



Limerick-Shannon Metropolitan Transport Strategy

Final Limerick-Shannon Metropolitan Transport Strategy: Environmental Report

November 2022

National Transport Authority





Final Limerick-Shannon Metropolitan Transport Strategy

| Project No: | 32110600 |
|-----------------------|--|
| Document Title: | Final Limerick-Shannon Metropolitan Transport Strategy: Environmental Report |
| Revision: | 3 |
| Date: | November 2022 |
| Client Name: | National Transport Authority |
| Project Manager: | Rory MacDonnell |
| Author: | Ros Vincent |
| Jacobs Engineering Ir | eland Limited |
| Merrion House | |
| Merrion Road | |
| Dublin / DO/ DOCE | |

Dublin 4, D04 R2C5 Ireland T +353 1 269 5666 F +353 1 269 5497 www.jacobs.com

Copyright Jacobs Engineering Ireland Limited © 2022.

All rights reserved. The concepts and information contained in this document are the property of the Jacobs group of companies. Use or copying of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of Jacobs constitutes an infringement of copyright. Jacobs, the Jacobs logo, and all other Jacobs trademarks are the property of Jacobs.

NOTICE: This document has been prepared exclusively for the use and benefit of Jacobs' client. Jacobs accepts no liability or responsibility for any use or reliance upon this document by any third party.

Contents

| | echnical Summary | II |
|---|---|--|
| 1. | Introduction and Background | 18 |
| 1.1 | Background to the Limerick Metropolitan Area Transport Strategy (LSMATS) | |
| 1.1.1 | Relationship between LSMATS and other plans and programmes | |
| 1.2 | Purpose of this Environmental Report | |
| 1.2.1 | Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) | |
| 1.2.2 | Purpose and structure of the Environmental Report | 21 |
| 1.2.3 | Appropriate Assessment (AA) | |
| 2. | SEA process | 24 |
| 2.1 | LSMATS scope and content | 24 |
| 2.1.1 | Scope of the LSMATS | 24 |
| 2.1.2 | Geographical scale of the LSMATS | 24 |
| 2.1.3 | Temporal scope of the LSMATS | |
| 2.1.4 | Development of the LSMATS and integration of the SEA and AA | |
| 3. | Review of Plans, Programmes and Policies | |
| 4. | Consultation | |
| 4.1 | Scoping | |
| 4.2 | Environmental Report | |
| 4.3 | Other | |
| | | |
| 5. | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues | |
| 5. 5.1 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues | |
| 5. 5.1 5.2 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues Introduction SEA study area | |
| 5. 1 5.2 5.3 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues Introduction SEA study area Population and Human Health | |
| 5. 1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues Introduction SEA study area Population and Human Health Population | |
| 5. 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues Introduction SEA study area Population and Human Health Population Human Health | 36 36 37 37 37 37 42 |
| 5. 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.2 5.3.3 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues Introduction SEA study area Population and Human Health Population Human Health Transport / Access | 36 37 37 37 37 37 42 44 |
| 5. 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.3 5.3.4 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues Introduction SEA study area Population and Human Health Population Human Health Transport / Access Future Trends | 36 36 37 37 37 37 42 44 50 |
| 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4 5.3.5 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues Introduction SEA study area Population and Human Health Population Human Health Transport / Access Future Trends Key Issues | 36 36 37 37 37 42 44 50 51 |
| 5. 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4 5.3.5 5.4 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues Introduction SEA study area Population and Human Health Population Human Health Transport / Access Future Trends Key Issues Tourism and Recreation | 36 37 37 37 37 42 44 50 51 51 |
| 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4 5.3.5 5.4 5.4.1 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues Introduction SEA study area Population and Human Health Population Human Health Transport / Access Future Trends Key Issues Tourism and Recreation Future Trends | 36 36 37 37 37 42 44 50 51 51 51 52 |
| 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4 5.3.5 5.4 5.4.1 5.4.2 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues Introduction | 36 37 37 37 37 42 44 50 51 51 51 52 52 |
| 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4 5.3.5 5.4 5.4.1 5.4.2 5.5 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues | 36 37 37 37 37 37 42 44 50 51 51 51 52 52 52 |
| 5. 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4 5.3.5 5.4 5.4.1 5.4.2 5.5 5.5.1 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues | 36 37 37 37 37 37 42 44 50 51 51 51 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 |
| 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4 5.3.5 5.4 5.4.1 5.4.2 5.5 5.5.1 5.5.2 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues | 36 37 37 37 37 37 42 44 50 51 51 52 53 53 |
| 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4 5.3.5 5.4 5.4.1 5.4.2 5.5 5.5.1 5.5.2 5.5.3 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues | 36 37 37 37 37 37 42 44 50 51 51 51 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 53 56 |
| 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4 5.3.5 5.4 5.4.1 5.4.2 5.5 5.5.1 5.5.2 5.5.3 5.5.4 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues Introduction SEA study area Population and Human Health Population Human Health Transport / Access Future Trends Key Issues Tourism and Recreation Future Trends Key Issues relating to LSMATS Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna Biodiversity Governance. Designated Sites Aquatic Environment Invasive Species | 36 37 |
| 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4 5.3.5 5.4 5.4.1 5.4.2 5.5 5.5.1 5.5.2 5.5.3 5.5.4 5.5.4 | Baseline and Key Environmental Issues Introduction SEA study area Population and Human Health Population Human Health Transport / Access Future Trends Key Issues Tourism and Recreation Future Trends Key Issues relating to LSMATS Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna Biodiversity Governance Designated Sites Aquatic Environment Invasive Species Future Trends | 36 37 37 37 37 37 42 44 50 51 51 52 53 56 56 57 57 |

Final Limerick-Shannon Metropolitan Transport Strategy: Environmental Report

| 5.6 | Landscape and Visual Amenity | .57 |
|--------|--|------|
| 5.6.1 | Future Trends | . 59 |
| 5.6.2 | Key Issues relating to LSMATS | .60 |
| 5.7 | Cultural Heritage - Archaeological and Architectural | .60 |
| 5.7.1 | Record of Monuments and Places (RMP) | .60 |
| 5.7.2 | National Inventory of Architectural Heritage (NIAH) | .60 |
| 5.7.3 | Record of Protected Structures (RPS) | . 60 |
| 5.7.4 | Sites and Monuments Record (SMR) | . 60 |
| 5.7.5 | Future Trends | .61 |
| 5.7.6 | Key Issues relating to LSMATS | .61 |
| 5.8 | Geology and Soils | .61 |
| 5.8.1 | Geology | .61 |
| 5.8.2 | Soils | .62 |
| 5.8.3 | Future Trends | .64 |
| 5.8.4 | Key Issues related to LSMATS | .64 |
| 5.9 | Air Quality | .64 |
| 5.9.1 | Future Trends | .65 |
| 5.9.2 | Key Issues relating to LSMATS | .65 |
| 5.10 | Noise and Vibration | .65 |
| 5.10.1 | Future Trends | .67 |
| 5.10.2 | Key Issues relating to LSMATS | . 68 |
| 5.11 | Water Environment | .68 |
| 5.11.1 | WFD Status | .68 |
| 5.11.2 | River Basin Management Plan | .72 |
| 5.11.3 | Floods Directive | .72 |
| 5.11.4 | Relevant Resources | .73 |
| 5.11.5 | Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Sources of Flooding | .73 |
| 5.11.6 | Future Trends | .77 |
| 5.11.7 | Key Issues related to LSMATS | .77 |
| 5.12 | Land Use and Materials Assets | .77 |
| 5.12.1 | Land Use (Natural Assets) | .78 |
| 5.12.2 | Built Material Assets (Transport) | . 80 |
| 5.12.3 | Future Trends | . 80 |
| 5.12.4 | Key Issues relating to LSMATS | . 80 |
| 5.13 | Climate Change | .81 |
| 5.13.1 | Climate Change and Transport | .81 |
| 5.13.2 | Legislative Background | .82 |
| 5.13.3 | Future Trends | .82 |
| 5.13.4 | Key Issues in relation to LSMATS | .83 |

| 6. | SEA Scope and Methodology |
|-------|---|
| 6.1 | Scope of Assessment |
| 6.2 | Method of Assessment |
| 6.2.1 | Overall Approach |
| 6.2.2 | Determination of Significance |
| 6.2.3 | Strategy Alternatives |
| 6.2.4 | Cumulative Effects |
| 6.2.5 | Appropriate Assessment |
| 7. | Environmental Assessment of Preferred Plan and Alternatives |
| 7.1 | "Within Strategy" Alternatives |
| 7.2 | "Whole Strategy" Alternatives 100 |
| 7.3 | Preferred Strategy 118 |
| 7.3.1 | Site specific ecological mitigation for European designated sites |
| 7.3.2 | Summary of Preferred Approach Assessment 142 |
| 7.4 | Inter-Plan Cumulative Effects |
| 7.5 | Appropriate Assessment |
| 8. | Monitoring and Implementation148 |
| 9. | Conclusions |
| 9.1 | Next Steps |
| 10. | References |

Appendix A. Plans, Policies and Programmes (PPP) Review

- A.1 Key National Policy Influences
- A.1.1 National Planning Framework 2040 (Department of Housing, Planning, Community and Local Government, 2018)
- A.1.2 The National Development Strategy (NDP) 2018-2027
- A.1.3 Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (Amendment) Act 2021
- A.1.4 Climate Action Plan 2021
- A.1.5 Strategic Framework for Investment in Land Transport (SFILT)
- A.1.6 National Investment Framework for Transport in Ireland (NIFTI) 2021 (Department of Transport)
- A.1.7 Smarter Travel: A Sustainable Transport Future 2009-2020 (Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport, 2009)
- A.2 Key Regional Policy and Guidance
- A.2.1 Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy (RSES)
- A.2.2 Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Strategic Plan (LSMASP)
- A.2.4 Other
- A.3 Key Local Policy and Guidance

Appendix B. Individual Element Assessment Matrices

- B.1 BusConnects Assessment Matrix
- B.3 Cycling Matrix

- B.4 Rail Assessment Matrix
- B.5 Walking Assessment Matrix
- B.6 Roads and Parking Assessment Matrix
- B.7 Freight Assessment Matrix

