. In 1996, the planning consultants McHugh and Associates updated the employment figures to 1996 levels using data from the seven local authorities and CSO labour force surveys. Projections of zonal employment for 2016 were based on the level of available zoned development land and other related information supplied by the seven local authorities.

The local authorities, in assessing future employment generation by land use zoning used the following guideline figures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>100 jobs per hectare</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offices</td>
<td>1 job per 17sq.m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shops</td>
<td>1 job per 23sq.m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both industry and shopping were assumed to increase by 1% per annum over the 20-year period.

Education
Information on primary schools, secondary schools and universities was obtained from the Department of Education.

Strategic Planning Guidelines
The projected figures for population and employment as set out in the Strategic Planning Guidelines were used as control totals and the projected zonal growth factors were amended accordingly. Sixty percent of the total growth to 2016 was assumed to take place by 2006.

Using the demographic inputs to produce trip growth factors
The TAGM – which is a sub model of the DTO Transportation Model – takes as its inputs the population, household, schools and employment data for each District Electoral Division (zone) for the base year, 1996, and the forecast year, 2016. The total trip generations and attractions for each zone – as taken from the validated base year model – are also input for each zone. A division of trip generations by the population figure gives the base year trip generation rate per person for each zone. Similarly, a division of trip attractions by the employment figure gives the base year trip attraction rate per job for each zone. Using these rates as a basis, the TAGM calculates the growth in trip generations based on the growth in population and the growth in trip attractions based on the growth in employment between 1996 and 2016 for each zone. As school trips are known to contribute up to 20% of all morning peak hour trips, any growth in full time school places is also factored into the projected trip growth rates for each zone.

Additional inputs into the TAGM such as economic growth (GDP) and car ownership forecasts are used to determine the proportion of forecast year (2016) trip makers who will have a car available to them. The main outputs from the model are trip generation and attraction growth factors for 2016 for car available trips and car not available trips for each zone.

Based on the assumption that 60% of the predicted growth (1996 to 2016) will take place in the first 10 years, the TAGM also outputs trip growth factors for 2006.
DTO Transportation Model

The DTO Transportation Model was the principal design and analysis tool used in the development of the final recommended transportation strategy. This model is based on the original traffic model developed as part of the DTI study 1991 to 1994. This model uses the SATURN software for analysis of highway traffic (cars and heavy goods vehicles) and SATCHMO software for the analysis of public transport. Two separate travel periods were modelled – ie the morning peak hour between 08:00 and 09:00 and a representative off peak period between 14:00 and 15:00.

The model has a number of strengths that make it well suited to carrying out this type of strategic transportation analysis. Among these are:

- the model is multi-modal and encompasses all motorised modes of surface transport (car, heavy goods vehicles, bus and rail);
- the highway assignment model (SATURN) takes full account of junction delays caused by congestion and the impacts of this congestion on bus travel;
- unlike many fixed mode-split models, it establishes a realistic mode choice based on the relative perceived costs of a given trip by the modes available to the trip maker. In performing this mode split, the cost of trips by car varies with the level of congestion on the highway network;
- the model covers the entire DTO Area and takes into account trips to and from regions of the country outside this area;
- the zoning system used to aggregate trips is based on the District Electoral Divisions (DEDs) but uses much finer zones in the city centre (inside the canal ring) where the transportation networks are much denser.

The model has some restrictions and limitations that affect the form and extent of the analysis which can be undertaken – in particular the way it models trips by public transport. No facility exists within the model for public transport interchange between bus and rail, and all public transport trips are modelled as complete trips from origin to destination on a single mode. To compensate for this, interchange trips are included in trip demand levels for both bus and rail modes that are input into the model. The model places no capacity restraint on the public transport (bus and rail) networks. It assumes that the public transport service provided will cater for all trip demand on these services. Public transport passenger flows predicted by the model are therefore demand flows rather than actual flows. Given that an objective of the strategy is to provide adequate capacity for all journeys to work and education, it is acceptable to use demand flows when designing a future public transport network. Trips are assigned to public transport routes on an all or nothing basis – ie following the mode split, all public transport trips between a particular origin and a particular destination will be assigned to a single lowest cost route. This can mean that where parallel bus or rail routes exist in close proximity, the model will sometimes assign most trips to one or other of the routes rather than give a more realistic split of trips on each. However, this limitation does not cause a problem for the vast majority of public transport trips where a clearly defined preferred bus or rail route exists.

In practice, all models have limitations; they attempt to represent only part of reality, and at all times the outputs from models must be interpreted in the light of these limitations and assumptions. Once the limitations and assumptions of the model are recognised, its strategic nature, the fact that it is multi-modal and the area it covers, then it can be accepted as an eminently suitable tool for developing the final transportation strategy.
**DEVELOPMENT OF PREFERRED STRATEGY USING THE DTO MODEL**

The technical tasks undertaken using the DTO Transportation Model are illustrated in figure 4.1. The tasks are grouped into the following six phases:

- **Phase 1:** Updating the DTO Transportation Model;
- **Phase 2:** Forecasting future growth in trip demand;
- **Phase 3:** Development of Do-minimum and Do-Strategic Planning Guidelines scenarios;
- **Phase 4:** Identification of methods for strategy development;
- **Phase 5:** Strategy development;
- **Phase 6:** Preferred strategy identification;
- **Phase 7:** Sensitivity testing of the preferred strategy;

Phases 1 to 7 are described in detail below.

**Phase 1: Updating of the DTO Transportation Model**

Before the DTO Model could be used to develop the Strategy, the first priority was to update it to reflect current trip patterns and levels of trip demand. For a model of the size and complexity of the DTO Model, this update process is a lengthy procedure and is normally carried out every 5 years. The model had not been updated since the DTI study (1992), and hence it was appropriate that a full update be carried out in 1997. The process began with a major multi-modal origin and destination survey that was carried out by the DTO in October and November 1997.

The results of this survey were coded into the model, and the outputs from the updated model were checked against surveyed 1997 traffic counts and passenger movements – a process known as model validation. Criteria were established to measure ‘goodness of fit’ of modelled data to actual surveyed data. Among these, a statistical measure known as *GEH error was used to measure the overall fit of modelled traffic flows against actual flows. Following the validation procedure, the average GEH error for the DTO model was 5.5, representing an excellent fit of modelled and actual flows for a strategic model of this size. Similar ‘goodness of fit’ criteria were also established for modelled versus actual bus and rail flows and modelled versus actual journey times on all major routes into the city. In each case, the model was shown to be an accurate representation of Dublin’s peak and off peak traffic in the 1997 base year.

The summary outputs from the new 1997 model – in terms of trips by mode in the morning peak hour is shown in table 4.1 below. The table also compares peak hour trip demand with the situation in 1991.

**Table 4.1: Peak Hour Trip Demands for 1991 and 1997**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Car</td>
<td>(’000s) 110</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>(’000s) 181</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>-7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail</td>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1 shows that overall trip demand increased by 45% between 1991 and 1997, with by far the largest growth (64%) being in trips by car. There was also significant growth in trips by rail, but the bus mode, while showing a modest growth in absolute terms, experienced a significant loss in market share. Overall, there was an 8% shift in the modal split to the car mode.

*In measuring the ‘goodness of fit’ of modelled (m) to observed (o) traffic flows, the GEH statistic is defined as follows: \( \frac{(o-m)^2}{(o+m)} \)
Figure 4.1 DEVELOPMENT OF UPDATE STRATEGY - METHODOLOGY
Other headlines to emerge from the 1997 survey were:

- there was a large increase in the percentage of car trips from home to school in the morning peak from 3% in 1991 to 19% in 1997;
- the southeast quadrant of the inner city is by far the largest attractor of trips in the morning peak with a doubling of trips to this sector since 1991;
- there is now a large orbital trip movement between the three western towns and Dublin Airport, and the Tallaght area is now a major destination in the morning peak (this was not the case in 1991).

Phase 2: Forecasting future growth in trips

Following the update of the model to a 1997 base year, the next technical task was to produce trip growth forecasts for 2006 and 2016. This was done using the Trip Attraction / Generation Model (TAGM). The TAGM used various macro economic, demographic and land use data to produce trip growth forecasts. The outputs from the TAGM are in the form of a set of trip growth factors (generation and attraction factors) for each zone. Where zones have a well-defined distribution of trip attractions or generations in the base year, these growth factors are easily applied to the base year figures to give future trip patterns and levels of demand for travel in 2006 and 2016 (for the peak and off peak periods). In the case of significant new developments in existing "green field" zones, the distribution of trip attractions or generations is based on the pattern in a neighbouring zone with existing similar land uses.

A most significant prediction to emerge from this process was that overall levels of trip demand for the region in the morning peak hour would almost double (to 488,000 trips) by 2016.

Phase 3: Development of Do-minimum and Do-Strategic Planning Guidelines

Do-minimum Transport Network

Following the development of trip forecasts for 2006 and 2016 using the TAGM, forecast year transport networks were developed and the DTO Model was used to analyse the interaction between the demand for travel and the supply of transportation infrastructure. Initially, transport networks (highway, bus and rail) were produced for the forecast year, 2016. These networks include all schemes that were committed in the base year (1997) but not yet constructed. This is called the Do-minimum scenario and includes the following:

- LUAS line from Tallaght to Connolly Station;
- LUAS line from Sandyford to St. Stephen's Green;
- the completed M50 motorway;
- the Dublin Port Tunnel,
- 11 Quality Bus Corridors,
- DART extension to Malahide and Greystones,
- Upgrade of Maynooth line from Clonsilla to Connolly,
- Lengthening of platforms and additional DART and diesel rail-cars

This Do-minimum scenario is the foundation for the development of all future strategic networks. The effects of the Do Minimum scenario in the 2016 morning peak were analysed using the DTO Model, and the main mode split outputs are shown in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2: Modal Split Results for 2016 Do-minimum Scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of Transport</th>
<th>Person Trips ('000s)</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Trips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUS</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAIL</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results of the Do-minimum Scenario

The proposed transportation projects such as LUAS, the completed M50 motorway and the Dublin Port Tunnel included in the Do-minimum scenario will accommodate some of the anticipated future growth in travel. This is reflected in significant increases in travel in the Do-minimum scenario relative to the 1997 base year. However, because of the huge increase in overall trip demand, there would be a demand for an additional 135,000 trips by car and this would lead to severe congestion on the highway network. The average speed on radial routes into the city in the morning peak would be down to 8kph – a little above a fast walking pace. It is clear, therefore, that a major transportation deficit will exist in 2016 unless further transportation infrastructure is provided and measures to reduce car travel are introduced.

The severe congestion on the transport networks in the Do-minimum scenario causes a diversion of trips from routes that are normally shortest and quickest to routes that involve significant detours avoiding congested areas. Consequently, the actual travel pattern is not very representative of the desired travel pattern. The Do-minimum scenario, therefore, does not point the way towards the development of a future transportation strategy. The Do-minimum scenario, however, does establish a benchmark against which the performance of future transportation proposals can be judged. The Do-minimum approach is used as a basis for comparison with ‘Do-Something’ proposals. The main rail, QBC and road network proposals for the Do-minimum scenario are shown in figure 4.2.

Figure 4.2  DO-MINIMUM
Do Strategic Planning Guidelines

As the land use patterns for 2016 in the DTO Model are based on the Strategic Planning Guidelines (SPGs), it was appropriate that the main transportation recommendations in the SPGs be modelled and assessed. The main rail, QBC and road network proposals for the Do Strategic Planning Guidelines scenario are shown in figure 4.3. This model run (called Do-SPG) contains the following additions to the Do-minimum scenario:

- LUAS lines D and E (Ballymun to Broadstone and Broadstone to St Stephens Green);
- M50 enhancements;
- dualling the N2 and N3;
- Macken Street Bridge;
- Phoenix Park Rail Tunnel;
- rail line to Navan;
- additional QBCs and extensions of existing QBCs;

Though other transport concepts and transportation corridors were identified in the Strategic Planning Guidelines, these were not identified as specific proposals and hence could not be included in the transport networks as coded for this scenario test.
Results of the Do Strategic Planning Guidelines

The transportation recommendations in the Strategic Planning Guidelines relate to a settlement pattern of population and employment in the Greater Dublin Area and do not attempt to be a transportation strategy for the Metropolitan Area or Hinterland Area. Hence, it was not expected that they would represent an overall transportation strategy. However, the test quantified the beneficial effects of the recommendations in the Guidelines. These were shown in terms of significant increases in trips by rail (37,000 trips) and a small reduction in trips by car (4,000 trips).

Phase 4: Identification of Methods for Strategy Development

Introduction

The key concept that guided the development of the future transportation strategy was that a viable alternative to the private car is essential to the achievement of sustainable urban travel. This concept, translated into a more concrete form, implies:

- the provision of a quality public transport system that is within walking distance of the origins and destinations of the majority of trips in the urban area (ie a “walk & ride” network);
- the development of a comprehensive cycle network designed to encourage greater use of bicycles;
- the improvement of pedestrian facilities to create a safer walking environment for short journeys to work, school or shops and for access to public transport.

These three elements, together with the private car, would provide a real choice of travel mode and would facilitate the sustainable management of future travel.

Three different approaches were tried:

- "Bottom-Up"
- "Middle-Road" - maximum exploitation of existing networks
- "Top-Down".

The ‘Bottom-Up’ Approach

The ‘Bottom-Up Approach’ was the first method tried. This method attempted to build up from the Do-minimum scenario to develop a multi-modal strategy. Public transport lines were incrementally added to the existing networks (light rail, heavy rail and bus). This approach has the advantage that it mirrors the manner in which any eventual strategy would be practically implemented over time.

Several transport networks were constructed by systematically adding light rail and heavy rail lines to the Do-minimum network, and these networks were tested using the DTO Model. However, none of these tests represented a transportation solution and this methodology proved unsatisfactory as a development tool for arriving at the final recommended strategy for the following reasons:

- the Do-minimum starting point represents a very congested network causing trip makers to make large diversions from ‘ideal’ routes and hence the travel patterns are not representative of desired travel patterns;
- given that the Do-minimum scenario does not represent desired travel patterns, it is impossible to clearly establish where public transport lines (light rail, heavy rail or bus) should be incrementally added to eventually lead to a satisfactory solution.

The ‘Middle-Road Approach’ - Maximum exploitation of existing networks

Given the difficulties encountered with the ‘Bottom-Up’ approach outlined above, other methodologies for strategy building were developed. The next method tried was to take the Do-minimum road and rail networks and to test whether a major enhancement of the bus system could deal with the anticipated demand. This approach represented a ‘middle road’ in that the existing networks were accepted and exploited to the maximum.

This comprehensive bus option assumed a dense network of bus routes that would satisfy the ‘walk & ride’ criterion. The network contains 4 orbital and 15 radial Quality Bus Corridors with a comprehensive range of frequent bus services on each route allowing most trips to be made with, at most, one interchange. The DTO Transportation Model was used to analyse the performance of this scenario.

The main mode split outputs from the comprehensive bus scenario in the morning peak 2016 are shown in Table 4.3.
Table 4.3: Modal Split Results for ‘Comprehensive Bus’ Scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of Transport</th>
<th>Person Trips ('000's)</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Trips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUS</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAIL</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The assessment of the ‘Comprehensive Bus’ Scenario showed the importance of a public transport system that offers comprehensive coverage over a wide area. Such a bus network would attract significant transfers from the car mode.

Examination of the passenger flows on the main orbital and radial bus routes shows that many of the radial bus routes would be well over capacity - particularly as they approach the city centre. To cater for the passenger demand on the main radial routes would require the operation of double deck buses each carrying 80 passengers at 30-second headways. In such a scenario, passenger loading at bus stops would be so heavy that buses would be unable to deal with passengers boarding and preserve the headway of the service between them. In addition, the physical infrastructure required for such a frequency of buses would greatly reduce road capacity for cars and goods vehicles and average radial speeds for these vehicles entering the city would be similar to the Do-minimum situation (8kph).

