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Foreword

The NTA has developed a Regional Modelling System (RMS) for Ireland that allows for the appraisal of a wide range of potential future transport and land use alternatives. The RMS was developed as part of the Modelling Services Framework (MSF) by the National Transport Authority (NTA), SYSTRA and Jacobs Engineering Ireland.

The National Transport Authority’s (NTA) Regional Modelling System comprises the National Demand Forecasting Model, five large-scale, technically complex, detailed and multi-modal regional transport models and a suite of Appraisal Modules covering the entire national transport network of Ireland. The five regional models are focussed on the travel-to-work areas of the major population centres in Ireland, i.e. Dublin, Cork, Galway, Limerick, and Waterford.

The development of the RMS followed a detailed scoping phase informed by NTA and wider stakeholder requirements. The rigorous consultation phase ensured a comprehensive understanding of available data sources and international best practice in regional transport model development.

The five discrete models within the RMS have been developed using a common framework, tied together with the National Demand Forecasting Model. This approach used repeatable methods; ensuring substantial efficiency gains; and, for the first time, delivering consistent model outputs across the five regions.

The RMS captures all day travel demand, thus enabling more accurate modelling of mode choice behaviour and increasingly complex travel patterns, especially in urban areas where traditional nine-to-five working is decreasing. Best practice, innovative approaches were applied to the RMS demand modelling modules including car ownership; parking constraint; demand pricing; and mode and destination choice. The RMS is therefore significantly more responsive to future changes in demographics, economic activity and planning interventions than traditional models.

The models are designed to be used in the assessment of transport policies and schemes that have a local, regional and national impact and they facilitate the assessment of proposed transport schemes at both macro and micro level and are a pre-requisite to creating effective transport strategies.
1 Introduction

1.1 Regional Modelling System

The NTA has developed a Regional Modelling System for the Republic of Ireland to assist in the appraisal of a wide range of potential future transport and land use options. The Regional Models (RM) are focused on the travel-to-work areas of the major population centres of Dublin, Cork, Galway, Limerick, and Waterford. The models were developed as part of the Modelling Services Framework by NTA, SYSTRA and Jacobs Engineering Ireland.

An overview of the 5 regional models is presented below in Table 1.1 and Figure 1.1.

Table 1.1 List of Regional Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Name</th>
<th>Standard Abbreviation</th>
<th>Counties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West Regional Model</td>
<td>WRM</td>
<td>Galway, Mayo, Roscommon, Sligo, Leitrim, Donegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Regional Model</td>
<td>ERM</td>
<td>Dublin, Wicklow, Kildare, Meath, Louth, Wexford, Carlow, Laois, Offaly, Westmeath, Longford, Cavan, Monaghan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-West Regional Model</td>
<td>MWRM</td>
<td>Limerick, Clare, Tipperary North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Regional Model</td>
<td>SERM</td>
<td>Waterford, Wexford, Carlow, Tipperary South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Regional Model</td>
<td>SWRM</td>
<td>Cork and Kerry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1.1 Regional Model Areas
1.2 Regional Modelling System Structure

The Regional Modelling System is comprised of three main components, namely:

- The National Demand Forecasting Model (NDFM)
- 5 regional models; and
- A suite of Appraisal Modules

The modelling approach is consistent across each of the regional models. The general structure of the SERM (and the other regional models) is shown below in Error! Reference source not found.. The main stages of the regional modelling system are described below.

1.2.1 National Demand Forecasting Model (NDFM)

The NDFM is a single, national system that provides estimates of the total quantity of daily travel demand produced by and attracted to each of the 18,488 Census Small Areas. Trip generations and attractions are related to zonal attributes such as population, number of employees and other land-use data. See the NDFM Development Report for further information.

1.2.2 Regional Models (RM)

A regional model is comprised of the following key elements:

Trip End Integration

The Trip End Integration module converts the 24 hour trip ends output by the NDFM into the appropriate zone system and time period disaggregation for use in the Full Demand Model (FDM).

The Full Demand Model (FDM)

The FDM processes travel demand and outputs origin-destination travel matrices by mode and time period to the assignment models. The FDM and assignment models run iteratively until an equilibrium between travel demand and the cost of travel is achieved.