Appendix C. Summary Assessment Matrices

Figures

| Figure 2.1 Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Boundary | 25 |
|--|------|
| Figure 2.2 Development of the LSMATS and Integration of the SEA and AA | 27 |
| Figure 5.1 Study Area and Zone of Influence | 37 |
| Figure 5.2 Population Percentage Breakdown – LSMA | 38 |
| Figure 5.2 LSMA 2016 population density (per square kilometre) | 39 |
| Figure 5.3 LSMA 2016 jobs per CSO Small Area | 40 |
| Figure 5.4 Limerick and Shannon Metropolitan Area 2016 Jobs Density (per square kilometre) | 41 |
| Figure 5.5 Levels of Deprivation compared to Ireland for County Clare, and County Limerick (County Health | |
| Profiles; Health Service Executive (HSE), 2015) | 41 |
| Figure 5.6 Levels of Deprivation compared to Ireland overall for Limerick City (County Health Profiles; Health | 1 |
| Service Executive (HSE), 2019) | 42 |
| Figure 5.7 Number of persons injured in Ireland classified by road user type, 2010 – 2016 | 43 |
| Figure 5.8 Road Network within the LSMA | 46 |
| Figure 5.9 Rail Network within the LSMA | 47 |
| Figure 5.10 Rates of Walking and Cycling within STAs | 48 |
| Figure 5.11 Designated Sites within the Study Area and Zone of Influence | 55 |
| Figure 5.12 Soils within the Study Area | 63 |
| Figure 5.13 Map of Lden Transport Noise within and in proximity to the LSMA area | 67 |
| Figure 5.14 Map of Lnight Transport Noise within and in proximity to the LSMA area | 67 |
| Figure 5.15 Surface Water Quality in the Study Area | 70 |
| Figure 5.16 Groundwater Quality in the Study Area | 71 |
| Figure 5.17 CFRAM Fluvial Flood Risk Map (Source: https://www.floodinfo.ie/map/floodmaps/) | 74 |
| Figure 5.18 Coastal flood risk map (source: https://www.floodinfo.ie/map/floodmaps/) | 75 |
| Figure 5.19 Predictive groundwater flood map (source: | |
| https://dcenr.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=848f83c85799436b808652f9c735b1c | c)76 |
| Figure 5.20 Historic Flood Record (source: https://www.floodinfo.ie/map/floodmaps/) | 77 |
| Figure 5.21 CORINE landcover | 79 |
| Figure 5.22 Emissions per Sector (%) | 81 |
| Figure 5.23 Projected GHG emissions by sector (source: EPA, 2020) | 83 |
| Figure 8.1 Relationship between SEA for the LSMATS and environmental assessment undertaken for projects | 5 |
| implemented under the LSMATS | .148 |

Tables

| Table 1.1 Structure of this Environmental Report | 21 |
|--|-----|
| Table 1.2 Content of Environmental Report | 22 |
| Table 3.1 Summary of the Plan, Policy and Programme Review | 28 |
| Table 4.1 SEA scoping consultation responses and actions taken | 31 |
| Table 4.2 Summary of SEA Environmental Report consultation comments | 33 |
| Table 5.1 Breakdown of Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Population | 38 |
| Table 5.2 Population forecast for LSMA between 2016 and 2040 | 50 |
| Table 5.3 International and European sites within the extended study area | 54 |
| Table 5.4 WFD Status of Rivers, Coastal Waters, Estuaries, Lakes and Groundwater (2013-2018) | 69 |
| Table 6.1 Scope of the SEA | 84 |
| Table 6.2 SEA Objectives and Assessment Criteria | 87 |
| Table 6.3 Scale of Effects | 90 |
| Table 6.4 Assessment Criteria for Effects on Alternatives on SEOs | 91 |
| Table 6.5 Interrelated Strategic Environmental Assessment topics | 92 |
| Table 7.1 Assessment of "Within Strategy" public transport alternatives against SEOs | 95 |
| Table 7.2 Model runs undertaken to support strategy network development | 101 |
| Table 7.3 "Whole Strategy" Alternatives | 102 |
| Table 7.4 Assessment of "Whole Strategy" alternatives against SEOs | 105 |
| Table 7.5 Summary of Preferred Plan Assessment | 120 |
| Table 7.6 High level site specific ecological mitigation measures | 133 |
| Table 7.7 Potential cumulative effects with other plans and programmes | 144 |
| Table 8.1 Monitoring and Implementation Plan | 149 |
| | |

Non-Technical Summary

Introduction

The National Transport Authority (NTA) in collaboration with Limerick City and County Council (LCCC) and Clare County Council (CCC), is developing a Transport Strategy for the Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area (LSMA) covering the period 2020 to 2040. The Strategy will provide a framework for the planning and delivery of transport infrastructure and services in the LSMA over the next two decades. It will also provide a planning policy for which other agencies can align their future policies and investment priorities.

The LSMATS (the Strategy) will influence future projects to be identified down the line but which are likely to have a significant effect on the environment, and therefore falls under the scope of the European Directive 2001/42/EC "on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment" (known as the 'SEA Directive"). The SEA Directive is implemented in Ireland via the European Communities (EC) (Environmental Assessment of Certain Plans and Programmes) Regulations 2003, as amended by the EC (Environmental Assessment of Certain Plans and Programmes) (Amendments) Regulations 2011 (known as the 'SEA Regulations').

SEA Process

The SEA process is outlined in the table below. This Environmental Report provides the findings of the SEA for the LSMATS, including alternatives and cumulative effects.

| Stage | Purpose and Requirements | Output | Consultation |
|--|--|---|--|
| Stage 1: Screening | Prior to starting the SEA process, a Strategy or programme undergoes 'screening" to determine whether it requires SEA (also if SEA is to be undertaken on a voluntary basis). | SEA Screening Statement – NTA (as the responsible authority) determined that SEA would be undertaken for the LSMATS. | N/A |
| Stage 2: Scoping | Consideration of the context and objectives of the SEA, provides information on baseline data, identifies relevant environmental issues and trends, and defines the parameters of the scope of the SEA for the purpose of consultation. | SEA Scoping Report. | SEA Scoping report consulted on for 4 week period during September and October 2019 |
| Stage 3: Identification, Prediction, Evaluation and Mitigation of Potential Effects | Within the context and parameters identified at the Scoping Stage, identification and evaluation of likely significant effects of the LSMATS is carried out, including consideration of alternatives and determination of measures to mitigate and monitor residual effects. | SEA Environmental Report – this report. | The SEA Environmental Report for the draft Strategy consulted on between 2 nd September and 30 th October 2020 and a revised strategy and SEA Environmental Report and NIS were issued for consultation in 3 rd May 2022 to 10 th June 2022. |



| Stage 4: Consultation, Revision and Post- Adoption Current Stage in the SEA Process | Consultation with statutory consultees and the public. This may require changes to the draft Strategy in light of responses. Statement on how the SEA and consultation process has influenced the final Strategy. The statement is required to include an environmental monitoring Strategy - this is intended to provide feedback on significant environmental effects. This will also aid any future review / revision of the LSMATS and the SEA. | Updated SEA Environmental Report (this document) SEA Statement. Implementation of the monitoring programme. | Consultation feedback on the revised draft LSMATS and accompanying SEA Environmental Report has been received and considered in development of the Final LSMATS The SEA Environmental Report (this document) has been updated to reflect comments received and the final strategy. |
|--|--|--|--|

Summary of Environmental Baseline

The study area for the SEA was taken to be the LSMA plus 15km of the LSMA and designated European designated sites identified on basis of a source pathway receptor approach.

Following a desktop review of environmental information, the following key points were identified:

- The population of the LSMA increased by 2.7% between 2011 and 2016 and is anticipated to increase significantly again over coming years. Residents within the LSMA are generally in good health, although areas of Limerick City have more people with worse health and higher deprivation than the rest of the LSMA;
- There are a numerous European and national designated sites within the LSMA, with a total of 25 SACS and five SPAs within the extended study area (LSMA plus 15km buffer);
- There are a large number of heritage records within the LSMA. Most are concentrated within the settlement boundary of Limerick, with a number of records also located in Bunratty, Newcastle, Dooradoyle, Annacotty and Cloonlara. Areas considered at higher risk for archaeology are also present within the study area;
- There are currently nine Areas of Further Assessment (AFAs) (areas where risks to flooding might be significant) identified within the study area associated with either coastal/tidal, fluvial sources or pluvial sources; and
- Transport is one of the main contributors to air pollution and carbon emissions within Ireland.

SEA Methodology

Elements included within the draft Strategy were assessed against the twelve Strategic Environmental Objectives (SEOs) developed at the scoping stage of the process (Stage 2) for potential significant positive or negative effects. These SEOs are listed in the table below within the 'Assessment of Preferred Strategy and Alternatives' section. Where there is currently insufficient information to make an assessment of the likely significant effects this was recorded, and also where both positive and negative effects were considered likely.

Based on this initial assessment, SEA mitigation recommendations have been identified, and the draft Strategy reassessed against the SEOs assuming that the mitigation identified is implemented to provide an assessment of residual effects.

Assessment of Preferred Strategy and Alternatives

Alternatives

'Within Strategy' alternatives considered during development of the LSMATS related to the nature of the public transport network required. Early modelling work indicated that public transport was the mode showing greatest potential to flexibly accommodate future changes in demand, and therefore several different alternative modes were considered in terms of their ability to provide the 'backbone' of a comprehensive public transport network for the LSMATS. These included:

- Bus network;
- Bus rapid transit;
- Light rail; and
- Suburban rail.

These 'Within Strategy' alternatives were assessed against the SEOs and it was concluded that the bus network option had fewest potential adverse environmental effects as well as the best economic and accessibility outcomes.

'Whole Strategy' alternatives considered included:

- Alternative A: 'Do Minimum' scenario (existing transport networks with additional of the Limerick Northern Distributor Road (LDNR) Phase 1);
- Alternative B: Comprehensive bus network, with bus priority measures within the city centre, improved cycling network, and also the full Limerick North Distributor Road (LNDR) (Phase 1 and 2) and the N1 Foynes to Limerick Road with Adare Bypass;
- Alternative C: Comprehensive bus network with *further* bus priority measures within the city centre *as* well as removal of parking spaces, improved cycling and walking network, full LNDR (Phase 1 and 2) with specific bus and cycling provision and at grade junctions, and further measures to increase the attractiveness of public transport (bus and rail), walking and cycling journeys;
- Alternative D: As per Alternative C, but with additional parking demand management measures; and
- Alternative E: As per Alternative D but without the LNDR including the Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Enhanced Rail, Refined Roads Strategy, plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures and Demand Management Measures.

Whilst Alternative A provides the least risk of adverse effects against SEOs 3,4, 5 and 9, Alternative E provides the most likely positive effects for public health, air quality, noise and climate associated with modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling as well as facilitating compact development in the future.

Preferred Strategy

The Preferred Strategy was developed from Alternative E following consultation on the draft LSMATS and includes additional objectives relating to suburban rail schemes, integration between land use planning and transport planning, public transport offer and walking and cycling infrastructure improvements in regeneration areas and other small scale measures such as support for micromobility modes. The table below provides a summary assessment of the Preferred Strategy both in the absence of mitigation, and with the inclusion of mitigation, and also lists key mitigation measures identified.