In summary, the analysis of the ‘Comprehensive Bus’ scenario established that buses alone could not address the problem because in many of the main transportation corridors the bus mode cannot provide the necessary capacity to cope with the forecast demand. However, the assessment showed that if the bus network were more comprehensive than currently and if it offered extensive coverage over the Greater Dublin Area, it would be well used and it would attract significant transfers from car.

**The ‘Top-Down’ Approach**

Though the ‘Comprehensive Bus’ scenario did not deliver a satisfactory strategy for Dublin’s future transportation requirements, it did provide a public transport network with comprehensive coverage over the Greater Dublin Area and hence attracted considerable modal shift from car to bus. This realisation of the importance of such a comprehensive public transport network, and the failure of the ‘Bottom Up’ approach led to the devising of a third approach to the development of the strategy.

The starting point, again, for this third method was that an extensive public transport network was required to meet the ‘walk & ride’ criterion. If this network had the same comprehensive coverage as the ‘Comprehensive Bus’ network but was assumed to be of high quality with no capacity limitations, it would represent an ‘ideal’ travel network where all trips take place in the most efficient manner and in the shortest time. The assignment of future trip making onto such a theoretical network should, therefore, reveal the most desirable pattern of travel and clearly identify the real level of trip demand on each link in the network. The assignment of trips onto this theoretical ‘higher mode’ network, using the DTO Transportation Model, produced a clear picture of the levels of demand throughout the Metropolitan Area. For the purposes of this ‘top down’ approach the entire ‘higher mode’ network as modelled is assumed to have the characteristics of a heavy rail or METRO type system. In practice, the levels of passenger demand output by the model would not justify building such a tight mesh of heavy rail or METRO lines involving hundreds of kilometres of rail. In reality, a mix of public transport modes – including quality bus and light rail - would represent a more realistic network.

Hence, the next stage of the ‘Top-Down’ approach was to use the information provided by the analysis of the ‘higher mode’ network to derive more realistic transport networks that would represent a transportation solution. Using this information from the ‘higher mode’ analysis as a base, and using the capacity ranges of various transport modes, parts of the network that had similar capacity requirements were identified and linked up to form transport routes or corridors. In this way the appropriate networks for DART, LUAS and Quality Bus Corridors, as well as a new segregated rail system (METRO), were established. These public transport networks were then tested using the DTO Model.

The following were the principal findings and conclusions of the modelling analysis using the ‘Top-Down’ approach.

- The “higher mode” network tested in the ‘Top-Down’ approach satisfied the ‘walk and ride’ criterion. Such a high quality, high capacity public transport network would provide a viable alternative to the car for most trips and hence would attract large volumes of car users onto more sustainable modes.
In general, any public transport system – even the comprehensive one as tested – will be perceived as inferior to the car mode for people with the option of using the car. This is because of issues of comfort, convenience and flexibility. It is only when traffic congestion increases and the generalised cost of travelling by car is significantly higher than the generalised cost of the public transport alternative that people will begin to choose the public transport option. Though the "higher mode" network attracts a significant number of car users onto public transport, this mode shift is largely due to the presence of traffic congestion, and hence by definition the highway network will still remain congested. Given the objective of reducing highway congestion to 1991 levels, it is clear that the provision of the additional public transport infrastructure alone will not be sufficient, and that some form of demand management for car trips is necessary to control highway congestion.

Rather than devise the precise nature of the mechanism for demand management at this stage, what is required for the modelling analysis is to set a target level of congestion that is considered acceptable. This in turn sets a limit on the number of car trips on the road network. It follows then that the remaining trip demand must be catered for by alternative modes. Later in the analysis, a sensitivity test was carried out to see the impacts on congestion and public transport patronage of reducing the demand management measures.

In 1991, the average travel speed on the main traffic routes within the Metropolitan Area was in the order of 22kph. The equivalent figure for the 1997 base year was 14kph and with continued growth in car travel since 1997, the current average speed is still lower. Current road conditions are not perceived by the general public to be satisfactory, so some improvement in travel speed (as a proxy for reduced congestion) must be assumed in any future strategy.

Planning for a reduction in road congestion was therefore established as a basic principle for future transportation planning in Dublin.

For the purposes of analysis and network development, an average road travel target speed of 20kph was assumed. It should be noted that, coincidentally, this improvement in road speed requires the number of trips on the network to be equivalent to the 1997 morning peak figures. The highway improvements proposed ensure that the 1997 level of trips on the network can be accommodated at an average speed of 20kph. The rise in average speed is due to the increase in road capacity provided by the Dublin Port Tunnel, the Eastern By-pass and the upgrade of the M50.

Taking the 1997 level of morning peak hour car trips (181,000) as a limit, the public transport network must carry all remaining trips and this network will have to cater for all additional future growth in travel demand.

At a later stage in the analysis, a sensitivity test was carried out to test the robustness of the final strategy to reductions in motorised travel demand because of increases in cycling, walking, teleworking etc. The sensitivity of the strategy to the assumed travel speed of 20kph was also tested at that stage.

Phase 5: Strategy Development

Introduction

The DTO Transportation Model was used to assign the travel demand to the theoretical public transport network using a proxy for demand management. This proxy (which will be described in more detail later) effectively penalised all car trips on the highway network and had the effect of limiting car trips to 1997 levels. This reduced highway congestion to 1991 levels (because of the improved road network) and identified the number of trips that each transportation corridor of the public transport networks would be required to carry.

The range of trip levels on each transportation corridor immediately indicated a need to consider a full hierarchy of public transport modes from conventional bus at the lower end to heavy rail at the upper end. The following public transport modes were considered in developing the public transport network:

- bus and Quality Bus Corridors;
- LUAS (surface running light rail);
- METRO (a light rail that is completely segregated along its alignment);
- DART / Heavy Rail.
Public Transport Modes and Associated Capacity Bands

Figure 4.4 shows the public transport modes considered and the capacity bands associated with each mode. These capacity bands represent the maximum passenger flow per direction per hour that can be accommodated by each public transport mode. The bus mode can provide a working capacity of up to 3500 passengers per direction per hour. It is possible, however, to achieve a passenger flow of up to 5,000 per direction per hour by bus, though (as described earlier) this is very difficult to implement in practice.

On-street running light rail (LUAS) can achieve higher capacities than bus. LUAS can provide a working capacity of up to 6,400 passengers per direction per hour. A capacity of 8,000 can also be achieved by LUAS though, as with the bus mode, there are numerous operational difficulties with implementing this in practice. To deliver such a capacity on LUAS would require a high level of on-street priority that would severely affect highway capacity for car traffic. METRO and DART / heavy rail can provide capacities of up to and over 50,000 passengers per hour per direction.

It was evident from the assessment of the transportation corridors from the ‘higher mode’ model runs used in the ‘Top-Down’ approach that any strategy proposed would have to be primarily rail based, either on-street light rail (LUAS), DART / heavy rail or METRO due to the substantial passenger flows encountered. The analysis of the ‘higher mode’ network clearly established the shape of the public transport network in terms of the corridors and routes required. From the passenger flows on the various corridors, the following clear conclusions were drawn:

- the heavy orbital passenger flows between Dublin Airport, Blanchardstown and Tallaght would be in excess of the capacity of bus or on-street light rail;
- passenger flows from Tallaght to the city centre would far exceed the capacity of LUAS Line A, and a second segregated line through Kimmage is required;
- the flows on LUAS Line B from Sandyford Industrial estate to St Stephen’s Green exceed its capacity as it approaches the Grand Canal, and this line will need to be upgraded to METRO;
- the passenger flows between the city centre and Dublin Airport would exceed the capacity of a single on-street light rail line;
- there are large passenger movements from Leixlip and Lucan to the city centre and many of these trips are not currently served by either the existing Maynooth or Kildare suburban rail lines;
- passenger transfers from the proposed LUAS line E tunnel from St Stephen’s Green to Broadstone at its interchange with LUAS Line A will mean that Line A will be over capacity. Altering this tunnel to permit interchange with the DART / suburban rail line at Tara Street will provide high capacity to high capacity interchange and relieve the capacity pressure on LUAS Line A.
Using these general conclusions, three separate themes were developed to deliver the required rail network using different combinations of rail modes. Common to each theme is a METRO loop linking Finglas, Blanchardstown, Clondalkin and Tallaght and linking both Finglas and Tallaght back into the city centre. The light rail line from Sandyford Industrial Estate to Dublin Airport is upgraded to METRO in each theme. Each theme includes the same highway improvements and greatly enhanced QBC and bus networks, which are shown in figure 4.5. The three themes are as follows:

**Theme 1: METRO**
This theme mainly uses METRO lines to achieve the required rail network. The theme contains a METRO line from Leixlip through Lucan, Dolphin’s Barn, Heuston Station and continuing underground through the south inner city to link with Tara Street. Harcourt Street, Heuston, Broadstone and Tara Street are all joined by an underground section of METRO in the city centre. The network is shown in figure 4.6.

**Theme 2: Heavy Rail**
This theme uses significant enhancements, extensions and additions to the existing heavy rail network – including an underground inter-connector in the city centre linking Heuston Station, Pearse Station and East Wall (taken from the Strategic Rail Study, described earlier). In addition, it contains the eastern rail connection from Dublin Airport to the Northern Suburban line and a further new rail connection between the Maynooth and Kildare lines west of Lucan. The network is shown in figure 4.7.
Theme 3: On-Street Light Rail
The main focus of this theme is the extensive use of on-street light rail as distinct from heavy rail or METRO. The theme contains both METRO and light rail connections to Dublin Airport with the light rail line continuing on to Swords. A light rail line from Leixlip, through Lucan runs parallel to LUAS Line A south of the Liffey and joins Line A via East Link Bridge. Another light rail line from South Clondalkin penetrates the south inner city using the canal ring and meets up with LUAS Line A via Macken Street Bridge. The network is shown in figure 4.8.

General Findings from the Theme Tests 1, 2 and 3
As the three rail themes 1, 2 and 3 deliver similar transport networks using different combinations of rail modes, the general impacts of all three are similar. All three strategies satisfy the ‘walk and ride’ criterion, limit car trips to 1997 levels and generally reduce highway congestion to 1991 levels. However, in all three strategies the M50 is quite severely congested - particularly between the Naas Road (N7) and Ashbourne Road (N2) junctions - and there are large queues on some national primary routes approaching the M50 in the inbound direction. In addition to these general findings, each strategy has its own strengths and weaknesses as described below.
Strengths and Weaknesses of Theme 1 – METRO Theme

The main strength of the METRO theme is its city centre rail penetration with good rail access to the city from almost all parts of the network. The maximum line flows on the main rail routes are shown in figure 4.6a and figure 4.6b. These show that the network would have considerable reserve capacity beyond the 2016 target date.

The principal weakness of the METRO theme is the volume of tunnelling required to build it. There would be two north / south and one east / west tunnel within the city centre and a long stretch of tunnel on the METRO line to Dublin Airport. The approximate cost of the rail elements of Theme 1 would be I£7bn (€8.9bn) making it the most expensive of the three themes.
Strengths and Weaknesses of Theme 2 – Heavy Rail Theme

The main strength of the Heavy Rail Theme is that it builds on the existing heavy rail network with extensive enhancements, extensions and additions. The underground inter-connector between Heuston and East Wall links the existing Northern suburban, Maynooth and Kildare lines and hence allows a large number of route and service variants to be provided on the rail network.

The maximum passenger flows for each of the main rail lines in Theme 2 is shown in figure 4.7a and figure 4.7b. These show low passenger demand in the Phoenix Park Tunnel, with the inter-connector being by far the preferred route for passengers on the Kildare line.

There are two principal weaknesses of the Heavy Rail Theme:

- though there is an orbital rail link between the Maynooth and Kildare lines west of Lucan, this is not the most efficient or direct way of servicing the strong trip demand from Lucan and other western areas;
- the heavy rail service to Dublin Airport via an eastern connection to the northern suburban line attracts very poor passenger demand and is clearly not the preferred option for serving the Airport.

The approximate cost of the rail elements of Theme 2 would be IR£6bn (€7.6bn).
Figure 4.7a  THEME 2 - SUBURBAN RAIL THEME (MAXIMUM RAIL LINE FLOWS)

Figure 4.7b  THEME 2 - SUBURBAN RAIL THEME (MAXIMUM RAIL LINE FLOWS) - CITY CENTRE
Strengths and Weaknesses of Theme 3 – Light Rail Theme

The Light Rail Theme provides a very dense and highly accessible (particularly in the city centre) mesh of rail lines. There are many more stops on this network than is the case with either of the other two themes and hence more people are within easy walking distance of a rail service. Because no tunnelling would be required, this scenario would be the least expensive to build with an indicative cost of IR£4.7bn (€6.0bn). In addition, because no additional tunnels are required, Theme 3 would be deliverable in less time than the other two themes.

The maximum passenger flows on the principal rail lines for Theme 3 are shown in figures 4.8a and 4.8b. These show that with the exception of the line to Dublin Airport, all on-street light rail lines are within capacity.

There are two principal weaknesses of the Light Rail Theme – namely:

- there would be three (LUAS Line A and two others) parallel on-street light rail lines running West / East across the city centre in close proximity to each other. In practice, it would be very difficult to obtain the road space to construct such a tight mesh of lines on city centre streets;

- though (as stated above) all but one of the lines are within capacity, some lines are close to the capacity limit for on-street light rail. Hence, the network as a whole would have limited reserve capacity beyond the 2016 target date.

Overall, the clear finding from the three theme tests was that some combination of all three with some refinements and improvements would represent the final preferred strategy.
Phase 6: Preferred Strategy Identification

Introduction
Following the assessment of the findings of the three theme tests described above a new preferred strategy was developed, Strategy 4. Also incorporated into the assessment at this stage was information from the Dublin Suburban Rail Strategic Review and Bus Network Strategy Appraisal for the Greater Dublin Area.

Strategy 4
Description
Following on from the 3 theme tests and the Strategic Bus and Rail studies, the following conclusions were drawn in developing Strategy 4.

• The west/east heavy rail underground inter-connector (as proposed in the Strategic Rail Study) is the best way to satisfy the strong west/east passenger demand in the city centre and to provide a link between the Maynooth and Kildare lines. This inter-connector also interchanges with the north/south suburban rail line at Pearse and again north of Connolly station and hence provides a high degree of flexibility for the provision of services and route variants on the entire heavy rail system.

• Though the Phoenix Park Tunnel does bring Kildare line passengers somewhat closer to the city centre (than Heuston), it by-passes the important southeast inner city business area. In addition, it reduces the capacity on the Maynooth line for services from Maynooth and Navan, does not resolve the capacity problems of the Loop Line and brings Kildare trains on a circuitous route to the city centre (taking in excess of 15 minutes from Heuston to Connolly). For these reasons, the Phoenix Park Tunnel was excluded.

• The METRO loop, which was part of all three themes, is retained in Strategy 4. This loop will have a section of tunnel as it enters the city from Kimmage and joins up with Broadstone and Finglas.

• To provide a link with the DART network, LUAS Line B from Sandyford is extended south to Cherrywood and Shanganagh. This line is upgraded to METRO to cater for passenger demand.

• To maximise the use of the south/north tunnel in the city centre, the METRO loop (joining Finglas, Blanchardstown, Clondalkin and Tallaght to the west of the city) connects to the city centre via Kimmage and joins the METRO from Shanganagh and Sandyford at a point north of Ranelagh.

• The north/south city centre tunnel allows for interchange with DART/suburban rail at Tara Street, providing high capacity to high capacity interchange and relieving capacity pressures on LUAS Line A. A variant on this alignment that does not interchange with Tara Street is tested later.

• A METRO spur from Finglas to Dublin Airport would have a much shorter section of tunnel than the direct route north through Ballymun and was taken as the best way to serve the Airport. This spur would provide a high degree of accessibility to the Airport from most parts of the Greater Dublin Area.

• A METRO spur from Tallaght to serve the new development at Tallaght West was included.

• The on-street light rail line to Dublin Airport via Ballymun attracts significant passenger flows and is retained.