Assignment Models

The Road, Public Transport, and Active Modes assignment models receive the trip matrices produced by the FDM and assign them in their respective transport networks to determine route choice and the generalised cost for origin and destination pair.
The Road Model assigns FDM outputs (passenger cars) to the road network and includes capacity constraint, traffic signal delay and the impact of congestion. See the RM Spec Road Model Specification Report for further information.

The Public Transport Model assigns FDM outputs (person trips) to the PT network and includes the impact of capacity restraint, such as crowding on PT vehicles, on people’s perceived cost of travel. The model includes public transport networks and services for all PT sub-modes that operate within the modelled area. See the RM Spec Public Transport Model Specification Report for further information.

Secondary Analysis

The secondary analysis application can be used to extract and summarise model results from each of the regional models.

1.2.3 Appraisal Modules

The Appraisal Modules can be used on any of the regional models to assess the impacts of transport plans and schemes. The following impacts can be informed by model outputs (travel costs, demands and flows):

- Economy;
- Safety;
- Environmental;
- Health; and
- Accessibility and Social Inclusion.

Further information on each of the Appraisal Modules can be found in the following reports:

- Economic Module Specification Report;
- Safety Module Specification Report;
- Environmental Module Specification Report;
- Health Module Specification Report; and
Figure 1.2 National and Regional Model Structure
1.3 Approach

The development of the SERM has followed a ‘Repeatable Methods’ approach (developed for the ERM), which provides the methodology, guidance and techniques to develop the Regional Modelling System. The methods used for both road network and zone system development are based on earlier development work and emerging guidance undertaken for the ERM. For the majority of aspects to date, the zoning development has adopted the methodology as outlined in “ZN TN05 Guidance for Zoning Delineation Process”. The document has been reviewed as part of the SERM development programme with updates provided where gaps were identified or further detail was required.

1.4 Report Structure

This report focuses on the development of an appropriate Zone System for the South East Regional Model (SERM) and includes the following chapters:

- Chapter 2: SERM Zone System Development: Provides information on the specification of the SERM Zone System and an overview of its development;
- Chapter 3: SERM Zone Development Review Process: Details the review process carried out on the SERM Zone System;
- Chapter 4: SERM Zone Area Review: Describes the specific review of zone areas;
- Chapter 5: SERM Sectoring and Numbering System: Outlines the sectoring and hierarchical zone numbering system for the SERM.
- Chapter 6: SERM Final Zone System: Presents the final zoning system.
### 2 SERM Zone System Development

#### 2.1 Introduction

The zone system is used to segregate the modelled area into a number of disaggregate areas, enabling travel patterns to be separated and described in detail for each relevant origin-destination (OD) movement. The resultant travel demand associated with each zone is loaded onto or assigned to the modelled network using a series of zone centroid connectors.

The regional model zone delineation process aims to create a zone system which allows accurate modelling in the area concerned. The process, which has been established for all regional models, involves taking Census Small Areas, (the smallest spatial level at which data for building demand is available) and manipulating zone boundaries to create zones that take account of physical boundaries (motorways, rivers, etc.), and representative homogenous land use types and activity. This chapter outlines the process undertaken to develop the initial SERM zone system.

#### 2.2 SERM Regional Zoning System Overview

The SERM zoning process followed the steps described in “ZN TN05 Guidance for Zoning Delineation Process”, with some updates being applied where appropriate. The methodology to be used for the SERM was outlined in the information note, SWRM Zone System Development Report.

This process has been split into two main steps: Preparation Work and Zone Delineation. Within these steps the process is broken down into further sequences of sub-tasks. Figure 2.1 sets out the zone delineation process with arrows representing the chronological order of tasks. The process is iterative in order to achieve an acceptable balance between the various zone delineation conditions.

#### Preparation Work

Preparation Work comprises the following sub-tasks:

- **Data Review**
  Collation and review of existing data sources.

- **Model Area Definition**
  Review of the zonal detail included within previous regional models, the proposed level of model network detail and the potential applications of the completed model.

- **Define Zones Criteria**
  Definition of criteria used to aggregate/ disaggregate zones.