| SEO | Potential significant effects (without S | SEA mitigation) | Summary assessment | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary assessment |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | Positive | Negative | (without SEA mitigation) | | (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
| Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | Impact on public health associated with: Improvements to air and noise pollution associated with reductions HGV regulation in Limerick City centre and modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling. Improvements to availability, accessibility and amenity of active travel and recreational walking routes. Measures such as bicycle sharing schemes, bicycling parking and end of trip facilities would help encourage modal shift towards cycling. Positive impacts on access to community services and facilities and places of employment through support for consolidation of development and limitation of urban sprawl, and improved public transport, walking and cycling access to regeneration areas. | Potential disproportionate negative impact on certain vulnerable groups and/or on small businesses as a result of measures to reduce reliance on private vehicles and restrict or manage freight movement within Limerick City centre. Consideration of impact of converting areas currently designated for street parking to bus lanes on severance, with particular focus on routes in close proximity to locations of importance to vulnerable groups (e.g. schools, care homes, hospitals, other community facilities). | +/0 | Undertake Equalities Impact Assessment for measures that aim to reduce reliance on private vehicles for social and commuting purposes and restrict freight movements and implement mitigation measures as identified. (See EqIA and recommendations for implementation.) Consultation with local communities to identify and mitigate temporary construction impacts given that extensive works planned on multiple transport routes and modes concurrently. Targeted consultation and consideration of inclusion of measures to support increased patronage of bus services by social groups which may be driven by safety and security or accessibility concerns, particularly at night. | +/0 |

| | | | | Implementation of public transport and other strategy measures to support modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling with Limerick to be implemented or consider alternative methods of improving access for communities on the northern outskirts of Limerick. Ensure micromobility rental schemes are inclusive for people with disabilities. | |
|--|---|--|---|---|-----|
| 2 Avoid damage to recreation and amenity facilities through construction of new transport infrastructure and support and enhance access for tourism recreation. | Provision of a Tourist Walking Strategy, improvements to the public realm along the Old Bunratty Road, as well as general improvements within to the pedestrian realm within Limerick, would support and enhance access for tourists within the region. Improvements in access to tourist facilities as a result of greenways. A reduction in traffic volumes within the centre of Limerick City would help improve the amenity of the area for tourists and residents. | Impact on tourist industry arising from disruption to highway and public transport routes during construction of new infrastructure. | 0 | Consideration of impact of converting areas currently designated for street parking to bus lanes on severance, with particular focus on routes in close proximity to tourist locations; Consideration of whether beneficial impacts for the tourism industry (hop on hop off tours etc) can be realised through design and implementation of new bus lanes; Consider requirement for specific parking strategy for tourists, which may have a seasonal component; and | +/0 |

| | | | | Consider inclusion of specific policies to (i) manage the potential impacts of disruption to existing rail services during construction of new infrastructure and (ii) improve tourist passenger experience, for example simplified ticketing, luggage space on trains, luggage storage at stations, specific tourist signage, travel plans for key tourist destinations. | |
|---|--|---|---|--|-----|
| 3 Prevent damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | Reduction in transport related emissions of air pollutants including nitrogen oxides (NO_x), particulate matter (PM) and sulphur dioxide (SO₂). | Temporary or permanent habitat loss and/or mortality/disturbance to wildlife as a result of new infrastructure construction. This includes direct and indirect impacts on a number of designated sites (River Shannon SAC, River Shannon and Fergus Estuaries SPA, Askeaton Fen Complex SAC, Curraghchase Woods SAC, Tory Hill SAC, Ballyallia Lough SPA, Lough Derg SPA, Knockalisheen Park pNHA, Fergus Estuaries and Inner Shannon North Shore pNHA). | - | Consideration of opportunities for tree planting and green verges and improvements to habitat connectivity to be incorporated within new or amended walking routes. Application of mitigation hierarchy to first seek to avoid for example through route selection and design, then reduce effects with the aim to avoid habitat loss within ecologically sensitive areas (particularly European and national designated sites) as far as practicable, reduce and/or provide compensatory habitat as determined necessary as a result of the regulatory processes. Land take from | 0/- |

valuable habitats to support new infrastructure should be minimised as far as practicable. This approach will apply to European and national designated sites and high value habitats.

Design of new pedestrian and cycle crossings should be sensitive to riparian habitats.

- Potential impacts on habitats and wildlife to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) process down the line.
- Development of an LSMATS • 'Natural Heritage Strategy' which would pull together aims and objectives in terms of habitat replacement and design principles and can enhancement link to objectives for landscape and cultural heritage. This could looking include at management of verges and open space associated with infrastructure to optimise habitat provision including for example the timing of verge cuttings and species mixes used.

| 4 | Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | Positive contribution to visual amenity afforded by blue-green corridors within Limerick City Centre. Improvements to the public realm within urban areas in Limerick and metropolitan towns realised through improvements to existing walking, cycling and highway infrastructure and improvements to wayfinding would make a positive contribution to townscape and public views. | Temporary or permanent impacts on landscape and townscape as a result of construction of new infrastructure. | +/- | Sensitive design of new infrastructure, in collaboration with local councils, to ensure that existing landscape and townscape character is maintained and where practicable enhanced. Potential impacts on landscape and townscape as a result of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. Consideration of opportunities for tree planting and green verges to be incorporated within new or amended walking routes. | +/- |
|---|--|--|---|-----|---|-----|
| 5 | Avoid damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, cultural heritage resources and their setting. | Potential opportunity to enhance settings of built cultural heritage assets through public realm improvements identified and increase accessibility to heritage assets through reopening of Black Bridge, Limerick to pedestrians. | Potential for temporary and permanent impacts on built heritage assets and known and unknown archaeological remains as a result of new infrastructure. Potential adverse impacts on Black Bridge, Limerick if works needed to make safe for pedestrian access are not undertaken in sensitive manner. | 0/- | Design of new infrastructure, and routing of new Shannon Commuter Rail network to be sensitive to the presence of known heritage assets. Proposal to reopen Black Bridge, Limerick to be developed in conjunction with and agreed with Limerick CC Heritage Officer and The Heritage Council. Public realm improvements to seek to increase the | 0 |

| | | | | accessibility and improve the setting of build heritage assets. Potential impacts on known and unknown heritage as a result of construction of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated. through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. |
|---|--|---|-----|--|
| 6 Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of soil resources and contribute towards the appropriate management of soil resources and quality. | None identified. The Strategy however supports development consolidation and limits risk of urban sprawl and therefore can act to minimise additional soil loss from other development. | Loss and/or sterilisation of soil resources and may disturb areas of ground contamination as a result of new infrastructure construction. | 0/- | Ensure new infrastructure does not conflict with IGHS sites. Ensure appropriate site specific geotechnical and contaminated land risk assessments are undertaken and any remediation recommendations adhered to. Potential impacts on valuable soils and geology as a result of new infrastructure construction to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. |
| 7 Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential | Reduction in transport related air pollutant emissions associated with: HGV regulation in Limerick City centre and decarbonisation of the freight industry. | Measures such as Park and Rides and freight consolidation centres, as well as measures to discourage traffic flows through built up areas, may worsen air | +/- | Ensure that Freight Consolidation Centres do not have a significant adverse effect on receptors sensitive to air quality |

| | benefits from reduction in air pollution. | Measures to support modal shift towards public transport and active travel modes. Transfer public transport fleet towards low carbon and/or zero emission alternatives. Electrification/retrofitting of existing train fleet. | quality locally through changes in traffic patterns in proximity to the chosen locations. New road schemes, would likely have adverse effects on air pollution levels for local communities. | | outside of Limerick City by concentrating HGV movements within specific areas. This may be achieved through careful siting amongst other measures. Where significant changes in traffic patterns are anticipated as a result of measures to discourage traffic flows through built up areas, air quality modelling should be undertaken to ensure they do not result in unacceptable impacts on outlying communities. Potential impacts on air quality as a result of construction of new road and rail infrastructure to be considered and mitigated. through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. This may be achieved through careful routing to minimise direct impacts on sensitive receptors. | |
|---|---|---|---|-----|---|-----|
| 8 | Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from | Reduction in noise emissions associated with the transport sector in the LSMA: HGV regulation in Limerick City centre and decarbonisation of the freight industry. | Measures such as Park and Rides and freight consolidation centres and new railway lines may worsen noise pollution locally through changes in traffic patterns in proximity to the chosen locations. New road | 0/+ | Ensure that Freight Consolidation Centres do not have a significant adverse effect on receptors sensitive to air quality outside of Limerick City by concentrating HGV | +/0 |

| reduction in noise pollution. | Measures to support modal shift towards public transport and active travel modes. | schemes would likely have adverse effects on noise pollution levels for local communities. | | movements within specific areas. Where significant changes in traffic patterns are anticipated as a result of measures to discourage traffic flows through built up areas, noise modelling should be undertaken to ensure they do not result in unacceptable impacts on outlying communities. Ensure new railway lines and stations do not have a significant adverse effect on receptors sensitive to noise located in close proximity to these new infrastructure doublements | |
|--|---|---|-----|---|-----|
| | | | | Potential impacts on air quality as a result of construction of new road and rail infrastructure to be considered and mitigated. through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. This may be achieved through careful routing to minimise direct impacts on sensitive receptors, amongst other measures. | |
| 9 Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water | Reduction in water pollution associated with road run-off associated with: | Increased area of hardstanding required for | -/0 | Ensure that the identified solution for the existing flood issue on the Limerick- | +/0 |

| and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid increasing risks from floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk. | HGV regulation in Limerick City centre and decarbonisation of the freight industry. Measures to support modal shift towards public transport and active travel modes. | new infrastructure may have adverse impact on flood risk. The Ennis-Limerick line lies within areas at high risk of coastal and fluvial flooding Potential negative impacts on WFD objectives as a result of new pedestrian infrastructure spanning or adjacent to WFD watercourses including the Shannon, Blackwater, Abbey and Barnakyle. | | Ennis line at Ballycar is designed to accommodate future predicted changes in flood risk as a result of climate change; Where additional land take is required to facilitate upgrades to the Ennis-Limerick line, siting and design should seek to minimise impingement on the flood plain and take count of future climate change; New river crossings for the Cratloe-Shannon line should be WFD compliant; Use of Sustainable Urban Drainage (SuDs) principles in new infrastructure design; and Potential impacts water quality and flood risk be considered and mitigated through EIA, WFD and FRA processes down the line. | |
|--|---|---|-----|--|-----|
| 10 Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | Reduction in consumption of fossil fuels associated with: HGV regulation in Limerick City centre and decarbonisation of the freight industry. Measures to support modal shift towards public transport and active travel modes. | Construction of new infrastructure would require a degree of land take, although proposals seek to make use of existing highway infrastructure through repurposing of on street parking areas and road space as far as practicable. | +/0 | New infrastructure design to minimise requirement for additional land take outside the existing highway boundary or railway corridor as far as practicable. | +/0 |

| 11 | Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks. Contribute to the reduction in transport related GHG emissions through modal changes or new technologies. | Reduction in transport related carbon emissions within the LSMATS associated with: Decarbonisation of the freight industry. Measures to support modal shift towards public transport and active travel modes. | New infrastructure will result in carbon emissions during construction (both embodied and associated with construction plant and traffic) and operation (lighting, heating, electric gates etc) (predominantly new Park and Rides, Mobility Hubs, railway stations). | +/0 | Consideration of opportunities for tree planting and green verges to be incorporated within new or amended walking routes. Ensure that construction methodology for new built infrastructure is undertaken with consideration for the European Union (EU) waste hierarchy and in line with relevant Irish Green Building Council (IGBC) guidance where relevant. | +/0 |
|----|---|---|--|-----|---|-----|
| 12 | To ensure that the resilience to climate change is designed for existing transport network and new network and promote improved environmental resilience to climate change. | None identified. | Any new built infrastructure required is vulnerable to future climate change, including changes in air temperature, precipitation rates and wind speeds. | ? | Ensure that design of new infrastructure reflects likely worst case climate projections in terms of resilience to wind speeds, precipitation, flood risk and increases in air temperature over the full design life of the infrastructure. Consideration of how the changing climate with alter user's needs – for example increased seating and shaded areas on active travel routes and public transport interchanges. | +/0 |

Cumulative Effects

The nature of potential positive and negative cumulative effects with other plans and programmes, primarily those relating to land use and transportation but also other industries, was aligned with those identified for the draft Strategy itself as described above. Therefore, the mitigation identified against the revised Strategy applies also to cumulative effects.