• The on-street light rail line from Lucan attracts significant passenger flows and is retained.

• The areas around Beaumont Hospital, Artane and Rathfarnham remain at a greater than walking distance of a rail (LUAS, METRO or DART) station and so an additional on-street light rail line between Artane and Rathfarnham was added.

Strategy 4 contains the same road improvements and QBC network as the three theme tests (see figure 4.5).

The rail network for Strategy 4 is shown in figure 4.9. Strategy 4 is an integrated multi-modal strategy, offering extensive public transport options which provide a viable alternative to the use of the car for most users of the transport network.
Variants on Strategy 4
Three variants on Strategy 4 were tested as follows.

- As explained above, the north / south city centre tunnel in Strategy 4 joins Harcourt Street to Broadstone via Tara Street DART station. A Strategy 4 test was carried out incorporating a variation of this tunnel alignment joining Harcourt Street to Broadstone directly and interchanging with LUAS Line A at Abbey Street (i.e. having no interchange with DART at Tara Street Station). This test showed that large numbers of passengers would interchange from this line to LUAS Line A and passenger flows on Line A east of this interchange point would be over capacity.

- Strategy 4 with a heavy rail spur serving Dublin Airport via Portmarnock replacing the METRO extension from Finglas to the Airport. The results of this test showed low flows on the heavy rail link and it is clearly not the preferred option for serving the Airport.

- Strategy 4 with a heavy rail spur to Dublin Airport from the Maynooth line via Pelletstown replacing the METRO extension from Finglas to the Airport. This test shows that a heavy rail link via Pelletstown would attract significantly less patronage than a METRO spur. This is due to the need to interchange to METRO rather than having a through running service. In addition, such a line from Dublin Airport could compromise the capacity of the Maynooth line - in particular if the rail line from Navan (as proposed in the Strategic Planning Guidelines) also feeds into this line.
Findings from Strategy 4

Strategy 4 delivers a similar mode split to the three theme tests. Radial journey times into the city are better than in any of the three tests and the bus mode performs better than in these tests.

However, a number of key problems remain with Strategy 4 as follows.

- Though Strategy 4 delivers major reductions in congestion, significant congestion still exists on the M50 between and the Navan Road (N3) and Naas Road (N7) interchanges and on the three National Primary routes (N3, N4, N7) as they approach their junctions with the M50. There is also considerable congestion on the M1 at junctions close to Dublin Airport. Given that the M50 is a dual 3-lane motorway, this would indicate that special demand management measures are required for the M50 and M1 motorways.

- The congestion on the M50 further implies that Strategy 4 does not provide an attractive public transport alternative to the use of the car for trips on these sections of the network. To address this problem, an analysis was carried out on these sections of the M50 to ascertain the pattern of trip origins and destinations. This revealed that in excess of 40% of all trips using this section of the M50 have origins in areas outside the Metropolitan Area, and are not served by public transport. The only suitable public transport solution for such trips is to use a combination of Park and Ride and feeder buses.

To address these problems and other issues arising out of the analysis of Strategy 4, Strategy 5 was developed and later renamed Strategy A.

Strategy A (Strategy 5)

Introduction

Strategy A contains the following additions and improvements to Strategy 4.

- Nine Park and Ride sites (incorporating feeder bus connections) have been incorporated into the public transport network at Swords, Finglas, Blanchardstown, Lucan, Palmerstown, Nangor, Fox & Geese, West Tallaght and Sandyford. Each site is restricted to a capacity of 2,000 trips per site. This allows for 1,000 park and ride trips for each site and a further 1,000 trips by a combination of “kiss and ride” and feeder bus options. For modelling purposes, zones outside the Dublin Metropolitan Area are connected to high capacity (METRO or heavy rail) nodes at these nine locations. Persons wishing to use the METRO or heavy rail network from these external zones would then have the option of using Park and Ride or feeder bus facilities to connect to these rail nodes. The park and ride option provides users of the M50 (particularly those originating in external zones) with a public transport alternative. However (as was argued earlier), the provision of the public transport option alone would be insufficient, and hence a separate demand management measure would be required to reduce congestion on the M50 and its major junctions. A proxy for this demand management measure in the form of a fixed charge for all car trips accessing the M50 was included into the model.

- The introduction of a charge as a proxy for demand management penalties on the M50 necessitated a more detailed examination of the appropriate charge for trips using the M50, accessing the city centre, and accessing other parts of the Greater Dublin Area. The overall objective of this iterative process was to solve the congestion problems on the M50 itself and ensure radial speeds close to 20kph. These penalties are applied as travel time penalties (in minutes) within the model. When converted to money units (using the value of time as applied in the DTO model) the analysis yielded a charge that equates to IR£2.00 (€2.54) for all traffic using the C-Ring, IR£2.80 (€3.56) for city centre bound trips and IR£2.40 (€3.05) for trips to locations outside the city centre. (These charges were used as a proxy for demand management measures generally. Other forms of demand management might achieve similar reductions.)

- The proposed on-street light rail line to Dublin Airport is now routed through Dorset Street, via DCU and Ballymun. An analysis of 3 different routings options for this LUAS line found that the line via DCU attracts the most patronage. A continuation of this line southwards serves Harold’s Cross, Terenure and Rathfarnham.

- The separate on-street light rail line from Artane to the city centre has been replaced by a spur from the Airport line at Whitehall through Artane and via Beaumont Hospital to join up with the DART at Kilbarrack. This is a more efficient way to serve these areas by light rail, does not cause over-capacity flows on the Airport line and provides connectivity to the DART.

- The Eastern By-pass provides the most direct route for cars and heavy goods vehicles accessing Dublin Port from the south eastern quadrant of Dublin. It also provides a more direct route for trips between the southeast and north / northeast than the M50 alternative. Because of this, the Eastern By-pass relieves some of the pressures on the M50. In addition, the extensive new residential developments within the city centre generate significant numbers of car trips travelling outbound from the city in the morning peak, and the Eastern By-pass helps to relieve some of the city centre congestion which these new trips generate. For these reasons, the Eastern By-pass is incorporated into Strategy A. Strategy A is illustrated in figure 4.10.
Findings from Strategy A

Strategy A delivers an overall average highway speed of 20 kph and congestion levels are much less than half that of the Do-minimum situation. Accessibility to the city centre and to Dublin Airport is comprehensively improved over a Do-minimum situation. Table 4.4 shows the overall mode split figures for Strategy A.

- The rail mode delivers the vast majority of the modal shift from car, while the bus mode carries approximately 70,000 peak hour trips. The Strategy delivers very good city centre penetration by rail from all areas, and hence, rail is hugely more attractive than bus for trips to the city centre. In this context, bus performs a different function in the Strategy with significantly more orbital trip movements (from suburb to suburb) as distinct from radial movements.

- The demand management measures on the M50 combined with the Park and Ride / feeder bus solution and the provision of the Eastern By-Pass greatly reduce the demand pressures on the M50 and its major junctions. Most sections of the motorway are either within or only slightly over capacity.

- The net impact of the addition of Park and Ride to Strategy A is a transfer of approximately 18,000 trips from car to rail with consequent large reductions in congestion on the M50 and the three National Primaries leading into it. There are significant increases in journey speeds from Swords, Blanchardstown, Lucan and Naas and the average network wide speed for inbound trips to the city centre is 19 kph.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of Transport</th>
<th>Person Trips ('000s)</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Trips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUS</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAIL</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, Strategy A delivers considerable benefits and represents a significant improvement on both the 2016 Do Minimum and 1997 base year scenarios in terms of congestion, accessibility and pollution.
Figure 4.10a  STRATEGY A (MAXIMUM RAIL LINE FLOWS)

Figure 4.10b  STRATEGY A (MAXIMUM RAIL LINE FLOWS)
**Strategy B**

**Introduction**

With Strategy A fully developed, there were concerns over the large capital cost associated with the METRO element of the Strategy. It was considered necessary, therefore, to test an option based on Strategy A that did not contain the METRO system but at the same time could provide similar benefits and choices for commuters. This strategy contained many elements of Strategy A, and incorporated the rail extensions developed by the Dublin Suburban Rail Strategic Review as an alternative to the METRO system of Strategy A. This strategy is called Strategy B.

**Description**

Strategy B incorporates the heavy rail solution as outlined in the Dublin Suburban Rail Strategic Review. This rail network contains the city centre inter-connector and upgrades to the Maynooth, Kildare and Northern lines – all of which are included in Strategy A. The following additions / amendments to Strategy A rail network (as outlined in the Dublin Suburban Rail Strategic Review) are included:

- heavy rail link to Dublin Airport as a spur off the Maynooth line via Pelletstown;
- heavy rail line from Navan linking into the Maynooth line via Blanchardstown town centre;
- rail spur off the Kildare line serving West Tallaght;
- continuation of LUAS Line B in tunnel from Harcourt Street to Broadstone and from there to Dublin Airport on street.

For the purposes of this model run, the bus network is identical to that used in the Strategy A. The Park and Ride sites for this proposal are at the same locations as Strategy A – but connected to the heavy rail network only. The rail network for Strategy B is illustrated in figure 4.11.

**Figure 4.11 STRATEGY B**
**Findings from Strategy B**

The main mode split results for the morning peak in 2016 for Strategy B compared with Strategy A are shown in Table 4.5.

**Table 4.5 Mode Split Results for Strategy B compared with Strategy A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of Transport</th>
<th>Strategy A</th>
<th>Strategy B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Person Trips ('000s)</td>
<td>Percentage Of Total Trips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.5 above shows that Strategy B would cause a modal shift of 5% (representing 24,000 trips) back to the car mode when compared to Strategy A. Trips by rail decrease by 9%, while trips by bus increase by 4% (an increase of 19,000 bus trips). The modal shift for city centre trips is 4% - i.e. an increase of 4,000 car trips over Strategy A. The increase in car trips has the effect of increasing highway congestion by 15% overall relative to Strategy A and reducing general accessibility to all parts of the city relative to Strategy A. In addition, Strategy B increases average rail trip lengths by 17% compared with Strategy A, with a consequent increase in rail energy consumption per passenger of 23%. The average traffic speeds on the highway network would be 17 kph in Strategy B as against 20 kph in Strategy A.

The maximum line flows on the major rail lines for Strategy A are shown in figure 4.10a and figure 4.10b. The equivalent maximum rail line flows for Strategy B are shown in figure 4.11a and figure 4.11b.

The maximum line flows on the rail networks show that for Strategy B flows on LUAS Line A would exceed capacity near Heuston station. Flows on LUAS Line B would be well in excess of capacity approaching the Grand Canal inbound. The rail flows on the inter-connector are much higher in Strategy B compared to Strategy A with maximum peak hour flows of 33,000 passengers per hour. Though (as stated earlier) DART and METRO have a theoretical capacity of 50,000 passengers per hour, the number of route and service variants using the inter-connector would reduce its practical capacity to approximately 40,000 passengers per hour per direction. Hence, in Strategy B, the inter-connector would have limited spare capacity beyond 2016. In the absence of the orbital METRO, the orbital trip demand between Tallaght and Blanchardstown is catered for in two ways:

- **because the strong orbital demand between Tallaght and Blanchardstown is not directly served, the model shows that many trips making this movement have to travel into the city centre, interchange to another rail line and travel back out again. (In practice, this demand would have to be served by alternative public transport options, which are not modelled). This leads to an increase in radial trips inbound and outbound on the Maynooth and Kildare lines via the city centre inter-connector;**
- **an increase in trip demand on the M50 of 1,700 trips northbound and 1,500 trips southbound.**

Few bus links in either strategy have passenger flows more than the absolute capacity limit of 5,000. However, in Strategy B, 114 bus links have passenger flows more than 3,000 passengers per direction in the peak hour, whereas the equivalent number of links for Strategy A is 44. This indicates that in Strategy B, which has an additional peak hour bus demand of 19,000 passengers, the bus network would experience significantly more capacity problems and would have little spare capacity beyond 2016.
Summary

Two important conclusions were drawn from the analysis of the theoretical 'higher mode' network described earlier – these were:

- **LUAS line B from Sandyford to the city and its extension to Dublin Airport need to have segregated running to cater for demand;**
- **Tallaght to the City Centre cannot be served by a single on-street light rail line, so a line with segregated running through Kimmage (the route of highest demand) is required.**

Strategy B as modelled does not address either of these issues and does not meet the ‘walk and ride’ criterion or the criterion of reducing congestion to 1991 levels. As such Strategy B does not represent a satisfactory solution in terms of the objectives as set out prior to the development of the strategy. In addition, the strong orbital trip demand between Blanchardstown and Tallaght is not directly served and many trips making this movement would have to travel radially into the city, interchange to another rail line and travel back out again. The public transport network in Strategy B, therefore fails to cater properly for demand on these routes and would transfer considerable numbers of trips back to car relative to Strategy A, thereby increasing highway congestion.

A more penal demand management regime than that proposed in Strategy A would be required to achieve an equivalent level of highway congestion. However, it is difficult to see how such a demand management regime can be applied to highway trips where the public transport alternative (LUAS Lines A or B) is over capacity.

Rail Coverage

Rail coverage maps, showing the areas within 1km of a LUAS, DART / heavy rail or METRO station, are represented in figure 4.12 for Strategy A and figure 4.13 for Strategy B.

The rail coverage in Strategy A is 46% higher than in Strategy B, consequently there are a greater number of areas in Strategy B not served by rail, leaving the bus as the only alternative.

Strategy B is very much radially based, and hence the strong orbital trip demand is not catered for in the most efficient manner in contrast to the direct route provided by the orbital METRO.

Though Strategy B does not provide an overall transportation solution, it was useful in showing the net value of the additional cost of the METRO. To this end, a full multi-criteria analysis of Strategy A and Strategy B was carried out - and the results of this analysis are described below.

Multi-Criteria Analysis of Strategy A and Strategy B

Strategies A and B were subject to an evaluation process in order to:

- identify the key benefits and costs of each strategy;
- compare the relative merits of each strategy.

Because of the wide-ranging objectives set for the development of transport in Dublin, a multi-criteria approach to evaluation was adopted. This approach assesses the impact of the investment strategies from a number of viewpoints, thus facilitating insights into the extent to which the strategies contribute to the objectives set for transport in Dublin. A feature of multi-criteria analysis is its incorporation of impacts, even where money values cannot be placed on them. In this sense, multi-criteria analysis may be contrasted with cost-benefit analysis, which is concerned with money costs and benefits. A cost-benefit assessment of the alternative strategies was also undertaken, within the overall framework provided by the multi-criteria analysis.
Figure 4.12  RAIL COVERAGE FOR STRATEGY A

Figure 4.13  RAIL COVERAGE FOR STRATEGY B
For the purposes of the multi-criteria analysis, the DTO objectives were encapsulated by four effects, which embrace a regrouping of the twenty-seven objectives. These four effects reflect notions of:

- economy;
- accessibility;
- sustainability;
- policy integration

A number of sub-effects were identified for each of the above.

**Cost-Benefit Analysis**

The general approach was to compare the proposed Strategies A and B (Do-something strategies) to a ‘Do-minimum’ strategy, and to assess the additional benefits and costs arising from the former.

The benefits and costs of the strategies were measured over a 30-year period from the year 2000 to the year 2030. As the performance of the Do-something strategies was modelled for the year 2016 only, the benefits for other years were derived by interpolation.

The costs included both the capital costs associated with infrastructural development and the rolling stock and vehicles, and public transport operating costs.

Transport user benefits were the principal source of benefits. These relate to travel time and vehicle operating cost savings. Other benefits measured were accident benefits, air pollution benefits and noise pollution benefits.

The economic return was measured by estimating the costs and benefits over the thirty-year evaluation period and establishing the net present value, cost-benefit ratio and internal rate of return of the strategies.