#### Zone Delineation
Zone Delineation comprises the following sub-tasks:

- **Small Area Disaggregation**
  Applying the disaggregation criteria to further disaggregate Small Areas if necessary;

- **Aggregation in Zones**
  Applying the aggregation criteria to combine Small Areas into zones; and

- **Review Against Criteria**
  Review of proposed zone system against criteria to check it meets the requirements.
2.3 Preparation Work

2.3.1 Data Review

The Zone Delineation Guide identifies a number of zone characteristics, such as population and employment, which are correlated with travel activity levels. To understand the level of travel activity across the modelled area, the Small Area Population Statistics (SAPS) database, that contains the population and administration data from the 2011 Census, was interrogated. This GIS shapefile was cross-referenced with the Place of Work, School or College Census of Anonymised Records (POWSCAR) travel data (both data sets based on the 2011 Census). This level of geocoded detail allows for each CSA to be assigned the following data:

- Total population;
- Number of trips (Work and Education) from the Small Area in the AM peak; and
- Number of trips (Work and Education) to the Small Area in the AM peak.
This data was used to build a database of population and trip generation across the modelled area to compare activity levels. A map of the Small Areas is shown below in Figure 2.2.
Figure 2.2 Map of Small Areas
In addition, in accordance with the Zone Delineation Guide, data from a number of other sources was extracted. This included:

- **MyPlan data**: MyPlan is a database containing data relating to existing land use types in urban areas;
- **Geo Directory data**: Geo Directory is a database of addresses with geographic coordinates, each of which is categorised as either residential or commercial, with different addresses in the same building included;
- **Electoral Divisions**; and
- **Road and rail networks**.

2.3.2 Model Area Definition

The SERM will be used to forecast changes in traffic levels and congestion on existing routes, appraise the benefits of proposed transport interventions and policies and predict the impact associated with land use development plans. These types of model application require a relatively detailed zone system and network to capture evidence relating to a wide range of potential impacts.

The SERM zoning system includes Waterford City, Counties Waterford, Kilkenny, Wexford, Carlow and Tipperary South.

The SERM model network is composed of a simulation area, which includes modelling of individual junction layouts, and a buffer network which contains less detailed junction coding. As the zones tend to be of similar level of activity, the zoning is more detailed in city/town centres than in rural areas. Figure 2.3 illustrates the simulation and buffer areas of the SERM.
2.3.3 Zone Criteria

The Zone Delineation Guide describes the range of conditions and thresholds to be taken into account when compiling a regional model zone system. This involves combining or segregating the individual CSAs into relevant zones. These conditions include:

**Trip Generators / Attractors:**
- Areas with an identified purpose and associated with a considerable level of travel activity/tip movement (for example airports, universities, hospitals and shopping centres) should be isolated into separate zones representing specific travel patterns.

**Geographical Boundaries:**
- CSAs which intersected physical boundaries such as motorways, rivers and railways should be identified and disaggregated;
- Zones should lie within and not intersect a District Electoral Division (DED).

**Land use:**
- Areas with similar land use characteristics should be consolidated where appropriate to aggregate similar travel purposes;
A zone should not contain more than two incompatible land-use categories (only categories over 15% of the zone area are considered for this).

**Level of travel activity:**
- Zone activity should be in the 500-2,000 range (total trip generation/attractions during the morning period);
- Zone population should be below 3,000 people.

2.4 Zone Delineation

2.4.1 Small Area Disaggregation

Three criteria were used to identify CSAs to be disaggregated:

- Significant trip attractors;
- Geographical boundaries; and
- Incompatible land-uses.

2.4.1.1 Significant Trip Attractors

Areas with an identified purpose and associated with a considerable level of travel activity / trip movement (for example airports, universities, hospitals, shopping centres) were isolated into separate zones representing specific travel patterns. Places considered as an attractor were identified using POWSCAR to select CSAs which attracted more than 2,000 trips over a three hour morning period.

The following high demand areas have been identified:

- Kilculiheen (4,473 work trips);
- Waterford Industrial Estate (6,698 work trips);
- Waterford University Hospital (3,325 work trips & education trips);
- Ballytruckle (5,539 work trips);
- Farranshoneen (4,152 work trips);
- Grange South (2,321 work trips);
- Grange Upper (2,269 work trips);
- Tramore Road Business Park (3,409 work trips);
- Waterford Institute of Technology (5,001 education trips); and
- De La Salle boys Secondary School and surrounding residential area (3,960 education and work trips).