Appropriate Assessment

A Natura Impact Statement (NIS) was prepared in support of the Appropriate Assessment (AA) which identifies the potential for likely significant effects (LSE) on European Sites due to the implementation of the LSMATS. Where LSE have been identified for a European Site the NIS provides an assessment of adverse effects on site integrity (AESI) and outlines proposed avoidance and mitigation measures as appropriate to avoid negative effects on the integrity of European sites.

Equality Impact Assessment

An Equality Impact Assessment has been undertaken on LSMATS and recommendations for mitigation and monitoring have been incorporated into the SEA Mitigation and

Mitigation and Implementation Plan

The approach proposed is focused first on the avoidance of significant effects applying the mitigation hierarchy at the plan level which would in turn inform the design/progression of projects and plans contained within the LSMATS We have recommended monitoring measures to check the progress of the LSMATS against the SEOs throughout the lifetime of the Strategy. This includes application of the mitigation hierarchy to address potential impacts so covers mitigation identified for individual measures included within the revised Strategy as a whole including cumulative impacts with other plans and programmes.

List of Abbreviations

| Term | Definition | Term | Definition |
|--------|---|---------|--|
| AA | Appropriate Assessment | NPF | National Planning Framework |
| CFRAM | Catchment Flood Risk Assessment and Management | NPWS | National Parks and Wildlife Service |
| CSO | Central Statistics Office | NSO(s) | National Strategic Objective(s) |
| DAFM | Department for Agriculture, Food and the Marine | NSS | National Spatial Strategy |
| DHPLG | Department for Housing, Local Government and Planning | NTA | National Transport Authority |
| DCAGSM | Department of Tourism, Culture, Arts, Gaeltacht, Sport and Media | OPW | Office of Public Works |
| DoT | Department of Transport | pNHA(s) | Proposed Natural Heritage Area(s) |
| EPA | Environmental Protection Agency | RBD | River Basin District |
| EC | European Commission | RBMP | River Basin Management Plan |
| EIA | Environmental Impact Assessment | RMP | Record of Monuments and Places |
| ELC | European Landscape Convention | RPS | Record of Protected Structures |
| EU | European Union | SAC | Special Area of Conservation |
| FRMP | Flood Risk Management Plans | SEA | Strategic Environmental Assessment |
| GDP | Gross Domestic Product | SFILT | Strategic Framework for Investment in Land Transport |
| GSI | Geological Survey Ireland | SFRA | Strategic Flood Risk Assessment |
| GSNI | Geological Survey of Northern Ireland | SPA | Special Protection Area |
| IGH | Irish Geological Heritage | WFD | Water Framework Directive |
| LCA | Landscape Character Area | UN | United Nations |
| LSMA | Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area | UNESCO | United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation |
| LSMATS | Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Transport Strategy | WEC | Western European Countries |
| NHA | Natural Heritage Area | WFD | Water Framework Directive |
| NIAH | National Inventory of Architectural Heritage | WHO | World Health Organisation |
| NIS | Natura Impact Statement | | |



Glossary

| Glossary Term | Definition |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| AA Screening Report | The report which provides information on and assesses the potential for the proposed Strategy to impact on European sites within the Natura 2000 network. |
| Natura Impact Statement | A document which summarises the findings of the AA and how they were factored into the plan, the reason for choosing the preferred Strategy in light of alternatives considered and to state the likely significant effects. |
| Appropriate Assessment | An assessment required under the Habitats Directive when a Strategy or project has the potential to affect a European site. |
| Baseline Environment | The state of the environment in the absence of the Strategy. |
| Catchment | The total area of land that drains into a watercourse. |
| Cumulative effect | The combined effects from several plans, programmes or policies. |
| SEA Environmental Report | The SEA report that documents the effects of investment priorities outlined in a plan. |
| Invasive species | Non-native species that out-compete native species to the detriment of an ecosystem. |
| Mitigation | The implementation of measures designed to reduce the predicted effects of a Strategy or project on the environment. |
| RAMSAR site | An international designation for an important wetland site under the Ramsar Convention. |
| SEA Screening Report | The report which determines whether the proposed Strategy requires SEA. |
| SEA Scoping Report | The SEA report that sets the scope and objectives of the SEA. |
| SEA Post Adoption Statement | The document which details how environmental considerations have been integrated into the plan, how the environmental report and consultation responses were taken into account, the reasons for choosing the Strategy as adopted in light of reasonable alternatives considered and the measures to be taken into account to monitor or mitigate the likely significant effects. |
| Special Area of Conservation | An international designation for habitats and/or species under the EC Habitats Directive. |
| Special Protection Area | A site of international importance for birds, designated as required by the EC Birds Directive. |
| Strategic Environmental Objectives | Methodological measures against which the effects of the Strategy can be tested. |

1. Introduction and Background

1.1 Background to the Limerick Metropolitan Area Transport Strategy (LSMATS)

The National Transport Authority (NTA) is a public body set up under statute and established in December 2009. The role and functions of the NTA are set out in three Acts of the Oireachtas; the Dublin Transport Authority Act 2008, the Public Transport Regulation Act 2009 and the Taxi Regulation Act 2013. In August 2015, the Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport (DTTaS)¹ published its policy document "Investing in our Transport Future - Strategic Investment Framework for Land Transport" (SIFLT). Action 4 of that framework states that: *"Regional transport strategies will be prepared by the NTA and provide an input to regional spatial and economic strategies"*.

Having regard to its role in relation to transport, and the action placed upon it in the DTTaS¹ policy document, the NTA, in collaboration with Limerick City and County Council (LCCC) and Clare County Council (CCC), is developing a Transport Strategy for the Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area (LSMA) covering the period 2020 to 2040. The Strategy will provide a framework for the planning and delivery of transport infrastructure and services in the LSMA over the next two decades. It will also provide a planning policy for which other agencies can align their future policies and investment priorities.

1.1.1 Relationship between LSMATS and other plans and programmes

Figure 1.1. illustrates the relationship between the Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Transport Strategy (the LSMATS or the Strategy) and other key national, regional, county and local level land use, economic and transport plans and programmes. These existing plans and programmes have been subject to their own environmental assessment processes as relevant and provide for many of the measures incorporated within the draft Strategy. The draft Strategy aligns with these documents.

In addition to compliance with higher level (e.g. national and regional level) plans and programmes, individual transport projects undertaken under the LSMATS and/or lower level strategies are subject to their own project level environmental assessment processes which may include Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), Appropriate Assessment (AA), Water Framework Directive (WFD) compliance assessments and Flood Risk Assessments (FRAs).

¹ Now known as the Department of Transport (DoT)

| | Land Use/Economic | Transport | Climate change | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Combined land use/economics and transport | | | | | | |
| National (Tier 1) | National Planning Framework 2040 <i>(SEA complete)</i> | Strategic Framework for Investment in Land Transport (SFILT) (SEA complete) | Climate A Climate Action and Low Carbon Development Act 2015 and Amendment 2021 | | | | |
| | National Development Plan | | Climate Action Plan 2021 | | | | |
| ÷ | 2018 - 2027 | | National Energy and Climate Plan 2021-2030 | | | | |
| | | Climate Change Adaptation Plan | for the Transport Sector | | | | |
| | National Investment Framewor (SEA complete) | rk for Transport in Ireland (NIFTI) | | | | | |
| Regional (Tier 2) | Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy (RSES) for the Mid-West (SEA complete) | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Mid-West Area Strategic Plan (2012-2030) (MWASP) (SEA complete) | | | | | | |
| | Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Strategic Plan (LSMASP) (<i>SEA</i> <i>complete)</i> | Draft LSMATS – (SEA assessment provided in this report) | | | | | |
| County | Limerick County Development Plan (LCDP) 2010-2016 (<i>SEA complete</i>) Emerging Limerick Development Plan (LDP) 2022-2028 (<i>SEA not yet</i> <i>complete</i>) | Limerick Metropolitan Cycle Network Study (<i>SEA complete</i>) Emerging Clare CCDP 2022- 2028 (<i>SEA not yet complete</i>) | Draft Climate Change Adaptation Strategy 2019-2024 (Limerick City and County Council (LCCC), 2019b); Climate Change Adaptation Strategy 2019-2024 (Clare County Council, 2019); | | | | |
| | Limerick City Development Plan (LCDP) 2010-2016 (<i>SEA</i> <i>complete)</i> | Limerick Metropolitan District Movement Framework Study (LCCC, 2015). | | | | | |
| Ļ | Clare County Development Plan (CCDP) 2017-2023 (<i>SEA complete</i>) | | | | | | |

Final Limerick-Shannon Metropolitan Transport Strategy: Environmental Report



| | Shannon Municipal District – Volume 3 (b)] (Clare CCDP) |
|-------|---|
| | Southern Environs Local Area Strategy 2011-2017 (extended to 2021) (<i>SEA</i> <i>complete</i>) |
| | Shannon Town and Environs Local Area Plan 2012-2018 (<i>SEA complete</i>) |
| Local | Strategic Integrated Framework Plan (SIFP) for the Shannon Estuary (2013- 2020) (SIFP Steering Group, 2013) (<i>SEA complete</i>) |
| | Castletroy Local Area Plan 2019-2025 (LCCC, 2019b) (<i>SEA complete</i>) |

Figure 1.1 Relationship between the LSMATS and other plans and programmes

1.2 Purpose of this Environmental Report

1.2.1 Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)

The LSMATS will influence future projects to be identified down the line but which are likely to have a significant effect on the environment, and therefore falls under the scope of the European Directive 2001/42/EC "on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment" (known as the 'SEA Directive"). The SEA Directive is implemented in Ireland via the European Communities (EC) (Environmental Assessment of Certain Plans and Programmes) Regulations 2003, as amended by the EC (Environmental Assessment of Certain Plans and Programmes) (Amendments) Regulations 2011 (known as the 'SEA Regulations').

The SEA process involves the following:

- Collecting and presenting information on the environmental baseline and problems, and their likely future evolution;
- Predicting significant environmental effects of the Strategy or programme, including those of strategic alternatives and including cumulative effects within the Strategy and with other plans and strategies or proposed developments;
- Addressing adverse environmental effects through mitigation measures;
- Consulting the public and authorities with environmental responsibilities as part of the assessment process; and
- Monitoring the environmental effects of the Strategy during its implementation.

1.2.2 Purpose and structure of the Environmental Report

This Environmental Report is the output of stage four of the four-stage SEA process (detailed Table 1.1below). The report takes account of comments received during the public consultation process and final LSMATS and is an update to the Environmental report submitted with the draft LSMATS.

| Stage | Purpose and Requirements | Output |
|--|--|---|
| Stage 1: Screening | Prior to starting the SEA process, a Strategy or programme undergoes 'screening" to determine whether it requires SEA (also if SEA is to be undertaken on a voluntary basis). | SEA Screening Statement – NTA (as the responsible authority) determined that SEA would be undertaken for the LSMATS. |
| Stage 2: Scoping | Consideration of the context and objectives of the SEA, provides information on baseline data, identifies relevant environmental issues and trends, and defines the parameters of the scope of the SEA for the purpose of consultation. | SEA Scoping Report. |
| Stage 3: Identification, Prediction, Evaluation and Mitigation of Potential Effects | Within the context and parameters identified at the Scoping Stage, identification and evaluation of likely significant effects of the LSMATS is carried out, including consideration of alternatives and determination of measures to mitigate and monitor residual effects. | SEA Environmental Report – this report. |
| Stage 4: Consultation, Revision and Post- Adoption Current Stage in the SEA | Consultation with statutory consultees and the public. This may require changes to the revised Strategy in light of responses. Statement on how the SEA and consultation process has influenced the final Strategy. The statement is required to include an environmental monitoring Strategy - this is intended to provide feedback on significant environmental effects. This will also aid any future review / revision of the LSMATS and the SEA. | SEA Statement. Implementation of the monitoring programme. |

Table 1.1 Structure of this Environmental Report

The content of this Environmental Report is summarised in Table 1.2, demonstrating how each main section relates to the requirement of the SEA Regulations. This report also incorporates responses to stakeholder comments made during the scoping consultation process.