**Effect on Economy**

Table 4.6 presents the cost benefit results. Strategy A gives rise to discounted benefits of IR£24.4bn (€31.0bn) as compared with discounted costs of IR£8.9bn (€11.3bn). The net present value (NPV) is thus very substantial at IR£15.5bn (€19.7bn), indicating that the Strategy is highly effective. The efficiency of the Strategy may be measured by the cost-benefit ratio and the internal rate of return. Both of these are very high at 2.8 and 14.7% respectively. The internal rate of return (IRR) is well in excess of the test discount rate of 5%, which is advocated by the Department of Finance.

Strategy B also yields high returns, with impressive results for NPV, IRR and the Cost Benefit Ratio. However, Strategy B is less effective than Strategy A. This is measured by the NPV, which is lower for Strategy B (IR£13.9bn (€17.6bn)) than for Strategy A (IR£15.5bn (€19.7bn)). This reflects the greater scale of investment in Strategy A. That is, the higher investment under Strategy A does more to solve the predicted traffic problems in the year 2016, and thus yields benefits which outweigh the extra investment costs.

In contrast, Strategy B is a more efficient strategy than Strategy A. The internal rate of return for Strategy B is 16%, compared to 14.7% for Strategy A. Similarly, Strategy B has a higher cost-benefit ratio: 3.21 as opposed to 2.75. In short, Strategy B provides somewhat better value for money than A, per pound spent.

This raised the question as to whether the additional capital investment of Strategy A over Strategy B of some IR£2.3bn (€2.9bn) was justified. One way of evaluating this was to estimate the incremental costs and benefits of Strategy A over Strategy B, and establish whether the additional capital spending yields high returns. The results of such an analysis are presented in Table 4.7. Strategy A yields considerable additional benefits over Strategy B. More importantly, the IRR of the incremental capital spend is 10%. This is double the Department of Finance’s test discount rate and indicates that the incremental capital spend represents good value for money.

The cost benefit analysis for Strategy A (the DTO Strategy) was revised at the time of going to print, with the latest data for capital costs, operating costs and values of benefits. The results of the CBA are shown in Table 4.8. It shows that the economic return in terms of Net Present Value, Cost-Benefit Ratio and Internal Rate of Return are all higher than the original cost-benefit analysis. This is largely because of the increase in the value of user benefits, particularly user time savings.
Effect on Accessibility
Social Inclusion
The extent to which the alternative strategies catered for all groups in society was measured by examining improvements in accessibility for those who are captive to public transport. The DTO model’s ‘car not-available’ accessibility index for origins was used for this purpose. This measures the accessibility of each origin zone to all other zones, for those who do not have a car available to them.

Both Strategies A and B provide an increase in the level of accessibility to car-not-available trip makers of between 30 and 40%. This is a consequence of the emphasis on public transport in both strategies. A comparison of the two strategies indicated that Strategy A generally provides better accessibility for car not available trip-makers than Strategy B. This is particularly the case for trips from the south suburbs.

Regional Balance
Regional balance was measured by the accessibility of different zones within the DTO study area. Both strategies perform well on this criterion, exhibiting very similar results. Thus, in terms of regional balance, there was little to choose between the strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4.6 Summary of Costs and Benefits of Strategies A and B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User Benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accident Savings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Pollution Savings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Pollution Savings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Benefits</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Costs
Rail Operating Costs | 1,502 | 543 | 1,907 | 689 |
Bus Operating Costs | 470 | 637 | 597 | 809 |
Capital Costs | 6,920 | 5,121 | 8,787 | 6,502 |
**Total Costs** | 8,892 | 6,301 | 11,291 | 8,001 |

Net Present Value | 15,529 | 13,906 | 19,718 | 17,657 |
Cost-Benefit Ratio | 2.8 : 1 | 3.2 : 1 | |
Internal Rate of Return | 14.7% | 16.0% | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4.7: Evaluation of Return on Additional Capital Spending in Strategy A over Strategy B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal Rate of Return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Present Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Benefit Ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.8 Summary of Revised Costs and Benefits of Strategy A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IR£ million</th>
<th>€ million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benefits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User Benefits</td>
<td>25,594</td>
<td>32,498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accident Savings</td>
<td>1,272</td>
<td>1,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Pollution Savings</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Pollution Savings</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Benefits</strong></td>
<td><strong>27,622</strong></td>
<td><strong>35,073</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail Operating Costs</td>
<td>1,493</td>
<td>1,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Operating Costs</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Costs</td>
<td>7,014</td>
<td>8,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,977</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,398</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Present Value</td>
<td>18,645</td>
<td>23,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost-Benefit Ratio</td>
<td>3.08 : 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Rate of Return</td>
<td>15.80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect on Sustainability

Emissions
The analysis of emissions covered carbon dioxide (CO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), volatile organic compounds (VOC), nitrous oxides (NOₓ), particulate matter (PM), and sulphur dioxide (SO₂). Table 4.9 presents the results. The analysis showed that Strategy A is considerably more successful in reducing emissions than Strategy B. For example, emissions of CO₂ fall from 2,611 kT to 1,551 kT or by 41% under Strategy A, as against a decrease of 34% under Strategy B.

Noise Pollution
Table 4.6 shows that the sum of the discounted noise pollution benefits is IR£24m (€30m) for Strategy A compared with IR£21m (€27m) for Strategy B.

Energy
Because both Strategies A and B are successful in increasing the public transport modal share, they yield substantial energy savings. Strategy A is better in this regard, providing a 40% reduction in energy consumption relative to the Do-minimum Scenario. The equivalent figure for Strategy B is 33%.

Table 4.9: Emission levels for the 1997 Baseline Traffic Volumes, the Do-minimum and Strategies A and B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>KT</td>
<td>1,328</td>
<td>2,611</td>
<td>1,551</td>
<td>1,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>75,649</td>
<td>32,058</td>
<td>18,780</td>
<td>21,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>12,209</td>
<td>5,321</td>
<td>3,142</td>
<td>3,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>6,699</td>
<td>1,936</td>
<td>1,288</td>
<td>1,423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect on Policy Integration

Land Use Plans
This criterion assessed the compatibility of the strategies with the Strategic Planning Guidelines for the Greater Dublin Area. The Guidelines are based on the principle of consolidation of land uses and separate approaches have been devised for the Metropolitan Area and the Hinterland Area. With regard to the former, the emphasis is on development of brown-field sites and increases in residential density. For the Hinterland Area, the emphasis is on self-sufficient development centres as counterweights to the Metropolitan Area. As both of the strategies considered were based on traffic forecasts derived from the land use patterns envisaged in the Strategic Planning Guidelines, they are both broadly compatible with the Guidelines.

However, as Strategy A has greater capacity and can thus cater for higher traffic flows and passenger numbers, it has greater potential for accommodating higher land use densities.
Future Transport Needs
This involved evaluating the strategies based on the spare capacity available at the end of 2016 on the DART/Suburban Rail, METRO and LUAS networks, and the ability of the strategies to offer greater capacity going forward.

The LUAS lines within Strategy A are close to capacity in year 2016. However, the METRO elements exhibit significant spare capacity. The capacity utilisation of suburban rail lines is above that of the METRO but below that of the LUAS. There is significant spare capacity on the rail interconnector.

Because Strategy B relies heavily on suburban rail with no METRO elements, both the suburban rail system (including the rail interconnector) and the LUAS elements are close to capacity by 2016.

The general conclusion is therefore that Strategy B would result in a system with very little spare capacity in 2016, whereas the METRO elements of Strategy A afford some spare capacity going forward.

Synthesis and Conclusions
Strategy A was preferred to Strategy B on most of the evaluation criteria. By delivering higher net benefits, Strategy A is seen to be a more effective strategy, giving rise to larger user benefits than Strategy B. Strategy A is also more compatible with the development of a sustainable economy, provides higher levels of accessibility for non-car users, caters better for long term transport needs, and is more in keeping with land use planning aspirations. In terms of accessibility to and from all parts of the study area, there is less to choose between the strategies.

Strategy B is preferred to Strategy A on only one criterion – efficiency. This means that Strategy B provides a higher return per pound spent. However, despite the greater efficiency of Strategy B, the additional capital spending associated with Strategy A over Strategy B represents good value for money.

In general terms, therefore, Strategy A is to be preferred. The benefits of Strategy A are very substantial at IR£18.6bn (£23.7bn) in net present value terms. With a cost-benefit ratio of 3.08 and a rate of return of 15.8%, the Strategy provides good value for money.

Phase 7: Sensitivity Testing of Preferred Strategy
Introduction
Following the multi-criteria assessments, Strategy A was chosen as the Preferred Strategy. This section describes the sensitivity tests that were carried out on Strategy A to ensure that the strategy is robust.

Sensitivity Tests
There were three sensitivity tests carried out on Strategy A as follows:

- high growth scenario;
- low growth scenario;
- removal of demand management measures.

Sensitivity Test 1 - High Growth Scenario
The purpose of sensitivity test 1 is to assess the robustness of the preferred strategy in a high economic growth scenario. For this scenario, overall peak-hour trip demand was assumed to be 20% more than in the standard runs - i.e. 586,000 trips. The impact of the 20% increase in overall trip demand is to increase the highway and public transport flows on all routes by a similar percentage. In this scenario, DART/heavy rail and METRO lines will have sufficient reserve capacity to cope with increasing demand. There is a large increase in rail flows on the inter-connector (up to 24,000 trips).

However, the proposed Lucan LUAS line will be over capacity (max flow of 8,000 trips). The N11 QBC will also have capacity problems – particularly where it enters the city centre. In addition, an increased level of demand management will be required on the M50 to maintain the peak hour flows to within capacity limits.

Sensitivity Test 2 - Low Growth Scenario
The purpose of sensitivity test 2 is to assess the robustness of the preferred strategy in circumstances of low economic growth and/or a higher usage of slower modes (cycling/walking) and increased tele-working. For this scenario, overall peak-hour trip demand was assumed to be 20% less than in the standard runs - i.e. 390,000 trips. The impact of the 20% reduction in overall
trip demand reduces highway and public transport flows on all routes by a similar percentage. However, this does not reduce the need for any of the higher capacity public transport lines - ie METRO and heavy rail. Most on-street light rail lines are still justified, but it could be argued that the lines to Artane and Rathfarnham could be replaced by QBC services.

**Sensitivity Test 3 - Removal of Demand Management Measures**
The purpose of sensitivity test 3 is to assess the preferred strategy with demand management measures removed. The result of the model run on this test revealed that with demand management measures removed from the strategy the overall average network speed decreased to 15 kph (which is close to 1997 levels). Further assessment of this test indicates that demand for the public transport network remains high, and therefore the high capacity systems proposed in the strategy remain justified in a no demand management scenario. However, the highway network is still congested and the public transport system (in particular the high capacity METRO and DART / heavy rail systems) is under-utilised.

**Sensitivity Tests - Conclusions**
These sensitivity tests show that the strategy is robust under assumptions of higher or lower economic growth. If no demand management were applied to highway trips, the public transport network would attract sufficient numbers to leave highway congestion at 1997 levels. However, this would create a scenario for 2016 where a huge investment in public transport still leaves congestion on the highway network largely unsolved and an under-utilised public transport system.

**Final Refinements to Preferred Strategy**
Following the sensitivity testing of the Preferred Strategy, some final refinements were made to the strategy as follows:

- **as Navan is the only primary development centre without a rail link to Dublin, the rail link from Navan to the Maynooth line (as recommended in the Strategic Planning Guidelines) is included in the preferred strategy;**

- **the METRO spur to Dublin Airport is extended to Swords to cater for the large developments proposed for this region. The on-street light rail line through Dublin Airport and Swords is terminated south of the Airport, where it has an interchange point with the METRO;**

- **the Outer Orbital Road in the Hinterland Area (as recommended in the Strategic Planning Guidelines) is included to encourage the development of commercial links between the development centres.**

The rail network for the final Preferred Strategy is shown in figure 4.14.

**Figure 4.14 PREFERRED STRATEGY**
5. The Strategy

The DTO Strategy (ie Strategy A from Chapter 4), which enables the achievement of the land use goals set out by the Strategic Planning Guidelines and meets the objectives derived from the Vision Statement and the Steering Committee, has two interdependent elements:

- **Infrastructure and Service Improvements** to increase the supply of transport, including a substantial expansion of the public transport network, some strategic road construction and traffic management;
- **Demand Management** to reduce the growth in travel through the application of complementary land use and other policies while maintaining economic progress, and which is designed to encourage a transfer of trips, especially at peak periods, from the private car to sustainable modes of transport (such as public transport, cycling and walking).

The DTO Strategy is an integrated one. It will only be effective when both elements are implemented together in a coherent way. Going ahead with the infrastructure element alone will not be enough. It must be accompanied by the demand management element and the complementary policies if the Strategy is to achieve its overall objectives.

The DTO Strategy seeks to transform the transportation system in the Greater Dublin Area. There will be extensive, high quality, fully accessible, integrated networks for DART/suburban rail, LUAS, METRO, bus, roads, cycling and walking. Public transport will cater for a majority of the demand for travel. Rail based systems will see a ten-fold increase in passenger numbers. Roads will be managed so that congestion for all road users is minimised. The overall effect of the Strategy, compared with a ‘Do-minimum’ scenario, will be to reduce average journey times by half, reduce polluting emissions by a third and reduce traffic accidents by a third.

The rest of this Chapter briefly describes the principal components of this integrated transportation strategy. It includes:

- an integrated public transport network which provides for a radical transformation in the quality and quantity of services provided;
- strategic, but limited, improvements to the road network which will be managed in a way which does not encourage peak hour car commuting;
- traffic management policies which will optimise the use of the road network for all users, including car drivers and passengers, public transport passengers, cyclists and pedestrians;
- a freight management policy designed to provide the basis for a detailed strategy to facilitate the movement of goods and improve freight access to the ports and Dublin Airport;
- good quality cycling and pedestrian networks;
- a statement of policy on demand management which will provide the basis for the development of a detailed demand management implementation programme;
- guidance on complementary land use policies.

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The public transport elements of the Strategy will provide for approximately 300,000 trips in the morning peak hour in 2016, compared with about 70,000 today. To achieve this it will be necessary to create an integrated public transport network comprising the following principal components:

- an improved DART/suburban rail network including improved passenger carrying capacity on the existing network by lengthening trains to 8-cars and by increasing service frequencies, the development of more tracks on existing alignments so as to remove bottlenecks, an underground interconnector between Heuston Station and East Wall and other new rail lines;
- an extension of the on-street light rail network (LUAS);
- the development of a higher capacity segregated light rail network (METRO);
- a much expanded bus network, comprising an integrated mesh of radial and orbital services, higher frequencies on new and existing services and a substantial increase in passenger carrying capacity;
• a package of measures designed to improve the integration and attractiveness of the public transport network, including park and ride facilities, integrated fares and ticketing, quality interchange facilities and improved passenger information.

The Strategy will make it possible to complete the bulk of public transport journeys in the Greater Dublin Area with not more than one transfer, whether intra-modal (eg bus to bus) or inter-modal (eg bus to rail), and with only one ticket.

When the public transport strategy is in place, the great majority of people living in the Greater Dublin Area will be able to access the public transport network by walking 10 minutes or less. With this Strategy, public transport will be a viable option for the large majority of trips.

Transport services in this Strategy will be accessible to all users. The particular needs of people with mobility impairments and disabilities will be addressed by providing low-floor buses, level boarding on rail-based modes of public transport and high quality pedestrian facilities. This will consequently ensure a high quality of accessibility to public transport for all transport users.

**Bus** (see figure 5.1)

Buses are the most flexible form of public transport. The bus is, consequently, the most extensive form of public transport in the Strategy in terms of route length and geographic coverage. Quality Bus Corridors and bus priority measures can be implemented relatively quickly and cheaply. They therefore provide the best means of dealing with the existing transportation deficit in the short term.