2.4.1.2 Geographical Boundaries

CSAs which intersected physical boundaries such as motorways, rivers and railways were identified and disaggregated. This is illustrated in Figure 2.4 below which shows that two zones in Gorey were originally segregated by a rail line. These zones were disaggregated so that rail line formed the boundary of the new zones.
For the SERM zoning, the following boundaries have been considered:

- River Suir;
- M9 motorway; and
- Waterford – Limerick & Waterford - Dublin railway lines.

Figure 2.4 Gorey Disaggregation

2.4.1.3 Land Use

Areas with similar land use characteristics were consolidated where appropriate to aggregate similar travel purposes. Using the MyPlan land-use database, macro-categories of land-use were defined, with incompatible categories identified (e.g. industry and residential) and isolated within separate zones (see Figure 2.5 below).

The Geodirectory database (which provides locational data for residential & commercial buildings) was used to determine the appropriate split within zones where CSAs were required to be disaggregated.
Following this CSAs disaggregation process, about 125 CSAs were split into separate zones. CSAs were segregated if they created a relatively sizeable sub-CSA in terms of geography.

The example below shows where one large CSA has been disaggregated. CSA 228002001/228006001 has been split for two reasons: 1) to separate three different land uses (Office/Commercial, Green Space/Agriculture and Industrial) which each account for more than 15% of the total land use (Table 2.1) and 2) because the level of activity of the CSA is 6,698 (Figure 2.6).
Table 2.1 MyPlan Land Use Data Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Area (Sq km)</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office/ Commercial</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenspace/ Agriculture</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education/ Health</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Area</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism/ Infrastructure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport/ Port</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Area</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.6 CSA disaggregation – Ballybeg South (Waterford Industrial Estate) example
2.4.2 Zone Aggregation

Following the disaggregation of the CSAs, the remaining CSAs were aggregated to a logical and detailed zoning system, with an optimal level of travel activity within each zone. This process followed the approach and criteria developed for the ERM, which included:

- Zones should lie within and not intersect a District Electoral Division;
- Zone activity should be in the 500-2,000 range (total trip generation / attractions during the morning period (0630-0930, Time of Departure, source POWSCAR);
- A zone shouldn’t contain more than two incompatible land-use categories. Only categories over 15% of the zone area are considered for this; and
- Zone population should be below 3,000 people.

The application of the criteria was treated as a hierarchy on occasions when not all conditions could be met. On occasions when conditions were not met, specific zones have been highlighted for potential review during the travel demand modelling development phase. The uncertainty surrounding these zones mostly relates to the potential level of travel activity, which will be confirmed during matrix development phase, at which point there may be an opportunity to further aggregate or disaggregate zones.

An example of zone aggregation is illustrated below in Figure 2.7. The first map shows the CSAs and the number of trips attracted to each (in red). The six CSAs highlighted have a total trip attraction of 1,252, which is below the acceptable limit. Therefore these 6 CSAs were combined to make one zone (zone 408).

![Figure 2.7 Aggregation into Zones Example](image)
2.5 First Pass Zone System

After all of these changes had been made (over the course of a number of iterations of the zoning process), this resulted in the initial SERM zone system (Version 1.1). The key zone system statistics include:

- Total zones: 545;
- Waterford City zones: 77;
- Waterford County zones: 57;
- Wexford County zones: 135;
- Kilkenny County zones: 115;
- South Tipperary County zones: 81;
- Carlow County zones: 55; and
- External zones: 25.
This zone system was then passed to the NTA and the Local Authorities in the SERM area for review.

Figure 2.8 SERM Zoning V1.1
3 SERM Zone Development Review Process

3.1 Overview

A first version of the zoning, following the aggregation process, was sent to the Road Network Development team, the NTA and the relevant Local Authorities for review. The purpose of this step is to improve the initial zone system with respect to network and land use configuration whilst taking into account each of the previously discussed zone criteria.