Table 1.2 Content of Environmental Report

| Section | Description | Relevant SEA Regulation Requirement(s) |
|---|--|--|
| Non-Technical Summary | A summary in non-technical language of the content of the SEA Environmental Report. | Regulation 12-(1), Schedule 2 (f). |
| 1 Background | Introduction to the LSMATS, background to the SEA process, other relevant legislative processes and the purpose of the environmental report. It also outlines the structure of the Environmental Report. | Regulation 12-(1), Schedule 2 (a). |
| 2 Development of the LSMATS and Integration of the SEA and AA | Description of the SEA process and how it has been integrated with the LSMATS development. | Regulation 12-(1) Schedule 2 (e). Regulation 12-(1) Schedule 2 (h). |
| 3 Relevant plans and strategies | Describes the legal and policy context of the LSMATS, including international, national and local strategies, objectives and environmental standards which may influence the LSMATS. | Regulation 12-(1), Schedule 2 (a). |
| 4 Consultation | Describes consultation already undertaken at SEA scoping stage, as well as the approach to future consultation. | Regulation 12-(1), Schedule 2 (b). Regulation 12-(1), Schedule 2 (d). Regulation 12-(1), Schedule 2 (e). |
| 5 Baseline and Key Environmental Issues | Describes the characterisation of the existing and predicted future environment in the study area, focusing on the key environmental constraints, issues / problems, and potential opportunities for environmental improvement. | Regulation 12-(1), Schedule 2 (b). Regulation 12-(1), Schedule 2 (d). Regulation 12-(1), Schedule 2 (e). |
| 6 SEA Scope and Methodology | Details how the SEA process was undertaken, providing an outline of the techniques followed and legal requirements at the scoping and environmental assessment stages. | Regulation 12-(1), Schedule 2 (e). Regulation 12-(1) Schedule 2 (h). |
| 7 Environmental Assessment of Preferred Plan and Alternatives | Assessment of the potential, reasonable alternatives to the Plan considered under each SEA topic and objective, considering the key issues scoped into the SEA. Description of how the preferred alternative was selected in light of environmental effects. Description of any SEA mitigation recommended in light of the assessment undertaken on the preferred alternative | Regulation 12-(1), Schedule 2 (a). Regulation 12-(1), Schedule 2 (b). Regulation 12-(1), Schedule 2 (h). Regulation 12-(1) (g). |
| 8 Monitoring Programme | Provides a synopsis of the statutory SEA monitoring proposals. | Regulation 12-(1) (i). |

1.2.3 Appropriate Assessment (AA)

In addition to compliance with the SEA Directive, the preparation and implementation of the LSMATS must meet the provisions of the EU Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) and transposing regulations (European Communities (EC)

(Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (S.I. No. 477 of 2011)) (Birds and Natural Habitats Regulations 2011).

The Habitats Directive requires that:

"Any Strategy or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subject to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives. In the light of the conclusions of the assessment of the implications for the site and subject to the provisions of paragraph 4, the competent national authorities shall agree to the Strategy or project only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned and, if appropriate, after having obtained the opinion of the general public. (EU Habitats Directive, Article 6-(3))".

This process has four stages:

- Stage 1: Screening for AA/Test of Significance the process which identifies the likely impacts upon a European site from a project or plan, either alone or in-combination with other projects or plans and considers whether these impacts are likely to be significant.
- Stage 2: Appropriate Assessment the consideration of the impact on the integrity of the European site from the project or plan, either alone or in-combination with other projects or plans, with respect to the site's structure and function and its Conservation objectives. Additionally, where there are adverse impacts, an assessment of the potential mitigation of those impacts.
- Stage 3: Assessment of Alternative Solutions the process which examines alternative ways of achieving the objectives of the project or plan that avoid adverse impacts on the integrity of the European site. All reasonable alternatives must have been considered and assessed, and the least damaging option selected, to progress to Stage 4.
- Stage 4: Imperative Reasons of Overriding Public Interest (IROPI)/Derogation Assessment where no alternative solutions exist, and adverse impacts remain. Assessment of compensatory measures where, in the light of an assessment of imperative reasons of overriding public interest (IROPI), it is deemed that the project or plan should proceed.

The requirements as stipulated in the Birds and Natural Habitats Regulations are considered in the Natura Impact Statement (NIS) which has been published alongside this document.

2. SEA process

2.1 LSMATS scope and content

2.1.1 Scope of the LSMATS

The LSMATS considers all land transport modes, with the objective of providing a long-term strategic planning framework for the integrated development of transport infrastructure and services in the LSMA. It will be used to inform transport investment priorities over the short and long term and will inform sustainable integrated land use and transport policy formulation at the metropolitan and local level.

The draft LSMATS has been developed by the NTA in collaboration with LCCC, CCC and Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII). It has also been informed by pre-consultation submissions from a number of stakeholders.

The LSMATS represents:

- The transport sector's response to the LSMA's requirements in relation to climate change;
- An integrated transport strategy for walking, cycling, bus, rail and road to support planned growth up to 2040;
- A strategy to facilitate compact growth;
- A framework for the planning, investment and delivery of transport infrastructure and services to guide the LSMA's development up to 2040 in line with the National Planning Framework 2040, National Development Plan 2018-2027 and the Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy for the Southern Region;
- A flexible strategy with the ability to scale up public transport capacity and frequencies as necessary in response to changing circumstances; and
- A framework plan with which other agencies involved in land-use planning, environmental protection and the delivery of other infrastructure and services such as water, housing and community facilities can align their plans and investment priorities.

Each of the considerations below are included within the draft LSMATS:

- Public transport infrastructure and service proposals (rail and bus);
- Measures to facilitate and promote walking;
- Measures to facilitate and promote cycling, including cycling infrastructure;
- Traffic management policies including potential changes to traffic circulation in Limerick City centre;
- Demand management measures including policies related to parking and tolling;
- Behavioural change measures;
- Measures to promote integration between all modes;
- Policies related to the management of freight; and
- Road infrastructure.

2.1.2 Geographical scale of the LSMATS

The geographical area of the LSMATS has been defined by the Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage (DHLGH) to include the continuous built-up area of Limerick City and Suburbs (as defined by the CSO) and Shannon in Co. Clare (see Figure 2.1). It also includes the following settlements;

- Annacotty;
- Castleconnell;

- Patrickswell;
- Clarina;
- Mungret in County Limerick;
- Sixmilebridge;
- Ardnacrusha;
- Clonlara;
- Cratloe;
- Ballycannan; and
- Bunratty in County Clare.

The population of the LSMA is over 132,420 (CSO, 2016) and covers 387km².

Limerick City is the largest urban centre in Ireland's Mid-West region and the country's third largest city. Shannon is a significant employment centre with assets such as Shannon International Airport and Shannon Free-Zone international business park. Limerick City and Shannon are interdependent upon each other, with their complementary functions contributing to a combined strength which is a key economic driver for the Mid-West Region.



Figure 2.1 Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Boundary

Limerick City is home to two major third-level education institutions, University College Limerick (including Mary Immaculate College) which is located to the north-east of the City Centre and Limerick Institute of Technology (including Limerick School of Art and Design) which is located to the west of the City Centre. The City and its Metropolitan Area is served by commuter and intercity rail services; city, regional and expressway bus / coach services; and Shannon International Airport is located approximately 20km to the north-west of the City Centre.

Existing public transport services are discussed in more detail in Section 5.3.3 of this report.

2.1.3 Temporal scope of the LSMATS

The LSMATS provides a strategic vision for transport within the LSMA between 2020 and 2040. Taking this into account and in line with the SEA Directive, short, medium and long-term impacts (including reference to secondary, cumulative, synergistic, permanent and temporary, positive and negative effects) will be considered during the assessment.

2.1.4 Development of the LSMATS and integration of the SEA and AA

Figure 2.2 below outlines the key stages of the development process for the LSMATS and illustrates how the SEA and AA processes have been integrated throughout. The development and assessment of transport options utilised the Mid-West Regional Model (MWRM) appraisal toolkit, which aligns with the DTTaS¹ Common Appraisal Framework (CAF) (DTTaS, 2016).

Final Limerick-Shannon Metropolitan Transport Strategy: Environmental Report

Jacobs



Figure 2.2 Development of the LSMATS and Integration of the SEA and AA

3. Review of Plans, Programmes and Policies

SEA requires a review of other plans, policies and programmes to ensure that the relationship with these other documents and requirements is explored and evaluated. A review of legislation, policies, plans and programmes (PPP review) was undertaken at the scoping stage and documented in the Scoping Report. Key policies plans and programmes of relevance to the Strategy are listed in Table 3.1 below, with additional detail regarding the most relevant national, regional and local strategies and plans provided in Appendix A.

| Table 3.1 Summa | arv of the Plan | Policy and | Programme Review |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Tuble 5.1 Summe | ing of the full | , i oncy and | r i ograffinne næview |

| SEA Theme | Plans, Policy and Programmes |
|---|--|
| All Aspects | EU Sustainability Policy; |
| | UN Sustainable Development Goals |
| | National Implementation Plan for Sustainable Development Goals; |
| | Strategic Environmental Directive (2001/42/EC) and associated Irish legislation; |
| | Environmental Impact Assessment Directive (2014/52/EU) and associated Irish legislation; |
| | EC Environmental Liability Directive (2004/35/EC); |
| | Ireland 2040: Our Strategy - National Planning Framework; |
| | National Investment Framework for Transport in Ireland (NIFTI); |
| | Regional Spatial and Economic Strategies (RSES) (see Appendix A for further detail); |
| | County and City Development Plans (see Appendix A for further detail); |
| | National Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended); |
| | Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended); |
| | Smarter Travel – A Sustainable Transport Future; and |
| | National Policy Framework on Alternative Fuels Infrastructure for Transport in Ireland. |
| Population, Economy and Human Health | Aarhus Convention; |
| | Healthy Ireland Framework; |
| | Strategic Framework for Investment in Land Transport; |
| | Capital Investment Strategy 2016 – 2021; |
| | National Development Strategy 2018 – 2027; and |
| | Development Plans and Local Area Plans. |
| Tourism and Recreation | EU Tourism Policy; |
| | National Countryside Recreation Strategy; |
| | Tourism Policy Statement; |
| | Tourism Action Strategy 2016-2018; and |
| | County-based recreation strategies. |
| Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna | International and EU Conventions; |
| | EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030; |
| | EU Green Deal; |
| | The Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC); |
| | The Birds Directive (2009/147/EC); |
| | Wildlife Act 1976 – 2010; |
| | European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 as amended; |