The role of the bus is likely to change quite substantially over time, particularly as the longer-term rail-based elements in this Strategy are put in place. In the short term, the bus will be the primary mode of public transport. As the rail-based networks are put in place, the bus will increasingly be used to feed passengers to rail services as well as carry them directly to their ultimate destination. The bus will also be used to fill in gaps in the mesh of public transport routes where a rail-based system is uneconomic, impractical or not feasible and also to extend the reach of the rail network.
The Quality Bus Network (QBN), shown in indicative form in figure 5.1, will consist of radial and orbital Quality Bus Corridors and additional bus priority measures. In general, the design of the network will offer clear advantage to public transport over private vehicles so as to ensure competitive and reliable door-to-door journey times. The target design speed for public transport services in the Quality Bus Network will remain at 22kph.

The Strategy requires delivery of the entire Quality Bus Network by 2006, to provide as much public transport capacity, tailored towards projected demand, in the shortest possible time. This will involve the provision of approximately 258km of additional QBCs and bus priority measures, in addition to the 49km in place at the beginning of March 2001. The result will be a tight mesh of radial and orbital routes linking the suburbs with each other and with the city centre.

The infrastructural programme involves completion and extension of the Quality Bus Corridor network and other associated bus priority schemes such as local links to rail stations, and key sections of bus routes that are not on the QBN. Ten of the twelve QBCs in the original DTO programme were completed by the end of June 2001 and the remaining two will be completed by 2002 (see Chapter 3 for details).

Following completion of the original DTO QBC programme, described in Chapter 3, the new programme will focus on the design and implementation of an extended network of QBCs and other bus priority measures including:

- extensions to the 12 QBCs in the original DTO programme;
- additional radial QBCs;
- a limited number of orbital QBCs;
- bus priority on access routes to major employment centres;
- bus priority on links to radial and orbital QBCs.

The prioritisation of the implementation of the expanded QBN programme will be addressed in a Short Term Action Plan which is being prepared by the DTO. Some indicative examples of possible QBN measures are set out below.

### Quality Bus Network: Indicative Projects

#### Extension of existing QBCs
- Malahide Road QBC extension to Malahide
- Blanchardstown QBC extension to Clonee and Dunboyne
- Lucan QBC extension to Leixlip
- Tallaght QBC extension to Tallaght West
- Stillorgan QBC extension to Bray
- Orbital QBC extension to Baldoyle

#### New Radial QBCs
- Sutton – Clontarf – Fairview

#### New Orbital QBCs
- Griffith Avenue
- Grand Canal, North Circular Road
- Inner City

A QBN Project Team will design and implement the expanded programme. The Team will be under the management of the Director of Traffic. It will design and implement QBCs and other bus priority measures on a “whole route” basis.

The QBN design will be broadly based. Service characteristics, pedestrian access and passenger waiting facilities will be designed with an emphasis on meeting customer requirements. Quality Bus Corridors will be constructed so that buses are not delayed in traffic. This will involve with-flow and contra-flow bus lanes, converting streets to one-way operation with contra-flow bus lanes, bus only roads, the use of selective bus detection technology and priority at traffic lights, bus gates (where buses
are given a head start at traffic lights) and queue relocation (where general traffic is managed so that queues only occur where
bus lanes are provided). They will have direct, high frequency services with long working hours. There will be a flagship route
on each corridor but the benefits of the infrastructure will accrue to all routes on the corridor. The buses will be clean,
comfortable, low-floor and environmentally friendly. Generally, bus stops will have high quality shelters with seating and lighting.
Real time passenger information will be introduced at appropriate locations, as the bus fleet becomes equipped with Automatic
Vehicle Location (AVL) technology, probably based on a Global Positioning System (GPS). Staff will continue to be trained to
provide a high standard of customer care.

In the city centre, the QBN will allow radial bus routes to access all parts of the city centre and to interchange with and link to
DART/suburban rail stations, LUAS stops and METRO stations. It will also improve the reliability of cross-city services. The
implementation of the Millennium proposals for O’Connell Street and Dame Street will provide a congestion free route for cross-
city services. City centre termini will be moved to improve access for passengers, to increase opportunities for interchange and
to minimise the environmental impact of the buses.

Local bus priority measures and services will be provided in addition to the QBN, in particular to access major residential, retail
and employment centres. Layouts of major new residential, retail and employment developments will allow direct and efficient
access exclusively for buses.

Local bus services in the Hinterland Area will focus on the Development Centres and rail stations. They will expand as the
population and employment levels in the Development Centres grow. They will link employment, service and residential areas,
thus promoting the objective in the Strategic Planning Guidelines that the Development Centres become self-sufficient in the
longer term. There will be bus priority measures wherever necessary.

An estimated 495 additional buses, inclusive of the 225 delivered in 2000, will be required to implement the expanded bus
services. Approximately 600 replacement buses will be required to upgrade the existing fleet and reduce the maximum age of
buses in the fleet to 10 years. All new buses for use on urban services will be low-floor.

All new traffic changes and schemes will be audited for public transport, as part of the traffic management element of this Strategy.

**DART/Suburban Rail** (see figure 5.2)

Heavy rail systems, such as DART and Arrow, have high potential passenger capacities but are very expensive to build. DART
is now experiencing capacity problems during peak hours especially in the city centre. There is a severe bottleneck on the
suburban rail services on the DART and Maynooth lines approaching Connolly Station. The Arrow service from Kildare
terminates at Heuston Station, which is more than 2km from the city centre.

The DART/suburban rail strategy is designed to make the maximum use of existing rail lines, in particular by eliminating the
capacity constraints in the existing system. This requires:

- upgrading of signalling on the Dundalk, Maynooth and Kildare lines to allow a substantial increase in the number of peak
  hour trains;
- lengthening of platforms to allow the operation of 8-car DART and Arrow trains;
- station improvements, including new platforms, in Connolly, Heuston, Pearse and Grand Canal Dock Stations;
- a new station in the Docklands;
- the removal of or restrictions on the use of level crossings on the DART and suburban rail lines;
- the segregation of intercity services from suburban services on the Dundalk and Kildare lines. This requires three- or four-
  tracking from Connolly Station to north of Howth Junction and four-tracking from Cherry Orchard to Sallins.

The centrepiece of the DART/suburban rail strategy is an underground interconnector linking Heuston Station with East Wall
junction north of Connolly Station, via the south inner city, Pearse Station and Docklands. This interconnector allows for through
running from the Kildare line to the Maynooth line and/or the Dundalk line. It provides a by-pass of the existing severe
bottleneck approaching Connolly Station; it serves areas of high demand, especially the south-east inner city and Docklands;
it allows for the maximum use of the Maynooth and Kildare lines. The tunnel will be too long and will have too many
underground stations to allow diesel trains. Therefore, both the Maynooth and Kildare lines will be electrified so that these
services can run via the tunnel. This, in effect, will be a major extension of the present DART system. The interconnector will transform the DART/suburban rail system from one with severe constraints in terms of capacity and accessibility to a system that has a well balanced high capacity, that is operationally very efficient and that penetrates all the major areas of demand in the city centre.

There will be new rail stations, particularly near areas of new development adjacent to the existing rail lines. The exact location of new stations will be a matter for detailed design and public consultation, but possible locations include North Clondalkin, South Lucan, Ashington, West Blanchardstown and Donaghmede.

A new spur rail line will be constructed off the Maynooth line near Clonsilla via Dunboyne to Navan. Services will run from Navan to the city centre. There are a number of possible alignments. There is an existing alignment along a disused railway from Clonsilla to Navan. Other options could provide better access to developing lands in Castaheany, Clonee, Ashbourne, Ratoath and/or Dunshaughlin. The final alignment will depend on detailed design and public consultation.
LUAS (on-street light rail) (see figure 5.3)

The LUAS system is appropriate in corridors where passenger numbers are too high to be accommodated on bus but not high enough to justify the expense of DART or METRO. The LUAS system in this Strategy is founded on LUAS lines that are already under construction. LUAS Line A (Tallaght to Abbey Street) is under construction. Line B (Sandyford Industrial Estate to St Stephen’s Green) is under construction as a LUAS line but will be upgraded to METRO later (see under METRO). LUAS Line C (Abbey Street to Connolly Station) is under construction and will be extended to Docklands.

A new north-south line will be constructed from Ballymun via Whitehall, the city centre, Harold’s Cross, Terenure and Rathfarnham to Dundrum. This will be extended north of Ballymun to Sillogue. It will interchange with the METRO at four separate points: at Sillogue, in the north city centre, in the south city centre and at Dundrum. There will be a spur at Whitehall via Beaumont and Coolock to Kilbarrack where it will interchange with DART.

A new east-west line will be constructed from Lucan via Ballyfermot, Drimnagh, Dolphin’s Barn and the South City Centre to Docklands via the proposed Macken Street Bridge.

Figure 5.3
**METRO (segregated light rail)** (see figure 5.4)

METRO is a light rail system that has many similarities with LUAS, however, it is completely segregated throughout its entire length (that is, it has no on-street sections). This means that it can have longer trains, operating at higher speeds and higher frequency and therefore has the potential to provide very high passenger capacity. Tunnels are needed to maintain segregation in densely developed areas.

The METRO system will have a spine from Swords to Shanganagh. This line will run via Dublin Airport, Finglas, Broadstone, the city centre, Ranelagh, Sandyford and Cherrywood. The section between the Royal Canal and Broadstone will be in the disused Broadstone rail alignment and will interchange with DART/suburban rail at Liffey Junction. The section between Broadstone and Ranelagh will be in tunnel and will interchange with DART at Tara Street Station. Construction of this line will entail the upgrading of LUAS Line B to METRO between the Sandyford Industrial Estate and Ranelagh.

There will be a line from Tallaght West via Tallaght and Kimmage, entering the city centre tunnel in the south city. This line will interchange with LUAS Line A at Tallaght and with the Rathfarnham LUAS line at Harold’s Cross.

An orbital line will be formed from a spur off the Swords-Shanganagh spine route at Finglas via Blanchardstown and Clondalkin to Tallaght. This line will interchange with DART/suburban rail, and possibly with intercity trains, at Porterstown and Clondalkin. This will allow passengers from Sligo, Ballina, Westport, Galway, Limerick, Tralee, Cork, and Waterford trains to access Dublin Airport with only one interchange.

The service pattern will depend on passenger demand but is likely to include services:

- from Tallaght West via Clondalkin, Blanchardstown and Dublin Airport to Swords;
- from Tallaght West via Tallaght, Kimmage, the city centre and Finglas to Dublin Airport;
- from Shanganagh via Sandyford, Ranelagh, the city centre and Finglas to Dublin Airport.
The Strategy is integrated across the various modes of transport. There will be numerous interchange points on the METRO, DART/suburban rail, LUAS and bus networks, particularly in the city centre. There will be bus feeder services to rail-based public transport. It will be possible to make almost all journeys on the public transport networks with not more than one interchange. Interchange will be properly planned, so that journeys on public transport are "seamless".

All public transport networks will be fully accessible by people with mobility impairments and disabilities.

A series of public transport nodes will be designed to facilitate interchange where public transport services converge. The public transport services will, as far as reasonable, have co-ordinated timetables. The nodes will have safe, comfortable waiting facilities with passenger information. The nodes will include:

- Connolly Station/Busaras (DART/suburban rail, LUAS, QBN, intercity trains, provincial buses);
- Tara Street Station (DART/suburban rail, METRO, QBN);
- Pearse Station (DART/suburban rail, QBN, intercity trains);
- Heuston Station (DART/suburban rail, LUAS, QBN, intercity trains);
- Porterstown (DART/suburban rail, METRO, QBN, intercity trains);
- Clondalkin (DART/suburban rail, METRO, QBN, intercity trains);
- Tallaght (METRO, LUAS, QBN);
- Dundrum (METRO, LUAS, QBN).
Real time travel information and public transport information services by telephone and on the internet will be introduced.

Park and Ride will integrate the car with public transport. There will be Park and Ride facilities for commuters at strategic locations where the national road network meets the public transport networks. All proposed Park and Ride sites will be assessed to ensure that cars accessing them do not unduly add to congestion.

Cycle parking facilities will be provided at appropriate Park and Ride sites, DART/suburban rail stations, METRO stations, LUAS stops and bus stops. This will increase the catchment of public transport, particularly the rail based modes. There will be a consequent increase in passenger numbers and revenue for the operators.

Integrated fares and ticketing will be introduced. This will allow all public transport users to complete a full journey with only one ticket, even if the journey involves more than one bus and/or LUAS and/or DART/suburban rail and/or METRO trip. The ticket will be a contactless ‘smart card’ and will be usable on all forms of public transport including services provided by private operators. The use of contactless smart cards speeds up passenger boarding on buses, and consequently speeds up bus journey times. The smart card could be used for other payments such as car-parking charges, taxi fares and electronic road pricing. As well as making the journey more convenient (especially for occasional users of public transport), integrated fares will make most journeys involving interchange cheaper.

Taxis and hackneys have the potential to provide a comfortable, door-to-door service at all times of the day. They are an important link in the transportation chain. If commuters, particularly those who intermittently and unpredictably use their cars during the working day, know that there is a high quality taxi and hackney service for daytime journeys, then they are more likely to use public transport for commuting.

**Figure 5.6**
ROADS (see figure 5.7)

The development of the national road network in the Greater Dublin Area meets national economic policy objectives and, accordingly, a number of national road projects are included in the Strategy. The projects fall into two general categories. The first is the upgrading and completion of the orbital motorway around Dublin (M50, the Dublin Port Tunnel and Eastern By-Pass). The second comprises upgrading the arterial national routes outside the orbital motorway.

The Strategy includes a number of non-national road projects that have a strategic influence (as distinct from local impacts). The main criteria for inclusion are that the project should:

- provide for proper management of access to the M50 and/or national arterial routes;
- complement the Strategic Planning Guidelines;
- serve critical economic development needs in the Metropolitan Area or in the development centres identified in the Strategic Planning Guidelines;
- provide other environmental or safety benefits;
- increase capacity for public transport;
- provide or improve access to public transport facilities (e.g., rail stations).

The principal road projects are listed in Chapter 8.

As in the 1995 DTI Strategy, there will be no significant increase in road space on radial roads inside the M50 motorway. Further, there will be no additional roads to cater for car traffic passing through the Metropolitan Area, apart from those roads contained in the Strategy.

The construction of new and improved roads, especially by-passes, will be accompanied by the reallocation of road space on the existing road network to pedestrians, cyclists and/or public transport users. This will apply to by-passed towns in the Hinterland Area and also to the Metropolitan Area when the South Eastern Motorway and Eastern By-Pass are built.

Figure 5.7
TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

The primary objective of traffic management is to optimise the use of road space for all users as measured against the objectives set out in the Vision Statement in Chapter 2. Traffic management will be particularly important in the short term, before the high-capacity rail-based public transport schemes are completed. In 2006, there will be an additional 60,000 public transport users in the peak hour, most of them travelling by bus. This alone will require the traffic management system to provide additional bus priority as well as catering for the extra 120,000 pedestrian trips (ie 60,000 at each end of the public transport journey). In 2006, there will be an additional 84,000 car trips.

A Regional Traffic Management Strategy

There will be a Regional Traffic Management Strategy, which will have 4 main parts:

- the definition of a hierarchy of roads in the network, setting out the purposes and objectives for each level in the hierarchy;
- a monitoring system, to measure how well the highway infrastructure is meeting its objectives;
- a control system, enabling adjustment of the network;
- a series of firm proposals comprising a traffic management policy.

Definition of the highway infrastructure and objectives

A regional hierarchy of roads will be defined, setting out the functions of each link in the network, and reconfiguring these links to support those functions. Within the road hierarchy, the distributor road network (including national roads within the Metropolitan Area) will concentrate on the regional movements of people and goods. The future role of traffic calming and its methodology will be examined as part of this review.

Optimising the use of road space for all users will require the various agencies to agree practical objectives for the networks in terms of safety, journey times, capacity and mode splits. These objectives will be translated into measurable indicators, so that the best regime for managing traffic is defined objectively, and continually delivered by the agencies in a co-ordinated way.

Monitoring System

Traffic demand will change constantly. The challenge is to continually monitor changes in demand and performance, and adjust traffic controls in response to those changes.