3.2 Road Network Access Review

The SERM road network, which was developed separately and in parallel with the zoning system, is linked to the zone system via zone centroids and their connectors. Zone centroids can be defined in the road network, once a first version of the zoning is available. Centroids can be defined as geographical centres of a zone boundary. Zone centroid access (e.g. connectors) was defined using the road development method, which is detailed in SERM Road Model Development Report. That task (and preliminary assignment tests) raised issues that indicated some changes were required in the initial zoning system. Below are examples of the type of issues that were identified and how they were addressed:

### Table 3.1 SERM Road Network Access Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Several actual accesses to a large zone</td>
<td>Zone disaggregated further to represent each main access point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network locally overloaded due to link capacity limitation where a zone is connected</td>
<td>Zone disaggregated further if activity level allows it, modification to the access point if not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No road network coded within the zone (externals)</td>
<td>External zones have been redefined to represent “corridor access” to the simulation area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The example presented below shows how the road network access review impacts the zoning development. Zone 51 in Figure 3.1 is taken from an early version of the zoning process. As can be seen this area is between two major roads (R708 and John’s Hill Road), as a result of the road network access review it was decided to split the zone in two to ensure that all trip attractors were not assigned to just one of the roads.
3.3 National Transport Authority Planning Team Review

The National Transport Authority planning team reviewed the SERM zoning system (v1.1) to check against relevant local plans and to ensure the SERM zoning system is consistent with the other regional model zoning systems. Following the review, the following modifications have been implemented:

- North Tramore has been split into two zones along the Glen Road;
- WIT West Campus given its own zone; and
- Dungarvan south west Environs has been split from the wider rural area.

3.4 Local Authorities Review

Wexford County Council reviewed the zone system (v1.1) and indicated that they have no issues or recommended changes to the zoning system in the Wexford area. No other comments were received from Local Authorities.

3.5 External Zones

Based on emerging guidance from the ERM, the external zones were also revised at this time, as it was considered that having 25 external zones (one for every local authority outside of Waterford, Wexford, Kilkenny, South Tipperary and Carlow) was too disaggregate. A skeletal representation of the main corridors was created, with external demand loaded onto this network using centroid connectors with representative distances and speeds, connected to an appropriate motorway or national road corridor node at the edge of the model road network.

The external zones have been aggregated to create seven large external zones (County Cork, County Kerry, North Tipperary County, Laois County, External North East, External North West and External West). These zones have then been
connected to the most appropriate strategic road network corridor. This is shown in Figure 3.2 below.
Figure 3.2 Revised External Zones
3.6 Second Pass Zone System

Following the processes outlined above the initial SERM zoning system was defined and is available for interrogation using a GIS Shapefile. The key zone system statistics include:

- **Total zones:** 535;
- Waterford City zones: 82;
- Waterford County zones: 59;
- Wexford County zones: 138;
- Kilkenny County zones: 113;
- South Tipperary County zones: 81;
- Carlow County zones: 55; and
- External zones: 7.

3.7 Maps of the zoning system

Figure 3.3 provides an illustration of the SERM Zoning system.
Figure 3.3 SERM Zoning V1.6
4 SERM Zone Area Review

4.1 Introduction

Emerging guidance from the development of ERM and tests carried out on SWRM identified an issue relating to the area of some of the zones and the representation of active modes in the Regional Models. Application of the aggregation criteria outlined in Section 2.4 resulted in some large zones in rural areas (where there were low levels of activity). This can be seen in Figure 4.1, where significant parts of rural Carlow, Kilkenny, South Tipperary and Waterford Counties have zone areas greater than 75 square km.

In the initial PT assignment, the length of the public transport walk connector was taken to be proportional to the area of the zone (it was taken to be 2/3 of the radius of the zone, with the assumption that each zone was a perfect circle). This resulted in long walk connectors, and hence a high PT access cost, for some zones, which impacted on the calibration of the FDM. It also led to the over estimation of intra-zonal walking and cycling trips, with the error in the proportion of these trips proportional to the length of the centroid connector.
4.2 Zone Disaggregation Criteria

If a zone had a walk connector longer than 3km then it was flagged for review, with zones being disaggregated to create a system with the majority of zones aiming for the following target attributes where possible:

- Zone activity target of 2,000;
- Zone population max target of 5,000; and
- Zone size below 70km².

The application of the targets was on a case-by-case basis, so that some zones’ attributes remain above the thresholds, but the overall system is much more disaggregate.
4.3 Application of Disaggregation Criteria

The example presented below shows rural zones in the north-west of Kilkenny, and how these have been disaggregated.