| SEA Theme | Plans, Policy and Programmes |
|--|---|
| | Other National Biodiversity related regulations; |
| | National Biodiversity Action Plan 2017-2021 (due to be updated in 2021); |
| | All Island Pollinator Plan; and |
| | County and City Heritage Plans. |
| Landscape and Visual Amenity | European Landscape Convention (ELC); |
| | A National Landscape Strategy (NLS) for Ireland; |
| | County Landscape Character Assessments; and |
| | Draft Seascape Character Assessment for Ireland. |
| Cultural Heritage (Archaeological and Architectural) | EU Conventions on Archaeological, Architectural and cultural heritage; |
| | Planning and Development Acts; |
| | Heritage Act; |
| | National Monuments Act; |
| | Architectural Heritage and Historic Monuments Act; and |
| | County Heritage Plans. |
| Geology and Soils | Planning and Development Act; and |
| | Action Strategy for Rural Development. |
| Air Quality | Ambient Air Quality and Cleaner Air for Europe (CAFÉ) Directive (2008/50/EC); |
| | Environmental Protection Agency Act 1992 to 2007; |
| | Air Quality Standards Regulations 2011 (S.I. No. 180 of 2011); |
| | National Clean Air Strategy; and |
| | National Air Pollution Control Programme. |
| Noise and Vibration | Noise Directive (2002/49/EC); |
| | Environmental Noise Regulations (S:I. No. 140/2006); |
| | Environmental Protection Agency Act 1992 to 2007; and |
| | Local area Noise Action Plans (see Section 5.10 and Appendix A for further detail)/ |
| Mater Environment | Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC); |
| | River Basin Management Plan for Ireland 2018-2021; |
| | Floods Directive (2007/60/EC); |
| | Marine Strategy Framework Directive (2008/56/EC); |
| | Groundwater Directive (2006/118/EC); |
| | Transposing Regulation for the above Directives; |
| | Flood Risk Management Plans; and |
| | The Planning System and Flood Risk Management – Guidelines for Planning Authorities (the 'FRM Guidelines'). |
| Land Use and Material Assets | Ireland 2040: Our Strategy - National Planning Framework; |
| | Southern RSES; |
| | County and City Development Plans (see Appendix A for further detail); |
| | Local Area Plans (see Appendix A for further detail); |
| | Waste Framework Directive (2008/98/EC); |
| | Capital Investment Strategy 2016-2021; |
| | Waste Management Acts 1996 – 2005; |
| | Regional/County-based waste management strategies and mineral plans; |
| | Smarter Travel "A New Transport Policy for Ireland" 2009-2020: |


| SEA Theme | Plans, Policy and Programmes |
|----------------|--|
| | 2030 Rail Network Strategy; |
| | NRA National Secondary Road Needs Study (2011); and |
| | Sectoral Strategy for Accessible Transport; Transport Access for All 2006. |
| | The Kyoto Protocol; |
| Climate Change | Paris Agreement 2015; |
| | EU Energy and Climate (2020) Package 2009; |
| | The Climate Action and Low Carbon Development Act 2015; |
| | Climate Change Adaptation Framework |
| | Ireland's National Policy Position on Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (2014); |
| | Energy White Paper: Delivering a Sustainable Energy Future for Ireland – The Energy Policy Framework 2007-2020; |
| | National Renewable Energy Action Plan; |
| | National Energy Efficiency Action Strategy (2009-2020) (DCENR, 2009); |
| | Ireland's Second National Energy Efficiency Action Strategy to 2020 (DCENR, 2012); |
| | Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (Amendment) Act 2021 |
| | The Climate Action Plan 2021; |
| | National Energy and Climate Plan 2021-2030; |
| | Climate Change Adaptation Plan for the Transport Sector; and |
| | Local area climate adaptation strategies. |

4. Consultation

4.1 Scoping

The Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Transport Strategy 2040 (LSMATS or the 'Strategy') has been developed by the National Transport Authority in collaboration with Limerick City and County Council, Clare County Council and Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII). It has also been informed by pre-consultation submissions from a number of stakeholders.

In line with Article 9 (5) of the SEA Regulations (S.I. No. 435 of 2004), this SEA Scoping Report was made available to the following statutory Environmental Authorities for consultation for a four week period between 10 September and 11th October 2019.

- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA);
- Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage (formerly the Department of Housing, Planning and Local Government);
- Department of Tourism, Culture, Arts, Gaeltacht, Sport and Media (DTCAGSM) (formerly the Department of Culture, Heritage and Gaeltacht);
- Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine (DAFM); and
- Department of Environment, Climate and Communications (DECC) (formerly the Department of Communications, Climate Action and Environment).
- The following interested parties were also consulted:
- Geological Society for Ireland (GSI).

Responses received specific to the SEA Scoping Report are provided in the table below.

| Table 4 1 | SEA scoping | consultation | responses and | d actions taken |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | JEA SCOPING | consultation | responses and | |

| Consultee | Response | Actions taken |
|-----------|--|---|
| GSI | Data for mineral occurrences, | Datasets made available by GSI have been |
| | bedrock/mineral exploration | reviewed and included within the baseline |
| | groundwater/site investigation boreholes, | and assessments where appropriate. |
| | karst features, wells and springs is available | |
| | on our website and map viewer and should be | |
| | considered within the SEA baseline. | |
| EPA | Suggested amendments to SEOs relating to | SEOs amended in accordance with EPA |
| | biodiversity and cultural heritage to include | comments. |
| | objective to "maintain" current status, and to | |
| | climate mitigation SEO to "contribute to the | |
| | reduction in GHG emission associated with | |
| | transport". | |
| | Climate mitigation / emissions reduction | Climate mitigation and energy use is |
| | needs further consideration as part of the SEA | identified as a key issue in the Scoping |
| | and in preparing the Strategy. | Report and section 5.13.4 of this report. One |
| | | of the Strategic Environmental Objectives |
| | | (SEOs) against which the Strategy has been |
| | | assessed in "Minimise contributions to |
| | | climate change (including greenhouse gas |
| | | emissions) from construction of |

| Consultee | Response | Actions taken |
|-----------|---|---|
| | | new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks, modal changes or new technologies." |
| | Where it is envisaged that measures proposed in the Strategy will be implemented via other plans this should be reported in the SEA. Where specific measures will be implemented directly, further detail should be provided in the Environmental Report and Strategy on the relevant environmental assessments to be carried out at the project stage and relevant mitigation measures to be applied, as appropriate. | Where mitigation for measures supported under the LSMATS is required to be identified through lower tier environmental assessment work and project approvals, this is indicated within the monitoring plan provided in Chapter 8. |
| | The scope and remit of the Strategy, where it sits in the transport planning hierarchy and how it will be implemented, should be clearly set out. | A diagram showing relationship between LSMATS and related plans and programmes is provided in Section 1.1.1. |
| | A figure should be provided showing the study area for the SEA. | Figure 5.1 (section 5) shows the study area for the SEA. |
| | Socioeconomics should not be considered within the scope of the SEA. | Direct reference to socioeconomics has been removed from the SEA criteria. However, there are very well established links between social and economic inequalities and health inequalities, and therefore the socioeconomic context of the study area and impact of the LSMATS on the local and regional economy are considered important to the SEA. |
| | The Article 7 report (NPWS, 2019) and third National Biodiversity Plan (2017-2021) (NPWS, 2017) should be referenced within the biodiversity baseline. | The baseline now considers information provided within these reports. |
| | National and regional level commitments with respect to landscape and visual amenity within the National Planning Framework and the Southern Regional Assembly Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy should be considered within the landscape baseline. | These commitments are now referenced within the landscape baseline. |
| | National Policy Objective 65 to "Promote the pro-active management of noise where it is likely to have significant adverse impacts on health and quality of life and support the aims of the Environmental Noise Regulations through national planning guidance and | NPF guidance is now referenced within the baseline. |

| Consultee | Response | Actions taken |
|--|--|---|
| | <i>Noise Action Plans</i> " should be referenced in the noise baseline. | |
| | A number of additional plans and policies were identified as requiring inclusion within the baseline, such as the Shannon Foynes Integrated Framework Plan, Shannon Foynes Port Company Masterplan, county and regional Greenway strategies, Local Economic and Community Plans for local authorities and recent tourism plans/programmes under preparation by Fáilte Ireland. | The SEA Scoping Report baseline was updated with relevant plans and policies identified through initial feedback from the EPA. Plans and policies published since late 2019 have now been included within the plans, programmes and policies review and baseline as appropriate. |
| Department of Culture, Heritage and Gaeltacht | The SEA should consider potential impacts on underwater archaeology. | Given the nature of the LSMATS, very limited potential for impacts are considered likely. However, SEA mitigation recommendations include the requirement for lower tier assessment of potential impacts on archaeology where development outside the existing highway boundary is proposed and this assessment would include impacts on underwater archaeology |

4.2 Environmental Report

The draft LSMATS and SEA Environmental Report were made available online for a period of 8 weeks between 2nd September and 16th October 2020. In addition, the statutory environmental authorities listed in section 4.1 were sent a copy of the draft LSMATS and SEA Environmental Report by email. The environmental authorities, stakeholders and members of the public were invited to submit comments and observations by email to the NTA. A summary of the comments and observations received relevant to the SEA Environmental Report, along with actions taken to address them, is provide in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2 Summary of SEA Environmental Report consultation comments

| Consultee | Key Comments | Actions Taken |
|-----------|---|---|
| EPA | Additional plans for consideration should include | The newly developed 2020 State of the |
| | UN Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development, | Environment Report, EU Biodiversity |
| | Ireland's National Sustainable Development Goals | Strategy for 2030, NIFTI, EU Green Deal |
| | Implementation Plan 2018-2020, Climate Action | and draft Seascape Character |
| | Plan 2019, draft National Investment Framework for | Assessment have now been included |
| | Transport Investment (NIFTI), draft National Energy | within the SEA Environmental Report |
| | and Climate Plan and Developing Resilience to | and Strategy where appropriate. All |
| | Climate Change in the Irish Transport Sector, | other plans identified were included |
| | Southern Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy, | within the SEA Environmental Report |
| | EU Biodiversity Strategy for 2030, EU Green Deal, | which accompanied the draft LSMATS. |
| | updated National Biodiversity Plan, finalised RBMP | |
| | and CFRAMS flood risk management plans, draft | |
| | Seascape Character Assessment. | |

| Consultee | Key Comments | Actions Taken |
|-----------|--|---|
| | Suggestion to include a graphic showing strategy in hierarchy of related plans and programmes and ensure that strategy is aligns with the National Planning Framework and Southern Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy. | This was provided in section 1.1 of the SEA Environmental Report for the draft LSMATS. |
| | Commitments for delivery of sustainable modes of transport should include measurable targets and time frames for delivery. | Mode share targets for walking and cycling have been included in the revised LSMATS, with targets relating to car and public transport use to be added following completion of further analysis being undertaken with the Department for Transport. Detailed delivery details will be more appropriately be included within the implementation plans and programmes included within the LMSATS. |
| | The plan should recognise the need to develop infrastructure to support uptake of electric cars in line with the National Planning Framework on Alternative Fuels. | The draft and revised LSMATS include measures to support the uptake of electric cars. |
| | The Strategy should consider the exposure and vulnerability to weather and climate events, and the range of projected scenarios and changes to these scenarios due to climate change. Consideration should also be given to other impacts in addition to flooding. | The SEA for the draft and revised LSMATS recommends that the design or new and improved infrastructure reflects likely worst case climate projections in terms of resilience to wind speeds, precipitation, flood risk and increases in air temperature over the full design life of the infrastructure. |
| | Impacts on air quality should be monitored and evaluated as part of the SEA. | Impacts on air quality are assessed in section 7.3 and 7.4, and monitoring proposals relating to air quality are provided in chapter 8. |
| | The Strategy should consider measures to protect designated quiet areas in open country and creating a preventative management strategy for noise. | There are currently no designated quiet areas within the LCCC and CCC jurisdictions. The SEA for the revised LSMATS includes an additional mitigation requirement for consideration of any designated areas identified in future planning cycles. |
| | The Strategy should include a separate chapter on 'Environmental Considerations'. | The final LSMATS includes an Environmental Considerations chapter. |