A Monitoring Systems Study will be undertaken, to determine how this monitoring framework will be implemented. This will
include specifying the monitoring systems required, as well as identifying how to integrate this system with existing and proposed traffic control and travel information systems.

Control Systems
Certain elements of traffic can be directly controlled, including:

- traffic signals;
- speed;
- enforcement;
- on-street parking;
- road works and utilities management.

Traffic Signals
There will be local traffic signal control centres in each of the four Dublin local authorities. They will have integrated traffic management systems controlling traffic signals, remote fault detection, closed circuit TV and variable message signs. There will be communication between the centres, as determined by the Monitoring Study. A Regional Signal Control Plan will be developed by the DTO. The Plan will co-ordinate traffic signal control on a regional basis and will seek to achieve broad goals, including the reduction of rat-running, pedestrian delays and congestion, while increasing bus speeds and improving safety.

Speed Control
Lower speeds can give higher capacity for traffic and are safer. Therefore, a Regional Speed Study will be conducted to examine current speed limits and observance. It will develop a speed strategy and make recommendations about its implementation.

Enforcement
Enforcement will continue to play a key role in improving the effectiveness of traffic management. The likelihood of being caught and penalised will be enhanced. Enforcement systems will be increasingly automatic, in terms of camera recording and computer processing of violations. A penalty points system, which is currently being implemented for safety-related violations, will be considered for traffic flow violations (eg box junction and bus lane infringements).

On-street Parking Control
Clamping and towing have made a vital contribution to managing parking. Clamping will be extended to areas of high parking demand, especially retail centres. Clamping will be extended to additional main traffic routes.

Road Works and Utilities Management
The local authorities and the utility companies will develop a more co-ordinated approach to the planning and management of road works. All non-emergency disruptive works (eg resurfacing, trench digging, duct and pipe laying) will be co-ordinated to ensure that they are carried out in the least disruptive and most efficient way. A strengthened legislative framework may be required to give local authorities the necessary powers of enforcement, especially in relation to telecommunication utilities whose numbers have increased significantly and who are in competition with each other. Consideration will be given to the possible use of economic instruments, such as lane rental, to regulate roadworks.

Traffic Management Policy
Segregation of Modes
In a situation where all modes are mixed as a single stream of traffic on the road network, it is difficult to provide an optimal balance of facilities and priority for each mode. Therefore a key requirement is to continue, where practical, to create separate networks for each mode, ie public transport, cycling, walking, private cars and goods vehicles.

For each mode, the key challenge is to ensure that:

- standards are consistent along the complete network;
- the network is continuous, rather than a series of discrete links;
- the network provides the most direct route for that mode.
**Pedestrians**

The guiding principle for pedestrian facilities will continue to be that pedestrians should be attracted to (rather than constrained into) using pedestrian facilities. It is anticipated that potential walking speeds of 5kph should be possible, inclusive of junction delays, along pedestrian routes. There will be a vast improvement in the quality of pedestrian facilities. In the city centre, new walking corridors will form the basis of a primary pedestrian network serving commerce, business, leisure and tourism trips, and linking primary commuter destinations with public transport.

The following general improvements will be provided:

- reduced waiting times and crossing distances at junctions;
- level crossing for pedestrians across junctions and accesses in built up areas;
- additional pedestrian crossing facilities, such as pedestrian refuges;
- widening of footpaths where there are high pedestrian flows, particularly accessing public transport nodes;
- footpaths cleared of unnecessary street furniture;
- improved surface quality (addressing trip hazards, surface materials etc).

In keeping with the overall Strategy, all pedestrian facilities will be designed to be suitable for mobility-impaired and disabled persons.

**Cycle Mode**

Completion of the Strategic Cycle network and links to public transport remains the principal objective for the cycling mode. The short-term focus of the cycling element of the Strategy will be to:

- continue to develop the emerging network of strategic cycleways;
- provide cycleway links to rail stations and LUAS and bus stops, as well as main employment and retail destinations;
- ensure adequate cycle parking facilities;
- provide tourist and recreational cycling facilities;
- promote cycling as an efficient, fast, healthy, safe and sustainable means of transport.

Under this Strategy, a 350km network comprising strategic, local and recreational cycle facilities, will be completed by 2006. A permanent monitoring system will be installed across the strategic network, together with a schools database, to give management feedback on cycling usage.

The overall objective of the cycling element of the Strategy will be to increase the proportion of short trips (up to 6km) made by bicycle to 30% by 2016. Trips to places of education and commuting trips of up to 10km in length will be particularly targeted as suitable for cycling.

**Quality Bus Network (QBN)**

Traffic management will provide for the prioritised operation of bus services by:

- continuing to provide the physical infrastructure to give priority to the bus on the road network
- better monitoring of the bus network performance
- targeted priority at junctions, to deliver the required level of service
- provision of facilities for bus passengers.

**The Car Mode**

This Strategy is concerned with maintaining a high level of service for the private car, by providing shorter delays, reliable journey times and better information for those motorists who choose to use the private car.

The short-term objective regarding general traffic will continue to be to manage the excess demand for car based travel until alternatives come on stream. This will include:
• preventing key junction overloads on the distributor network, shifting queues away from sensitive areas, maintaining uniform flows and reducing “turbulence” (uncontrolled merging of two traffic streams) to the greatest extent possible;

• continuing and expanding the on-street parking control policy.

Freight Mode
A Regional Freight Study will be undertaken to determine the origin and destination patterns of Heavy Goods Vehicles (HGVs), and to forecast future demand. It will identify appropriate routes and restrictions for general goods distribution and for freight trips to and from Dublin Port, Dún Laoghaire Port and Dublin Airport. It will also review the scope for improved goods distribution strategies, including consolidation centres, night-time deliveries, as well as considering pricing strategies, loading, layover and general distribution management.

Auditing Transport Designs
Transport proposals are often designed with the primary objective of increasing capacity for cars and goods vehicles. The DTO and its agencies will develop checklists and procedures to assess the designs of transport proposals from the point of view of safety, pedestrians, cyclists, buses, light rail vehicles, cars and goods vehicles.

Safer Routes to School (SRTS) Policy
A Safer Routes to School (SRTS) programme will deal with children’s journeys to and from school. The key objective is to promote health and safety as well as a move towards sustainable transport. Pilot SRTS projects will be completed in 2001. These will include the production of guidance documents for teachers, parents and pupils. In addition, a survey will be conducted across all schools, to set a baseline to monitor progress under this programme. The SRTS programme is envisaged to become part of general school management.

Cells
Cells are areas where through car traffic is removed, but access traffic is allowed. By removing through traffic, it is possible to provide vastly improved facilities for pedestrians, cyclists and public transport. The development of the city centre cells will continue. Further attention will be given to the following issues:

• severance at cell boundaries;
• access to car parks inside cells or at cell boundaries;
• the provision of links between cells for cyclists, pedestrians and public transport;
• the requirement to maintain and foster urban villages;
• the enhancement of the unique character of some principal streets in the city.

Information Policy
Travel information systems (maps, kiosks, internet, phones etc) will be developed to provide information to enable people to make appropriate travel choices before they start their journeys.

Within the transport networks, effective and comprehensive direction signing, passenger and traffic information will be essential. The systems will be developed so that traffic-related information will move from being passive (informing what has occurred) to active (informing what to do instead).

The shape of these systems and their interaction with other transport-related systems will be examined through a Travel Information Needs Study.

Motorway Management in the DTO Area
While motorways are the safest type of road, the high-speed environment requires that motorways must be managed in a different way to other roads. There will be one regional control centre for all the motorways within the Greater Dublin Area. The centre will have an integrated suite of systems for the management and maintenance of the motorway system. The motorway control centre will also manage grade-separated motorway interchanges.
DEMAND MANAGEMENT

Earlier sections of this chapter have described the first element of the Strategy, the supply of infrastructure projects and service improvements. The second, interdependent element of the Strategy is demand management, which seeks to reduce the growth in the demand for travel while maintaining economic progress, and which is designed to encourage a transfer of trips to sustainable modes.

It is appropriate here to recap the scale of the challenge that presents itself in the design of the demand management proposals for the Strategy. Between 1991 and 1997, the number of trips made in the DTI area in the am peak increased from 172,000 to 250,000, an increase of 45%, or over 7% each year. It is estimated that the average trip length in the DTI study area has grown from 7.3km in 1991 to over 12km in 1997, an increase of almost 70%. The modal split was 73% in favour of private transport in 1997, up from 64% in 1991. DTO model projections show that total peak hour trip demand is expected to rise from 250,000 trips in 1997 to 488,000 trips in 2016, an increase of 95%. Without policy interventions, average trip lengths can also be expected to grow further in the period up to 2016.

Sensitivity tests carried out in Phase 7 of the technical tasks described in Chapter 4 demonstrates that the transport outcome of implementing the infrastructure strategy without demand management measures will not satisfy the strategic objectives of the Strategy. If the DTO Strategy is to be effective, it must achieve a significant modal shift to public transport, reduce congestion and raise journey speeds and levels of road service to essential traffic. This means that demand for travel must be managed. This was recognised by the Strategic Planning Guidelines in recommending the adoption of measures to reduce the growth in demand for travel and to encourage a higher market share of commuting travel for public transport. The Guidelines state that the success of the land use strategy for the development of the Greater Dublin Area is contingent on the introduction of effective demand management measures, since physical planning policies and measures can only partially reduce demand for travel.

A demand management strategy is therefore a critical element of the DTO Strategy, and a comprehensive Demand Management Study is necessary to develop this. The goals of the demand management strategy will be defined in the proposed study, and will include the following:

- to reduce the growth in demand for travel by motorised modes;
- to encourage further modal transfer from private car to public transport modes at congested times, over and above that achievable through infrastructural and service enhancement measures. Specifically, the measures proposed in the strategy should achieve a reduction in the number of peak hour car trips from 250,000 to 180,000 (ie by 28%) in the design year 2016;
- to reduce the level of congestion on the road network to 1991 levels, when the average speed in the morning peak hour was 22kph.

Specifically, the Demand Management Study should identify and rank measures that will:

- complement the infrastructure elements of the Strategy;
- reflect the true costs of all forms of travel, including environmental costs;
- be effective in achieving modal transfer;
- support land use policy in terms of reducing the growth in demand for travel, and facilitate appropriate development of the Hinterland Area;
- be equitable, feasible and implementable, and be perceived as such.

Available Mechanisms

The following mechanisms exist in other countries and cities to manage travel demand, and are among those that will be examined during the Demand Management Study.

- Land Use Policies: Location of development near public transport and local services; design, density and layout of development; mixed-use development; parking standards applied to development.
- Economic/Fiscal Instruments: Vehicle and fuel charges and taxes, public transport fares structures and levels; charging for the use of road space especially during congested periods; parking charges, including charging for workplace parking.
• Parking control: management of the supply of parking, Park and Ride sites.
• Mobility Management: the use and benefit of mobility management plans for individual centres of employment.
• Information Technology measures: mechanisms designed to replace travel to work (eg teleworking).
• Reorganisation of work: measures to reduce the number of trips or to transfer trips outside the peak periods (eg flexitime, 4-day working week, more working from home).

**Expected Outcomes**
Each demand management mechanism will generate different types and levels of behavioural reaction. Travellers may:

- retimé journeys to travel outside busy periods;
- transfer to a mode with sufficient capacity to ensure arrival at the preferred time;
- change trip origin or destination (more likely over the longer term);
- link trips to other trips that they wish to make, to avoid travelling twice;
- share a private vehicle with others for some or all of the trip;
- decide to forego the trip entirely.

The Demand Management Study will quantify the likely impact on traveller behaviour and assess the feasibility of a package of mechanisms. Work on the study will be initiated as quickly as possible, to complement progress in the infrastructure and service enhancement programme set out earlier in this chapter.

**GUIDANCE ON COMPLEMENTARY LAND USE POLICIES**
The Strategic Planning Guidelines have determined that development will be consolidated within the Metropolitan Area and into defined development centres in the Hinterland Area. In principle, development outside of these centres is to be restricted to natural growth in the locality. The DTO Strategy described above complements this land use strategy. The following issues need to be addressed by the implementation agencies with the assistance of the DTO where appropriate.

**Concentration of Development at the Local Level**
Development should seek to maximise the use of walking and cycling as key transportation modes while providing a high quality living environment. This implies that land use patterns should be promoted which encourage the following:

- neighbourhood centres should be located with good access to public transport;
- detailed layouts and design of developments which reflect the importance of walking and cycling as transportation modes by providing safe and direct access to local services (retailing, schools, employment and leisure) and public transport nodes;
- increased density should be promoted close to public transport nodes;
- mixed use developments should be encouraged.

The resultant land use patterns will be consistent with the aim of consolidating development as proposed in the Strategic Planning Guidelines and will support the development of alternative modes of travel to the private car.

**Consolidating development**
In order to counter development dispersal tendencies, new development should be concentrated within the Metropolitan Area (in particular within major centres as defined in the Strategic Planning Guidelines), and within the Hinterland development centres. The DTO recommends that these development centres should not be considered homogenous. Rather, public transport accessibility should be a primary determinant of location, development density and land use types. New development should therefore be concentrated in discrete settlements within defined public transport corridors. However the approach to the development of the Metropolitan Area and the Hinterland development centres differs, mainly because development patterns in the built up part of the Metropolitan Area has already been largely determined.
Metropolitan Area

• The resource of public transport corridors should be maximised by concentrating development along these corridors at public transport nodes (rail stations, bus stops and interchanges).
• Development should be phased to maximise the utility of existing public transport services and to avail of new services as they come on stream.
• Reservations for public transport should be protected in the design of new developments.
• A study should be undertaken which identifies at the outset the appropriate locations for additional public transport nodes.
• Local Area Plans should be prepared around rail stations and along Quality Bus Corridors, and should identify the appropriate development potential for these areas.
• Development near public transportation nodes should comply with the principles set out under “Concentration of Development at the Local Level” above.
• A number of key interchange nodes will exist where strategic rail corridors intersect. Development near interchange nodes should be primarily reserved for large trip attractors such as major employers or town/district centres.
• Development of rail stations should support local residential areas by providing local services.
• Integrated Framework Plans for Land Use and Transportation should be developed for each of the Major Centres within the Metropolitan Area.

Hinterland Development Centres

• Development within the designated development centres should be consistent with the objective of achieving self-sufficiency.
• Priority should be given to complementary development that supports the local transportation network and is consistent with the objective of providing predominantly self sustaining development centres.
• An Integrated Framework Plan for Land Use and Transportation which will determine the future development of these areas should be prepared for each development centre.
• Primarily people based employment activities should be located close to existing and future public transport nodes.

Parking

Parking policies and standards should promote the use of sustainable modes of transport, so providing a competitive advantage for public transport. Parking policies need to be developed which address this issue and include the following:

• there should be a consistent approach to parking policies and standards within the region;
• parking policies should complement the provision of public transport and should be considered in the overall development of areas;
• existing parking policies and standards should be reviewed to ensure that they reflect the provision of public transport services and are consistent with the DTO policy of reducing car dependency;
• parking standards must be set as a maximum for each land use within the relevant development plan;
• provision of parking should not necessarily be considered permanent and where possible local authorities should maintain control of all public parking;
• the location of parking should not compromise the policies set out under “Concentration of Development at the Local Level” above.

Local authorities, as the primary implementing agencies of land use policy, should take full account of the issues set out above in the development plan review process. Commensurate with the indicative implementation time frames detailed in the Strategy, development plans should phase new development accordingly. There needs to be consistency across the development plans within the Greater Dublin Area.
Strategic Provision
Dublin Airport and Dublin Port are of strategic importance as both regional and national gateways. Development near these facilities should reflect their respective functions. Further studies need to be undertaken in order to identify appropriate land use and transportation planning objectives for the areas concerned.

There are a number of issues that should be addressed in any review of the Strategic Planning Guidelines.

- How public transport infrastructure can be exploited to its maximum within the context of the overall objectives of the regional planning guidelines.
- How to facilitate high-density development by accelerating the provision of public transport.
- Have development plans been reviewed to reflect the DTO Strategy? That review should disaggregate the Metropolitan Area into appropriate development zones and clarify the acceptable levels of commuting between each of the designated Hinterland development centres and the Metropolitan Area.