![Map of Kilkenny showing rural zones in the north-west.](image)

**Figure 4.2** SERM Public Transport access review – North West Kilkenny

Due to these new criteria, 35 new zones were added. In addition, a special zone was added to represent Rosslare Port. Special Zones are zones (usually ports and airports) which are not assigned to geographical zones, but are considered as zones within the model structure to enable assignment to/from representative points in the networks. This version of the zoning system is known as v1.12 and consists of a total of 571 zones.

4.4 Zone Area Analysis

Figure 4.3 shows a comparison of the zone areas before and after disaggregation. (Note that this does not show zones with an area less than 1km$^2$ as this that represents approximately 40% of zones and skews the plot area. As these small zones are within urban areas where the activity levels are sufficiently high to warrant them they were largely unaffected by the disaggregation.) There is a significant reduction in proportion of zones with areas between 50 and 150km$^2$, with an associated increase in zones between 20 and 50km$^2$. Zones larger than 200 km$^2$ are all external zones and hence were unaffected by the disaggregation.
4.5 Network Changes

In addition to the zone disaggregation, weighted zone centroids were also introduced based on the highest concentration of population and jobs in a zone. This more accurately reflects the generalised cost of trips to/from zones where there was a small town or village in a large rural zone. More detail on the methodology employed for this and the impact is given in SERM Public Transport Model Development Report. The length of centroid connectors was also capped at 500m. Both of these measures further improved the representation of PT and active modes trips.
5 SERM Sectoring and Numbering System

5.1 ERM Guidance
As set out in the ERM Guidance “ZN TN05 GDA Sectoring System Information Note”, a sector system has been developed for the SERM. This sector system is presented below, and is used to define a hierarchical zone and node numbering system. It also facilitates the analysis of the demand and travel patterns at a more aggregated level.

5.2 Sectoring system
A number of resources have been used in the development of the sector system, including:

- The finalised zone boundaries of the SERM;
- Key geographical features, notably Motorways, rail lines and Rivers;
- County Boundaries; and
- A 19-settlement type classification system provided by the NTA.

In total, fourteen sectors have been developed for the SERM. These are listed in the table below, and are also shown on the following map (Figure 5.1).
### Table 5.1 SERM Sectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector Number</th>
<th>Sector Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Belfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Waterford City Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Waterford City West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Waterford City South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Waterford City East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Waterford Industrial Estate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kilcohan/Ballindud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ballinakill/Grantstown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>North Waterford City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Small Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Regional Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Regional Large Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>External</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The “ZN TN07 GDA Sectoring System Information Note” also contains guidance on zone numbering for the regional models. A hierarchical system was adopted, with zones in the SERM renumbered based on the sector in which they are contained. This numbering system will be consistent across the suite of regional models, ensuring that independent sector, zone and node numbers are available for each of the models whilst remaining within the 5-digit (99,999) number limit within the SATURN software.

SERM has been given an allocation of 500 zone and node numbers per sector. The first 50 numbers of each sector have been reserved for zone numbering, and the remaining numbers reserved for node numbering.

Table 5.2, below, details the zone and node numbering for the SERM. The zone and node number ranges correspond to the sector name, i.e. the sector name will match the first three digits of the first zone number in the range. For example, zone and node numbers for Sector 530 will range from 53,000 to 53,499.

Each model will have a sector for undefined areas (like sector 1000 in GDA). For SERM this is sector 595, which has been given an allocation of 2,000 zone and node numbers.

Figure 5.1 SERM Sectoring system
Table 5.2 Sector, Zone and Node Numbering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Zones</th>
<th>Nodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>530</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>53000-53099</td>
<td>53100-53499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>53500-53599</td>
<td>53600-53999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>54000-54099</td>
<td>54100-54499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>54500-54599</td>
<td>54600-54999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>55000-55099</td>
<td>55100-55499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>55500-55599</td>
<td>55600-55999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>56000-56099</td>
<td>56100-56499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>565</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>56500-56599</td>
<td>56600-56999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>570</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>57000-57099</td>
<td>57100-57499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>575</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>57500-57599</td>
<td>57600-57999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>580</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>58000-58099</td>
<td>58100-58499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>585</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>58500-58599</td>
<td>58600-58999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>59000-59099</td>
<td>59100-59499</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4 Special zones

Transport infrastructures where passengers travel from/to foreign destinations (such as airports or ports) can generate and attract a large number of trips. People that are working at these places are considered in the “regular” demand model as both origins and destinations are within the model area. Trips made by the travellers have a part of their journey outside the model area and a part made within the model area. These trips have then to be considered separately in the model and transport demand for these hubs is modelled differently from the rest of the zones.