| Consultee | Key Comments | Actions Taken |
|---------------|--|--|
| | Commitment to develop an LSMATS Natural | Wording amended. Detail regarding |
| | Heritage Strategy should be reworded to 'develop | timeframe and duration provided in |
| | and implement a LSMATS Natural Heritage Strategy' | sections 7.3 and 7.4. |
| | and should include timeframe and duration of | |
| | proposal. | |
| | Cumulative impacts associated with the emerging | Section 7.4 Inter Plan Cumulative |
| | LDP 2022-2028, emerging CCDP 2022-2028 and | Impacts has been updated to take |
| | the Climate Action Plan 2019 should be considered. | account of these documents. |
| | SEA related monitoring measures should be | The SEA monitoring plan provided in |
| | included in any strategy | Chapter 8 will provide basis for |
| | implementation/monitoring reviews undertaken. | quantifying and recording the |
| | | environmental impacts of the current |
| | | LSMATS and inform the development of |
| | | future revisions. |
| Geological | Inclusion of County Geological Sites (CGSs) within | Noted. Provision of site investigation |
| Survey | the baseline is welcomed. Information provided | data (where available) and exposure of |
| Ireland (GSI) | regarding various potential sources of baseline | bedrock cuttings has been included as |
| (DECC) | information with regards to groundwater flooding, | mitigation for potential impacts on |
| | geological mapping, geohazards and natural | geology and soils in section 7.3. |
| | resources, the marine environment. Request to be | |
| | provided with any site investigation data and for | |
| | significant bedrock cuttings to remain exposed. | |

4.3 Other

Meetings were held with the NPWS, CCC and LCCC during March and April 2021 regarding comments provided by the NPWS on the Environmental Report.

5. Baseline and Key Environmental Issues

5.1 Introduction

This chapter describes the baseline environment of the study area. The baseline is simply the situation or current conditions, which provides a benchmark against which the predicted environmental effects are assessed. This Section also outlines future trends and the potential evolution of the baseline in the absence of the LSMATS. The baseline information sources are referred to and any key additional data or information which will be required for environmental assessment. Key issues from the baseline review considered relevant to transport development at this strategic scale are also identified.

Information provided in this chapter is based on readily available baseline data from web-based searches and Geographic Information Systems (GIS).

The NPF 2018 is referenced throughout the report. The key trends expected in Ireland are outlined. The NPF sets out the objectives supporting Ireland's long term economic, environmental and social progress for all parts of the country. These objectives are:

- "Guide the future development of Ireland, taking into account a projected 1 million increase in our population, the need to create 660,000 additional jobs to achieve full employment and a need for 550,000 more homes by 2040;
- 25% of growth is planned for Dublin, recognised as our key international and global city of scale and principal economic driver;
- 25% growth across the other four cities combined (Cork, Limerick, Galway and Waterford), enabling all four to grow their population and jobs by 50-60%, and become cities of greater scale, i.e. growing by twice as much as they did over the previous 25 years to 2016;
- With the remaining 50% of growth to occur in key regional centres, towns, villages and rural areas, to be determined in the forthcoming regional plans Regional Spatial and Economic Strategies (RSESs);
- Enable people to live closer to where they work, moving away from the current unsustainable trends of increased commuting;
- Regenerate rural Ireland by promoting environmentally sustainable growth patterns;
- Plan for and implement a better distribution of regional growth, in terms of jobs and prosperity;
- Transform settlements of all sizes through imaginative urban regeneration and bring life / jobs back into cities, towns and villages; and
- Co-ordinate delivery of infrastructure and services in tandem with growth, through joined-up NPF/National Investment Strategy and consistent sectoral plans, which will help to manage this growth and tackle congestion and quality of life issues in Dublin and elsewhere."

Reference has also been made to the EPA's State of the Environment report published in November 2020. This report provides:

- A current assessment and outlook across fourteen environmental policy areas; and
- Key state of the environment messages and actions needed.

Sources for the baseline environment within the LSMA include the LCDP 2010 - 2016 (as varied and extended), LCDP 2010 - 2016 (as varied and extended), the Strategic Integrated Framework Strategy for the Shannon Estuary (SIFS) 2013 – 2020 and CCDP 2017 – 2023 (as varied).

5.2 SEA study area

The study area for the SEA is defined by the geographical area of the LSMATS, incorporating Limerick City and Suburbs, Shannon in County Clare, and the settlements outlined above in Section 2.1.2. Potential impacts within a 15km of the geographical area of LSMA zone of influence (ZOI) will also be considered based on source-pathway-receptor approach.² The LSMA and a15km buffer area which constitute the study area and ZOI for the SEA are shown in Figure 5.1.



Figure 5.1 Study Area and Zone of Influence

5.3 Population and Human Health

5.3.1 Population

The LSMA was estimated to have a population of 132,420 in 2016, which represents an increase of 2.7% since 2011, when the total population was 128,947. Data indicates that the increase is larger in the urban areas (i.e. growth is 2.9% in Limerick City and Suburbs and 3.5% in Shannon) than in the rural areas where growth was under 2% (see Table 5.1).

² The NIS published alongside this document uses a source-pathway-receptor model to identify sites potentially affected by the LSMATS, however the screening exercise did not identify any potential LSEs on sites located more than 15km from the boundary of the LSMA.

| Area | 2011 Population | 2016 Population | 2011-16 Growth |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Limerick City and Suburbs | 96,761 | 99,548 | 2.9% |
| Limerick County Rural Areas | 11,260 | 11,398 | 1.2% |
| Shannon | 10,085 | 10,442 | 3.5% |
| Clare County Rural Areas | 10,841 | 11,032 | 1.8% |
| Total | 128,947 | 132,420 | 2.7% |

Table 5.1 Breakdown of Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Population

Figure 5.2 shows that the geographical breakdown of the population is uneven in the LSMA, with three quarters of all residents living in the Limerick City and Suburbs area, and only 17% of the population living in the rural areas of Clare and Limerick Counties.



Population distribution in 2016

Figure 5.2 Population Percentage Breakdown – LSMA

Population density by CSO Small Area has been mapped for the LSMA as shown in Figure 5.3. The map shows significantly higher population density within Limerick City centre and Dooradoyle and to a lesser extent within Shannon.

The graphics below present the distribution of jobs within the LSMA. Table 5.3 shows total number of jobs per CSO-defined Small Area and shows job density per small area.

Across the wider LSMA area, the most notable concentrations of employment are within Limerick City centre and Shannon, particularly around the International Airport, the town centre and Business Park. Specific locations that are source of high jobs densities within Limerick include Saint Camillus Hospital, University Maternity Hospital Limerick, Limerick Institute of Technology and the Mid-Western Regional General Hospital.

There are also significant clusters of employment to the north-east of Limerick City in the general University of Limerick and the wider Castletroy/Annacotty area. To the south-west, the Raheen Business Park and University Hospital are complemented by retail and local government functions at Dooradoyle. These are followed by smaller employment clusters along the South Circular Road, O'Connell Avenue and the N69 primarily at Docklands Business Park. There are employment clusters to the south-west of the city at a number of light industrial parks and out-of-town retail parks at Limerick One and Parkway Shopping Centres near Garryowen.





Figure 5.3 LSMA 2016 population density (per square kilometre)



Figure 5.4 LSMA 2016 jobs per CSO Small Area

Jacobs



Figure 5.5 Limerick and Shannon Metropolitan Area 2016 Jobs Density (per square kilometre)

The area formerly known as Limerick County is the eighth most affluent local authority area nationally with 54% of the population either being marginally above average affluence or affluent, and County Clare is the thirteenth most affluent local authority. Neither local authority area contains any areas that are classed as *"Very Disadvantaged"* as illustrated in Figure 5.6.



Figure 5.6 Levels of Deprivation compared to Ireland for County Clare, and County Limerick (County Health Profiles; Health Service Executive (HSE), 2015)

The area formerly known as Limerick City however is the most deprived local authority area nationally with 36.8% of its population being classed as either *"very disadvantaged"* or *"disadvantaged"* as shown on Figure 5.7 below:



Levels of deprivation by Electoral Divisions The percentage of the population in this area compared to

Figure 5.7 Levels of Deprivation compared to Ireland overall for Limerick City (County Health Profiles; Health Service Executive (HSE), 2019)

5.3.2 Human Health

Environmental Health & Wellbeing (EPA, 2020)

Monitoring and research show that Ireland has air quality issues that need to be resolved. Poor air quality has implications for public health. Identified solutions need to be implemented for the causes of poor air quality, which mainly relate to the residential use of solid fuels for home heating, emissions from transport, especially from diesel and petrol engine passenger cars, and ammonia-related emissions from livestock farming. The health of the population within Ireland is generally "Good" to "Very Good" based on the Healthy Ireland Survey 2016, published by the Department of Health as part of the Healthy Ireland Framework.

84% of the population aged 15 and older perceived their health to be in "very good health", whilst just 3% perceived themselves to be in "bad health" or "very bad health". 28% indicate that they have a long-standing illness or health condition and 10% of people indicated a mental health problem (Department of Health, 2016). The 2016 Census data indicates that 13.5% of the population have a disability, with the vast majority being over 85 years old. The number of young people (under the age of 24) with a disability increased in the last 5 years, whilst all other age groups decreased (CSO, 2016).

The area formerly defined as Limerick City however is the

most deprived nationally, and the HSE Health Profile for the area presents the following key facts in relation to Limerick City:

• "Has the fourth lowest dependency ratio nationally of 45.1% i.e. the number of those aged 0-14 and 65 and over as a percentage of the number of persons aged 15-64 (national 49.3%);

- Is the most deprived local authority area nationally 36.8% of its population are either very disadvantaged or disadvantaged;
- Has above average of lone parent households of 13.7% (national 10.9%) and the highest national birth rate to mothers under the age of 20 of 24.1% (national 12.3);
- Has above average levels of those who stated they had bad or very bad health of 2.6% (national 1.5%) and those with a disability of 18.2% (national 13.0%);
- Has the lowest breast-feeding rate nationally of 32.4% (national 46.6%);
- Has above average mortality rates for all causes and for the four major causes of death (City and County data); and
- Has above average suicide rate and the highest rates nationally for female and male self-harm, but below average psychiatric in-patient admission rates".

The impact of road traffic injuries and fatalities is the most obvious and direct link between transport and health. The Road Safety Authority (RSA) reported that a total of 157 people lost their lives in 2017, which was a 15% decrease on 2016 figures. This included a 14% decrease in pedestrian deaths and a 9% decrease in motorcyclist deaths. During the same period there was a 50% increase in cyclist deaths. Statistics on non-fatal injuries as a result of a road traffic accidents are not available for 2017.

The CSO Transport Omnibus 2017 reports on trends in road safety and road lengths within Ireland from 2010 to 2016 (Figure 5.8). In 2016 there were 7,710 accidents, which was a decrease of around 7% from 2010 figures, when 8,270 accidents were recorded. The greatest decrease was in all car accidents (down by approximately 19%) and the highest increase was in pedal cyclist injuries which went up 133% in six years from 399 in 2010 to 932 in 2016 (CSO, 2016c). Pedestrian accidents also increased during the same period by 11% from 923 to 1027.