Guidance Notes
The DTO will provide advice notes on relevant issues relating to land use and transportation. These will provide guidance on specific issues that cannot be addressed in a strategic document. These will be aimed primarily at local authorities, but also designers and developers. The issues to be covered include:

- Integrated Framework Plans for Land Use and Transportation;
- development at public transport interchange between different rail systems;
- development at public transport nodes along each type of rail system;
- implications of development densities for public transport (in the context of the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Residential Density);
- transportation issues in the design and layout for residential, services and employment developments;
- traffic impact assessments;
- mobility management plans.
6. The Costs

Most of the costs of the Strategy relate to the infrastructure and service improvements element of the Strategy. The costs include the capital costs of providing the infrastructure, and the operating costs of maintaining the infrastructure and providing public transport services. The demand management element of the Strategy will have some set-up costs, but these are likely to be relatively small.

The table below shows the capital costs of the infrastructural element of the Strategy. The costs are in 2001 prices and include land costs, contingencies and VAT, which is assumed to be at 15% on average. The costs for traffic management include costs for cycling, walking, technical advice, and monitoring and promotion of the Strategy. Where information is available, the costs are broken down into the two time periods, 2001-2006 and 2007 - 2016. In the cases of integration and traffic management, the total cost is divided equally between the two time periods. The figure for METRO between 2001 and 2006 is an estimate of the cost of the construction which can be completed by the end of 2006.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Costs (IR£Million)</th>
<th>2001 – 2006</th>
<th>2007 - 2016</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>METRO</td>
<td>1,000 (€1,270)</td>
<td>4,687 (€5,951)</td>
<td>5,687 (€7,221)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUAS</td>
<td>1,037 (€1,317)</td>
<td>636 (€808)</td>
<td>1,673 (€2,124)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DART/Suburban Rail</td>
<td>1,817 (€2,307)</td>
<td>2,579 (€3,275)</td>
<td>4,396 (€5,582)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QBN/Bus Priority</td>
<td>486 (€617)</td>
<td>150 (€190)</td>
<td>636 (€808)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration</td>
<td>155 (€197)</td>
<td>155 (€197)</td>
<td>309 (€392)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>3,256 (€4,134)</td>
<td>972 (€1,234)</td>
<td>4,228 (€5,368)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Management</td>
<td>145 (€184)</td>
<td>145 (€184)</td>
<td>289 (€367)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7,896 (€10,026)</td>
<td>9,323 (€11,838)</td>
<td>17,218 (€21,862)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total capital expenditure is IR£17,218m (€21,862). The expenditure is front-loaded with 46% occurring in the first 6 years and the remaining 54% occurring in the final 10 years. Expenditure on roads is particularly heavily front-loaded with 77% occurring in the first 6 years and the remaining 23% occurring in the final 10 years. This is largely because some major National Roads projects are already at an advanced stage of design and/or construction. In total, public transport accounts for 72% of expenditure.

Operating Costs

There will be significant operating and maintenance costs associated with the public transport infrastructure and service improvements in the Strategy. There will be operating costs associated with the roads infrastructure and demand management elements of the Strategy.

The revenues from tolled road schemes, such as the Dublin Port Tunnel, will cover their operating and maintenance costs. Revenue from possible economic and fiscal instruments of demand management, such as increased parking charges and road pricing, would cover their costs and could provide significant surplus revenue.

As part of the Strategy work, we have estimated the operating costs and anticipated revenue for the public transport elements of the Strategy in 2016. The anticipated revenue assumes that average fares remain the same in real terms as today. The operating costs and fare revenues will grow between now and 2016 as each new public transport service in the Strategy is added, and as public transport patronage increases.

The rail operating costs are derived from the "Dublin Suburban Rail Strategic Review" produced for CIE by Arup Consulting Engineers in March 2000 and the "DTO Strategy, Cost and Programme Review" prepared for the DTO by Arup Consulting Engineers in July 2000. They have been further updated by additional information received from Iarnród Éireann. Operating costs for METRO, DART/Suburban Rail and LUAS include train operation and maintenance, track and station maintenance and ticketing costs.

Bus operating costs are based on the operating costs contained in the 1999 Dublin Bus Annual Report, with an allowance for the extra buses required in 2016, compared to 1999. Vehicle depreciation costs are included for bus (assuming a 10-year vehicle life) and for rail rolling stock (assuming a 20-year rolling stock life).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Transport Operating Costs in 2016</th>
<th>(IR£ Million in 2001 prices)</th>
<th>(€ Million in 2001 prices)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QBN / Bus</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DART/Suburban rail</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METRO</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUAS</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>696</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The calculation of public transport revenues is based on an average fare of 80 pence per trip, which remains constant in real terms up to 2016. There is an allowance for discounted and concessionary fares (eg season tickets) which is assumed to be an average of 81% in the peak hour and 75% in the off peak hours. Total revenue in 2016 (in 2001 prices) is estimated to be IR£437m (€555m). This leaves a requirement for an annual subvention of approximately IR£110m (€140m) in 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Transport Revenues in 2016</th>
<th>(IR£ Million in 2001 prices)</th>
<th>(€ Million in 2001 prices)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QBN / Bus</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DART/Suburban Rail, METRO, LUAS</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. The Benefits

The function of the Strategy is to meet the objectives set out under the Vision Statement in Chapter 2 and the additional objectives added by the Steering Committee. The benefits of the Strategy derive from meeting those objectives.

During the development of the Strategy, a number of alternative strategies were evaluated and compared. Because of the importance of having an objective analysis of the alternative strategies, the DTO employed independent consultants, Goodbody Economic Consultants, to carry out this work.

The objectives for the development of transport in Dublin are wide-ranging. Consequently, the consultant adopted a multi-criteria analysis procedure, incorporating a conventional cost-benefit analysis. Multi-criteria analysis incorporates broader impacts that cannot be quantified in monetary terms (eg sustainability, integration with land use policies). Cost-benefit analysis is concerned with costs and benefits that can be quantified in monetary terms (eg capital costs, monetary value of time savings). Most major transport investment projects in Ireland are now subject to a cost-benefit analysis and it is thus possible to compare the results of their cost-benefit analyses and gain insights into their relative worth.

MULTI-CRITERIA ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

Multi-criteria analysis (MCA) involves a number of stages. The first stage is to identify the objectives to which the Strategy is to contribute. In the case of the DTO Strategy, the objectives are set out in the Vision Statement under five headings. For the purposes of the multi-criteria analysis, the objectives were regrouped under four effects. The second stage is to establish criteria by which these objectives can be measured. Within multi-criteria analysis, the criteria should be relatively few in number and they must be mutually exclusive (ie there must be no overlap between them). Measurement may include money valuation (eg Net Present Value) and quantification (eg accessibility). Where neither money valuation nor quantification is possible (eg integration with land use policy), a qualitative assessment must be made.

Identifying Objectives

The DTO Steering Committee adopted a number of objectives to which the Strategy is expected to contribute. The objectives were formed under the following headings:

• The Regional Economy;
• Quality of Life;
• International and National Context;
• Development of the City and the Regions;
• Efficiency in Implementation.
Under these headings, twenty-five objectives were initially identified, and two more were added during the course of developing the Strategy. For the purposes of the multi-criteria analysis, the 25 objectives were regrouped into four broad categories:

- **Economy**: to support the growth of the economy of the region in an effective and efficient manner. The effect on the economy is assessed under three headings: effectiveness, efficiency and safety.

- **Accessibility**: to promote equity in access to transport, by enhancing accessibility for those without a car and across the entire Greater Dublin Area. This category is assessed under two headings: social inclusion and regional balance.

- **Sustainability**: to ensure that the local economy develops in a sustainable way by enhancing the natural and built environment, and safeguarding non-renewable resources. Sustainability is assessed under three headings: pollutants, environmental quality and energy resources.

- **Policy Integration**: to promote the integration of the transport system with land use policies and with long term transport needs. This is assessed under two headings: integration with land use policies and compatibility with future transport needs.

**RESULTS OF THE MULTI-CRITERIA ANALYSIS**

The results of the multi-criteria evaluation of the Strategy on Economy, Accessibility, Sustainability and Policy Integration are considered in turn.

**Effect on Economy**

The effects of the Strategy on Economy were measured using a cost-benefit analysis. The Strategy gives rise to discounted benefits of IR£27,622 million (€35,073 million) as compared with discounted costs of IR£8,977 million (€11,398 million). The net present value is thus very substantial at IR£18,645 million (€23,674 million), indicating that the Strategy is highly effective. The efficiency of the Strategy may be measured by the cost-benefit ratio and the internal rate of return. Both of these are very high at 3.08 and 15.8% respectively. The internal rate of return is well in excess of the test discount rate of 5%, which is advocated by the Department of Finance. The safety of the Strategy is shown by a net present value of accident savings of IR£1,272 million (€1,615 million).

**SUMMARY OF DISCOUNTED COSTS AND BENEFITS OF THE STRATEGY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>IR£million (€million)</th>
<th>Costs</th>
<th>IR£million (€million)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User Benefits</td>
<td>25,594 (32,498)</td>
<td>Capital Costs</td>
<td>7,014 (8,906)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accident Savings</td>
<td>1,272 (1,615)</td>
<td>Rail Operating Costs</td>
<td>1,493 (1,896)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Pollution Savings</td>
<td>728 (924)</td>
<td>Bus Operating Costs</td>
<td>470 (597)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Pollution Savings</td>
<td>28 (36)</td>
<td>Total Costs</td>
<td>8,977 (11,398)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Benefits</strong></td>
<td><strong>27,622 (35,072)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS OF COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net Present Value</td>
<td>IR£18,645 million (€23,674 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost-Benefit Ratio</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Rate of Return</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effect on Accessibility**

Social inclusion requires a measure that estimates accessibility for those people who only have access to public transport. The DTI model’s ‘car not-available’ accessibility index for trip origins was used for this purpose. This measures the accessibility of each origin zone to all destination zones, for those who do not have a car available to them. It does this by calculating the average generalised cost of travel on public transport, weighted by the number of trips to each destination zone.

The table below sets out the level of car-not-available accessibility from the North, West and South suburbs to all other areas. The data shows that the Strategy improves accessibility of all areas relative to the Do-minimum situation.
IMPACT OF THE STRATEGY ON ACCESSIBILITY OF CAR-NOT-AVAILABLE PERSONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Accessibility Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Suburbs</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Suburbs</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Suburbs</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlying Areas</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: values higher than 100 indicate improved accessibility compared with the Do-minimum situation.

Regional balance is measured by the accessibility of different zones within the Greater Dublin Area. The more uniform accessibility is across zones, the better the regional balance. Estimation of regional balance is based on the overall indices of accessibility (car-available and car-not-available). One means of measuring the relative dispersion of accessibility is to estimate the co-efficient of variation of the accessibility index values for all the districts in the Greater Dublin Area. The lower the co-efficient of variation, the closer are the zonal accessibility levels to each other. The table below sets out the co-efficient of variation for zonal accessibility for origins and destinations separately. The Strategy performs well on this criterion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT OF THE STRATEGY ON REGIONAL BALANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accessibility Index</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destinations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effect on Sustainability**

The analysis of emissions covered carbon dioxide (CO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), volatile organic compounds (VOC), nitrous oxides (NOₓ), particulate matter (PM), and sulphur dioxide (SO₂).

Although the demand for travel is predicted to almost double between the base year of 1997 and the forecast year of 2016, emissions of local pollutants are not expected to increase. This is largely because of predicted improvements in vehicle technology. While technological change will also tend to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, this is offset by large increase in the demand for travel. The Strategy leads to a significant modal shift from the private car to public transport, which is less polluting and consumes less energy.

The analysis shows that Strategy is successful in reducing emissions. For example, emissions of CO₂ fall from 2,611kT to 1,551kT or by 41% under the Strategy.

The sum of the discounted noise pollution benefits is IR£28m (€36m) for the Strategy.

Energy consumption is anticipated to double by 2016 from its 1997 level. This reflects the growth in demand for travel, which is partly mitigated by improvements in vehicle fuel efficiency. The Strategy is successful in increasing the public transport modal share, which yields substantial energy savings. The Strategy provides a 40% reduction in energy consumption relative to the Do-minimum situation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EMISSIONS AND ENERGY CONSUMPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emission</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effect on Policy Integration
This criterion for compatibility with land use plans assesses the compatibility of the Strategy with the Strategic Planning Guidelines for the Greater Dublin Area. These Guidelines are based on the principle of consolidation of land uses and separate approaches have been devised for the Dublin Metropolitan Area and the Dublin Hinterland Area. The Strategy is based on forecasts of the demand for travel that were derived from the land use patterns in the Guidelines. It follows that the Strategy is compatible with, and supportive of, the Guidelines.

This assessment of future transport needs involves evaluating the Strategy based on the spare capacity available in the forecast year 2016 in the DART/suburban rail, METRO and LUAS networks, and consequently the ability of the Strategy to offer greater capacity beyond 2016. The capacity utilisation of the Strategy was evaluated on a service by service basis by comparing line capacity with peak hour service frequencies in 2016. The LUAS lines within the Strategy are close to capacity in year 2016. However, the METRO network has significant spare capacity. The capacity utilisation of suburban rail lines is above that of the METRO but below that of the LRT. There is significant spare capacity on the rail interconnector.

SUMMARY OF THE BENEFITS OF THE STRATEGY
The multi-criteria evaluation shows that:

- the Strategy is very effective in terms of its contribution to the economy. The economic benefits of the Strategy are very substantial at IR£18.6bn (€23.7bn) in net present value terms. With a cost-benefit ratio of 3.08 and a rate of return of 15.8%, the Strategy is efficient and provides good value for money;
- it improves social inclusion by providing a transport system that is accessible to all sectors of society and all geographic districts in the Greater Dublin Area;
- it promotes sustainability by reducing polluting emissions, noise impacts and energy consumption;
- it supports the Strategic Planning Guidelines and caters for longer-term transport needs.

It is worthwhile to describe some of the benefits in practical terms outside the strict terms of the multi-criteria analysis.

- The transport system will have adequate capacity to cater for all journeys, in particular for all journeys to work and education in the morning peak hour.
- There will be an extensive high-quality public transport system extending over a large catchment area. Most people will live within 10 minutes walking distance of DART/suburban rail, METRO, LUAS or the Quality Bus Network.
- There will be an integrated public transport system. Most journeys will be possible on public transport with, at most, one interchange and all journeys will be possible with only one ticket.
- The level of road congestion will be less than half what it would be if the Strategy were not implemented. The average speed on the road network in the morning peak hour will be increased to 20kph, compared with 8kph without the Strategy.
- Average journey times will reduce dramatically. The average journey time by bus and rail will be 37% and 53% respectively less than the situation if the Strategy were not implemented. The average journey time reduction for car will be 39%.

AVERAGE JOURNEY TIMES IN 2016 AM PEAK (Minutes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Without the Strategy</th>
<th>With the Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Average</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Public transport will gain an improved share of the market for travel. Public transport will have 63% of the total market for travel in the Greater Dublin Area and 85% of those trips with destinations in the city centre. This compares very favourably to the situation if the Strategy were not implemented, where public transport would carry only 35% of the total market, and 72% of those trips with destinations in the city centre.
MODE SPLIT IN THE AM PEAK HOUR (Person Trips)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bus</strong></td>
<td>44,000</td>
<td>47,000</td>
<td>69,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rail</strong></td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>239,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Car</strong></td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>181,000</td>
<td>180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>172,000</td>
<td>249,000</td>
<td>488,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Environmental benefits will be significant. Total energy consumed will reduce by 41%, and emissions will reduce by 34% compared with the Do-minimum situation.
- Urban centres within the Greater Dublin Area will become attractive focal points for business, leisure and retail activities.
- Residential areas will be safer and more pleasant places to live, and the negative impacts of car traffic will be reduced.
- Accidents will reduce by 35% compared with the Do-minimum situation because there will be less travel by car and because a higher proportion of car travel will be on motorways.
- Road space will be efficiently organised for the movement of people and goods. The allocation of road space to buses and bicycles will improve the efficiency and reliability of bus services, increasing their market share. Cycling will become safer and more convenient and will therefore be more attractive.
- Accessibility to work, leisure and retail opportunities will improve for most people. Accessibility to the City Centre and to Dublin Airport will improve by 22% and 43% respectively in the morning peak period and by 11% and 20% respectively in the off peak period, compared with the Do-minimum situation.
- The competitive position of the Greater Dublin Area as a choice for locating employment will be maintained because of increased accessibility of labour and markets.
8. The Programme

The phasing of the Strategy is designed to address short term transportation needs and to put in place an integrated transportation system which will meet the medium to longer term requirements of the Greater Dublin Area.