In the SERM, one special zone is considered:

- Rosslare Port.
6 SERM Final Zone System

6.1 Overall figures

The final SERM zone system (v1.12) is shown in Figure 6.1. It has 571 zones as follows:

- Total zones: 571;
- Waterford City zones: 82;
- Waterford County zones: 70;
- Wexford County zones: 142;
- Kilkenny County zones: 118;
- South Tipperary County zones: 94;
- Carlow County zones: 57;
- Special zones: 1; and
- External zones: 7.
Figure 6.1 SERM final Zoning
Figure 6.2 SERM final Zone system & My Plan data – Waterford City
6.2 Zoning analysis

Along with the GIS shapefiles of the zone system, an analysis spreadsheet is produced to check that the zoning is acceptable and meets the criteria defined in the repeatable method process.

The following criteria have been applied across the final zone system to appraise its quality, and to compare it with the other Regional Model zone systems:

- **Population** below 3,000;
- **Activity** between 500 and 2,000 trips;
- Less than two different **land use categories**; and
- Intrazonal trip ratio below 5%.

6.2.1 Population

The population distribution for the SERM zone system is illustrated in Figure 6.4 overleaf, and is calculated using the Census Small Area data. In the SERM, there are no zones (except externals) which have a population that exceed the 3,000 threshold criteria.
6.2.2 Activity

Activity is defined at the zonal level as the sum of trip productions and attractions. It is calculated at the zoning development stage and is derived from the POWSCAR 2011 database, for all modes and all time periods. This indicator provides a useful mechanism to compare zones of different types, i.e. residential zones (which are mostly trip producers in the POWSCAR database) and employment zones (which are mostly trip attractors).

The target activity range, defined by the repeatable method process, is 500 to 2,000 trips. The activity distribution for the final SERM zone system is shown in Figure 6.5, overleaf. Approximately 17% of the zones within the SERM have an activity level below the specified minimum threshold of 500 trips. This is acceptable due to the fact that these zones are mostly located in rural areas, and aggregating them to meet this criterion would have led to very large zones.

Only 1% of the SERM zones have an activity level above the maximum threshold of 2,000 trips, and these represent large attractors (e.g. industrial estates, education and commercial areas).
6.2.3 Land Use Categories

Having homogeneous zones from a land use point of view is important as these areas will then exhibit similar travel purposes. As detailed earlier in this report, MyPlan data has been used to separate (where possible) areas with different land use. Figure 6.6 provides an overview of the number of different land use categories within zones in the SERM. It should be noted that MyPlan data was unavailable for approximately 40% of the zones within the SERM. The results in Figure 6.7 indicate that 21% of SERM zones contain more than a single land use category.
6.2.4 Intrazonal Trip Ratio

The Intrazonal Trip Ratio is calculated as the ratio of trips that remain within a zone (intrazonal trips) over the sum of trips arriving and leaving the zone. This has been calculated for all zones within the SERM and measures the level of detail of the zone system. A high intrazonal trip ratio means that a large number of trips are not loaded on to the modelled network as they are made within the zone.

In the SERM zone system, 61% of zones have an intrazonal trip ratio below the threshold criteria of 5%, and no zone has a ratio of above 25%. Zones with higher intrazonal trip ratios are mostly large in size with low activity levels. Further disaggregation of these zones to meet the intrazonal trip ratio criteria would have a negative impact on the minimum activity threshold of 500 trips outlined previously.

Figure 6.6 Final SERM Zoning – Different Land Use categories
Figure 6.7 Final SERM Zoning – Intrazonal trip ratio distribution

6.2.5 Summary

The previous sections of this chapter outline the criteria utilised to appraise the quality of the SERM zone system. Figure 6.8 Error! Reference source not found., overleaf, illustrates the proportion of SERM zones which meet each of these criteria thresholds. The analysis indicates that:

- 41% of zones meet all the criteria;
- 55% of the zones fail one criterion;
- 4% fail two criteria; and
- No zone fails more than two criteria.
Figure 6.8 Final SERM Zoning – Number of indicators exceeded