In the short term, the aim is to provide additional public transport capacity, primarily on the bus network, and to improve traffic management, including better bus priority. In the medium to longer term, the emphasis will switch to rail-based public transport (LUAS, METRO and DART/Suburban rail) and demand management. The aim is to create an integrated transport network, which has sufficient capacity to meet the transportation requirements of the Greater Dublin Area well beyond the 2016 horizon of this Strategy.

The programme is divided into four phases:

- **PHASE 1:** from the beginning 2001 to the end of 2003;
- **PHASE 2:** from the beginning 2004 to the end of 2006 (the end of the National Development Plan);
- **PHASE 3:** from the beginning 2007 to the end of 2010;
- **PHASE 4:** from the beginning 2011 to the end of 2016 (the horizon year of the Strategy).

The phasing of the programme is influenced by a range of factors, including:

- the urgency of the transportation demand (is the investment required to address a demand for transport that exists now or one that will exist at some future date?);
- land use considerations (is the investment required to serve an existing development, a new development or to influence the pattern of future development?);
- the current state of preparedness of the project (is the project only at the conceptual stage or has detailed planning and design been completed?);
- operational issues (will the construction of the project interfere with existing transport services?)
- the physical scale and capital cost of the particular project;
- the lead time required for the planning, design, consultation and statutory approval process;
- whether the project involves the improvement of an existing infrastructure, facility or service or the provision of a new one.

The following table sets out an indicative programme for the period 2001-2016. A more detailed implementation programme for the period 2001 to 2003 will be included in a Short Term Action Plan.
# Indicative Implementation Programme 2000 to 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Establish QBN Project Office and develop implementation plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning of bus services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion of original 11 QBCs and Ballymun QBC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion of City Centre QBN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Priority links between rail and QBC networks and major suburban employment centres including Sandyford, Tallaght West, Blanchardstown and Mulhuddart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus shelters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local bus services and bus priority in Metropolitan and Hinterland town/retail/development centres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional orbital and radial QBN measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional buses for the Metropolitan Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional buses for the Hinterland Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement buses for the Metropolitan Area and the Hinterland Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional bus depots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DART and coastal suburban upgrade project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maynooth line upgrade project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kildare suburban line upgrade project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct additional track from Connolly to north of Howth Junction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrict level crossings south of Grand Canal Dock and resignal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greystones to Arklow upgrade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan and design (to Railway Order stage) the Interconnector Tunnel from Heuston via Pearse to Docklands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct Interconnector Tunnel from Heuston via Pearse to Docklands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan and design the Navan rail line to Railway Order stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct rail line from Clonsilla to Dunboyne (Navan line phase 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend rail line from Dunboyne to Navan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrify the Maynooth and Sallins rail lines for suburban services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct extra tracks west of Hazelhatch to Sallins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase additional DART/Arrow cars</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide additional stabling and depot facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construct Tallaght to Connolly line via city centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct Sandyford to St Stephen’s Green line via Dundrum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct line from south inner city to Ballymun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop new LUAS lines to Light Rail Order stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend Tallaght line to Point Depot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend line from Sandyford to Cherrywood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase additional rolling stock for Lines A,B and C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct line from Lucan to Docklands via south city</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend line from Ballymun to Sillogue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct branch line from Whitehall to Kilbarrack DART Station</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend Ballymun line southwards from south inner city to Rathfarnham and Dundrum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan and design METRO system to METRO Order stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct Ranelagh to Airport and Swords line including City Centre Tunnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade Sandyford/Cherrywood line to METRO and connect to City Centre Tunnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct line from Finglas to Tallaght via Blanchardstown and Clondalkin with spur to Tallaght West</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct line from Tallaght to city centre via Kimmage and connect to Sandyford/Swords line</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend line from Cherrywood to Shanganagh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integration</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implement integrated ticketing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and provide Park &amp; Ride at strategic locations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide cycle parking at rail stations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide real time travel information system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify and establish key public transport interchange nodes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Framework Plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transport service and timetable information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycling</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete the DTO Strategic Cycle Network</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop local networks and links to important trip destinations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide extensive cycle parking facilities in city centre and major retail and employment centres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and extend Strategic Cycle Network</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Walking</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review of pedestrian facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commence pedestrian facilities improvement works</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand Management</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop Demand Management policy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement Demand Management in step with improvements in public transport supply</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic Management</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop a Traffic Management Strategy for the Metropolitan Area and implement through an integrated traffic control system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight and goods vehicle study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Traffic Cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer Routes to School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop and implement on-street parking controls in Greater Dublin Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorway management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
National Development Plan/Blueprint - Additional DTO Strategy projects

**Advice and Strategy Promotion**

- Strategy promotion campaigns
- Advice Notes and manuals for local authorities

**Monitoring Projects**

- Monitoring of implementation of DTO Strategy on a quarterly basis
- Monitoring the performance of DTO Strategy measures
- Surveys and data collection

**National Road Projects - Metropolitan Area**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1 Northern Motorway (Airport to Five Roads)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2 improvement from M50 to north of Ashbourne</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4 Leixlip to M50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N7 Naas Road improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N11 junction improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M50 South Eastern Motorway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M50 upgrade and junction improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N81 Tallaght By-pass to N82 improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dublin Port Tunnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern By-pass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**National Road Projects - Hinterland Area**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1 Drogheda By-pass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3 Clonee to north of Kells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4 Kinnegad to Kilcock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N7 Naas Road improvements (Kildare)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7 Kildare By-pass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7 Monasterevin By-pass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N9 Kilcullen to Carlow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N11 Glen of the Downs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N11 Rathnew to Newtownmountkennedy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N11 Arklow By-pass to Rathnew</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N51 Slane to Navan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N78 Athy Relief Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N81 Blessington to N82 improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drogheda/Navan/Newbridge Orbital - establish alignment and construct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Non-National Road Projects - Metropolitan Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blackhall Place Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cork Street/The Coombe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Wall Road (Tolka Quay to Sheriff Street)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macken Street Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North King Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruiserath Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donabate By-pass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamestown Road to St Margaret's Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongar Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Ring Road - Lucan to N2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballycullen Road to Killinny</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballyboden Road to county boundary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firhouse Road improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhills Road improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Ring Road - Cheeverstown to Lucan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walkinstown to Saggart via Ballymount and Cookstown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Road Phase 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dundrum Main Street By-pass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dún Laoghaire Port - improve access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkstown Ring Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyckham By-pass extension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celbridge interchange and ancillary roads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greystones Southern Access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballymore-Pretty Bush-Mill Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-National Road Projects - Hinterland Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lusk By-pass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balbriggan Inner Relief Road (Stage 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trim-Dublin improvement scheme (R154)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trim-Navan improvement scheme (R161)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athlumney (phase 1) (R161)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plattin-Colp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trim-Kilcock (R158)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naas Ring Road/Inner Relief Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clone Inner Relief Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enfield - Edenderry (R402) improvement scheme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barberstown Cross - Maynooth (R406) improvement scheme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sallins - Killcock (R407) improvement scheme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newtown Relief Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blessington Relief Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilcoole Western Relief Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wicklow Port Access Route</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. The Next Steps

Proposed New Institutional Arrangements for Land Use and Transport


The consultation paper proposes the establishment of a Strategic Body for the Greater Dublin Area which will prepare long-term strategies for land use and transport, monitor and enforce compliance with these strategies by the implementing agencies and allocate Exchequer finance for transport, other than national roads.

The new Strategic Body will act as the independent regulator of all Dublin public transport, setting detailed service requirements and standards and procuring the provision of services through franchising, public service contracts and licensing. The governance structure of the proposed Body will be similar to the Dublin Docklands Development Authority. A 3 to 5 member Executive Board is proposed which will be responsible for the day-to-day work of the Body, including the regulation of public transport, and there will be a larger representative Council responsible for policy.

The planned Railway Procurement Agency will operate on a stand-alone basis but will only undertake projects which are consistent with the strategy adopted by the new Strategic Body and in accordance with the regulatory framework set by it.

The National Roads Authority will continue to carry out all its national road functions in the Greater Dublin Area, but will be obliged to adopt and implement a programme which is consistent with the strategy adopted by the new Strategic Body.

The paper also proposes a number of important reforms relating to programme and project implementation, particularly in relation to traffic management and enforcement and suburban rail.

- Traffic/demand management policy would be decided by the new Strategic Body. This would include the demand management strategy and traffic management strategy that the DTO will develop following more detailed studies.
- The paper endorses the decision of the DTO and the Dublin local authorities that a single dedicated QBN project team be established by the Director of Traffic to implement the Quality Bus Network on a ‘whole route’ basis for the Dublin area.
- Implementation of some other strategic or regional traffic management measures will be allocated to the Director of Traffic (acting for the Greater Dublin Area or just Dublin City and County as appropriate). A review will be conducted to identify appropriate functions.
- Local traffic management functions will remain with the local authorities but they will be asked to review their implementation arrangements, having regard to the experience of the Director of Traffic.
- Measures to increase enforcement resources are proposed including more use of contracting-out, automated systems and administrative sanctions and the ring fencing of Garda manpower. The Strategic Body will regularly report to the Government on the adequacy of enforcement.
- A number of initiatives are already underway to address suburban rail delivery (including a high level review of Iarnród Éireann, an internal Iarnród Éireann restructuring programme and the recruitment of project management expertise).

A cross Departmental team has been established to consider the outcome of the consultation process, prepare the necessary legislation and address the detailed administrative arrangements for the new Strategic Body.

The Dublin Transportation Office welcomes the Government proposals which are broadly in line with the recommendations it set out in the outline document ‘A Platform for Change’, published in September 2000. The DTO will work with Government in the development and implementation of these proposals and will do everything it can to ensure a smooth transition to the new Strategic Body.

Implementation

The DTO Strategy provides an overall planning framework for the development of the transport system in the Greater Dublin Area. It is not, nor was it ever intended to be, a detailed blueprint for each of the individual projects and programmes recommended for implementation. Each project will now have to be taken through a detailed planning process involving, as
appropriate, route selection, technical feasibility studies, economic and environmental evaluations, detailed design, public consultation and statutory approval procedures.

The Short Term Action Plan, which is being prepared by the DTO, will set out a detailed planning and implementation programme for the major projects in the Strategy. In addition, the Government consultation paper proposes significant institutional and administrative reforms that will strengthen the arrangements for project planning and delivery.

The Short Term Action Plan will identify a lead agency for each major project and programme. A dedicated project team has been, or will be appointed, with responsibility for planning and implementing individual projects.

This Strategy contains five major infrastructural projects and it is proposed that each should have a project team led by a particular agency:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DART/Suburban Rail</th>
<th>Iarnród Éireann</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>METRO</td>
<td>Light Rail Project Office / Railway Procurement Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUAS</td>
<td>Light Rail Project Office / Railway Procurement Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QBC/Bus priority</td>
<td>QBN Project Team (Director of Traffic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>National Roads Authority (national roads) and Local Authorities (non-national roads).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Public Private Partnership (PPP)**

A number of major projects are suitable for implementation on a public private partnership (PPP) basis involving an appropriate combination of design, build, maintain, operate and finance. It will be important for the PPP procurement process to ensure that project design, operation and management is consistent with the DTO Strategy. The Government has published legislation that provides for the establishment of a Railway Procurement Agency to procure PPPs for public transport. In the meantime, the Department of Public Enterprise and the Light Rail Project Office are carrying out preparatory work. The NRA has already put in place effective arrangements for the delivery of PPPs for national roads.

The Government has already decided that the following projects should be implemented on a PPP basis:

- LUAS lines from Tallaght to Abbey Street and from Sandyford to St Stephen’s Green (operation only);
- METRO (a combination of design, build, finance and/or operate).

The following projects are also recommended by the DTO as PPP projects:

- the Eastern By-pass;
- N3 - Clonee to Kells;
- M4 - Enfield to Kinnegad;
- the additional LUAS lines;
- the rail link to Navan;
- integrated ticketing and information systems.
Appendix 1: DTO Committees

**DTO Steering Committee**

- Mr. Conor McCarthy (Chair) - Dublin Transportation Office
- Mr. Derek Brady - Dún Laoghaire-Rathdown County Council
- Mr. Niall Callan - Department of the Environment and Local Government
- Mr. John Henry - Dublin Transportation Office
- Mr. Joe Horan - Meath County Council
- Mr. Frank Kavanagh - South Dublin County Council
- Mr. Owen Keegan - Dublin Corporation
- Asst. Commissioner J im McHugh - Garda Síochána
- Mr. Pat Mangan - Department of Public Enterprise
- Cllr. Olivia Mitchel TD - DTO Advisory Committee
- Prof. Simon Perry - DTO Advisory Committee
- Mr. William Soffe - Fingal County Council
- Mr. Michael Tobin - National Roads Authority
- Dr. Alan Westwell - Córas Iompair Éireann

**Steering Group for Strategy Update**

- Mr. Pat Mangan (Chair) - Department of Public Enterprise
- Mr. Tim Brick - Dublin Corporation
- Mr. Michael Cahill - National Roads Authority
- Mr. John Devin - Department of the Environment and Local Government
- Mr. Owen Keegan - Dublin Corporation
- Mr. Conor McCarthy - Dublin Transportation Office
- Mr. Peter McEvoy - Department of the Environment and Local Government
- Mr. Donal Mangan - Córas Iompair Éireann
- Mr. William Murray - Dún Laoghaire-Rathdown County Council
- Mr. J im O’Brien - Department of Finance
- Mr. Michael Reidy - Córas Iompair Éireann
- Mr. Fintan Towey - Department of Public Enterprise

**DTO Advisory Committee**

- Prof. Simon Perry (Chair) - Transport Studies Group - TCD
- Alderman Martin Brady - Dublin Corporation
- Cllr. Sean Kenny - Dublin Corporation
- Cllr. Gerry Lynam - Fingal County Council
- Cllr. Margaret Richardson - Fingal County Council
- Cllr. Paul Gogarty - South Dublin County Council
- Cllr. Charles O’Connor - South Dublin County Council
- Cllr. Maria Corrigan - Dún Laoghaire-Rathdown County Council
- Cllr. Olivia Mitchell TD - Dún Laoghaire-Rathdown County Council
- Cllr. Oliver Brooks - Meath County Council
- Cllr. Patsy O’Neill - Meath County Council
- Cllr. Michael Lawlor - Wicklow County Council
- Cllr. Derek Mitchell - Wicklow County Council
- Mr. Clive Brownlee - Dublin Chamber of Commerce
- Mr. Tom Coffey - Dublin City Centre Business Association
- Ms. Karen Gannon - IBEC
- Mr. Hugh Geraghty - ICTU
- Mr. Joe Jones - Dublin Port
- Mr. Frank King - Federation of Road Transport Operators
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organizational Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Tonia McMahon</td>
<td>Earthwatch/VOICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr. Eamon Ryan</td>
<td>Dublin Cycling Campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Julie Twoomey</td>
<td>Irish Wheelchair Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Mary Warren-Darley</td>
<td>Royal Town Planning Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Christine Whyte</td>
<td>National Disability Authority</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>