Figure 5.8 Number of persons injured in Ireland classified by road user type, 2010 – 2016

Road traffic accidents can also have an indirect effect on the entire community when these events occur, through changing people's perceptions of safety. The Institute of Public Health in Ireland (2011) suggests that road traffic injuries can lead to long term psychiatric consequences for individuals involved in accidents.

Aside from road traffic injuries and fatalities, transport is known to influence human health in a number of other ways. There are many disadvantaging health impacts associated with transport such as stress and anxiety, the risk of accident constraints to mobility, access and independence and air and noise pollution (Institute of Public Health in Ireland, 2011). Air pollution and noise is a key public health issue related to transport. The transport sector accounted for 12% of all air pollutant emission in 2015. The predominant health impacts from transport are from particulate matter and nitrogen oxides emissions, contributing to cardiovascular disease, lung disease and heart attacks which points to a clear need to reduce transport-related pollution emissions. Excessive noise can seriously harm human health, including mental health, and interfere with people's daily activities at school, at work, at home and during leisure time. It can disturb sleep, cause cardiovascular and psychophysiological effects, reduce performance and provoke annoyance responses and changes in social behaviour (EPA, 2020). Air pollution and noise from transport is discussed in more detail in Section 5.9.

Commuting can significantly impact health and wellbeing. Research has shown that long commutes can reduce mental and physical health and wellbeing, by raising blood pressure as well as reducing free time, for physical activity and personal time. Therefore, the Institute of Public Health in Ireland are promoting active travel as much as possible. Activities such as walking, and cycling have many benefits including a reduction in mortality, death from obesity and obesity related diseases such as cardiovascular diseases, and certain type of cancers, and also improves mood and quality of life. In addition to this a greater shift to active travel can help reduce air and noise pollution, and road traffic accidents (Institute of Public Health in Ireland, 2011).

5.3.3 Transport / Access

Transport Infrastructure is considered a key component for sustainable economic development in modern economies in terms of:

- Linking people to jobs;
- Delivering products to markets;
- Underpinning supply chains and logistics networks; and
- Facilitating domestic and international trade.

The LSMATS Baseline Conditions and Policy Context Report (Jacobs, 2019b) details several recent improvements to the transport supply within the LSMA in recent years including:

- Construction of Limerick Tunnel crossing River Shannon;
- Improvements to the transport infrastructure and bus routes in Limerick;
- Redevelopment of Colbert Station and Plaza;
- Development of Limerick Smarter Travel walk and cycleway from UL to City Centre;
- Improvements to the cycle network from Mungret to Limerick City;
- Development of the Rhebogue Neighbourhood Greenway;
- Implementation of Limerick Public Bicycle Sharing Scheme (BSS); and
- Improvements to the River Walk in Shannon.

Despite these improvements to the transport network, there is still a significant level of congestion within Limerick City that puts pressure on the existing road infrastructure such as the river crossing in the City Centre. Besides, there is limited accessibility to the city centre from County Clare and low connectivity between the areas along the northern fringe of the city. The Limerick Northern Distributor Road would contribute to improving accessibility

Environment and Transport (EPA, 2020)

The most recent National Travel Survey shows that in 2019 almost two thirds (64.9%) of journeys were made as a driver of a private car, or 77.6% by 'private motorised vehicle', with little shift to sustainable modes in recent years. The analysis of historic driving forces suggests that the push of increased economic activity and population growth has coincided with public policy and private market factors that have often favoured more dispersed settlement patterns, road development and the private car. between these areas. In Shannon, a series of improvements to the cycle and pedestrian networks are planned as part of the Shannon Town Park project, completed in mid-2019.

Road Networks

The road network is an essential piece of national public infrastructure. This transport network provides strategic access to population centres, ports and airports. Maintaining the capacity of the roads with optimal levels of service is of critical importance for growing the economy of the LSMA. The strategic road network is important for the movement of goods and services within the LSMA, and also provides the main basis for Limerick's interregional and national-level connectivity.

Limerick City is connected via seven National Roads, four of which comprise the TEN-T (Trans European Network – Transport) Core (M7/N69) and Comprehensive (N21, N20, N18) network. Shannon Town is located just off the M/N18 National Primary Road between Galway and Limerick, and there are two National roads within this area, one of which is part of the TEN-T comprehensive network. The LSMA also includes the towns and villages of Castleconnell, Patrickswell and Clarina in Limerick and Sixmilebridge, Ardnacrusha, Clonlara, Cratloe, Ballycannan and Bunratty in County Clare. Most of the county towns are located adjacent to the regional and local road networks. The following images outline each of the county towns within the LSMA and their main access roads. Main access roads are shown on Figure 5.9.





Figure 5.9 Road Network within the LSMA





Figure 5.10 Rail Network within the LSMA

There are very limited orbital routes in Limerick, particularly to the north of the city centre, meaning that travellers must make convoluted journeys via the local road network. This factor also contributes to congestion on the national road network. At present, there are no dedicated, permanent park and ride facilities provided within the LSMA.

Limerick Smarter Travel

Limerick City, Dungarvan and Westport were designated as Ireland's Smarter Travel Demonstration Areas 2012 - 2016, representing a city area, a medium-sized town and a small town. The DoT has invested $\notin 23$ million in the program across the three areas, with $\notin 9$ million earmarked for the Limerick area, in an effort to encourage a behavioural switch to more sustainable forms of transport such as cycling, walking and the use of public transport. The Smarter Travel Area (STA) proposal for Limerick was built around four hubs – City Centre, Corbally, Castletroy and Regeneration Hub – and the initiative seeks to facilitate travel in a more sustainable manner, within and between the hubs.

"The delivery of sustainable transport solutions for Limerick presents many transport challenges and opportunities given the diverse population base. Affluent residential communities exist side by side with some of the most deprived areas in the country; there is a flourishing immigrant community and a growing student population; the existence of a major centre of skilled employment and a gateway to tourism in the wider Mid-West region. The proposal is tailored to meet the varying travel needs of communities within the hubs."

An interim report (AECOM, 2016) found that walking and cycling had increased in all three STAs when compared with Dublin. The total combined increase for all three areas was 5.7% from 14.7% to 20.4%, and in the Limerick STA the increase was 4.3% from 11.9% to 16.2% (see Figure 5.11)



Figure 5.11 Rates of Walking and Cycling within STAs

Rail Network

Locations of key rail terminals within the study area are shown in Figure 5.10.

The primary terminal for public transport services in Limerick City is at Colbert Station, located just off Parnell Street/Hyde Road, an approximate 10-minute walk from the City Centre. This station facilitates interchange for rail, coach and bus. The station was recently upgraded which enhanced the station environment and improved the interchange facilities. However, the station lacks a clear and obvious connecting pedestrian route to the City Centre.

There are a number of InterCity services providing direct rail connections from Limerick's Colbert Station to Ennis and Galway and further connections available via Limerick Junction to Dublin, Cork, Clonmel, Tralee and Waterford on the Dublin - Cork line. There are three non-stop services to Dublin Heuston Station available in the morning without the need to transfer at Limerick Junction and three non-stop return journeys are possible in the afternoon and evening peak. While the route via Limerick Junction performs almost to its full potential, the interchange at this station remains a disadvantage for the route and causes additional delays to Dublin - Cork services.

There is no rail network serving Shannon. The closest rail station to Shannon is Sixmilebridge, located approximately 6km east from the town centre and lies on the Limerick-Galway line with direct links to Limerick and Ennis. There are nine services a day to Limerick Colbert Train Station with two services available in the morning peak and frequencies of between 90 mins - 2 hours thereafter. Onward connections are then available to Limerick City, Ennis and Galway. Within the County Clare portion of the study area, Sixmilebridge is the only settlement with a train station. The rest of the towns and villages in the LSMATS area are served by bus connections to stations on the rail network.

Freight now accounts for less than one percent of rail movements in Ireland. A freight line between Limerick and Foynes Port has been inactive since 2001.

Bus Network

The principal service provider of bus services in the LSMA is Bus Éireann, who operate a reasonably extensive city bus network within Limerick City. Limerick City Centre has an extensive one-way traffic system however, which has a negative impact on public transport operations as bus routes are separated on inward and outward legs which can be confusing for less frequent bus passengers and visitors to the City. Certain bus routes are also separated as a result of restricted road widths.

In addition to the city services, there are a number of regional Bus Éireann services providing links to Limerick City from different settlements within the wider County Limerick as well as from counties Clare, Offaly, Tipperary, Cork and Kerry. There is only one Bus Éireann route serving Shannon Town Centre, which connects Limerick Colbert Station to Ennis Station via Sixmilebridge, Bunratty and Shannon Airport, which operates at a low frequency. Further bus routes operating within Shannon and environs only provide connections to Shannon Airport and Shannon Industrial Estate. All County Towns have at least one bus route towards Limerick City and few have similar services to other major towns in the region. This means these areas are highly dependent on available bus connections within Limerick City.

The Southern Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy (RSES) highlights the need to enhance public transport services to Shannon Airport in order to attract and ease the movement of tourist and visitors around the region and to strengthen connectivity to strategic transatlantic hubs from the airport. Between Galway and Shannon Airport, there are 19 bus services provided by Bus Éireann, five of which are direct expressway services. The rest of the services are not direct and take longer travel time from Galway to Shannon. The current direct services provided by Bus Éireann do not coincide with flight times, discouraging the use of public transport to and from the airport.

Cycle Network

The Dublin Bikes scheme began in 2009, and in the five years between 2010 and 2015 the number of journeys per year increased by more than 240% from 1.2 million to 4.1 million. Limerick now offers a similar scheme throughout Limerick City Centre with 215 bikes at 23 stations including Colbert Station. However, the existing network contains many barriers to cycling, both natural and manmade. The primary natural barriers to cycling are the watercourses through the metropolitan area, including the River Shannon, Abbey River, Mulkear River, Ballynaclogh River and the Park Canal. Of these watercourses, the River Shannon is the principal natural barrier to north-south movement. There are currently 3 vehicular bridges crossing the River Shannon from the west, Caherdavin area. None of these bridges currently provide dedicated cycle facilities. The potential to retrofit these bridges is limited due to their width. The LSMA also contains a number of manmade barriers to cycle movement, including three railway lines, a motorway / dual carriageway on which cycling is prohibited, one-way and circuitous routes, and junctions with little or no provision for cyclists.

Currently, the cycle network in Shannon and its environs is very limited and lacks adequate cycling infrastructure. At present, all County Towns also lack dedicated cycling infrastructure and cycling is shared with motor vehicles.

The Southern Environs Local Area Strategy (2011 – 2021) sets out a cycling strategy proposal to extend the network having special focus on routes to schools, shopping areas and open spaces. Target locations to be linked by the proposal include Raheen, Dooradoyle, Crescent Shopping Centre and Limerick City. Mungret- Loughmore defined as a primary development area in the Southern Environs has a partially constructed cycle lane extending along the regional road R859 from Quinn's Cross to Mungret Village. In addition, the Limerick Metropolitan Cycle Network Study sets out the envisaged cycling network for the Limerick Metropolitan Area (LMA) for 2025.

5.3.4 Future Trends

Population

The study area population has increased significantly in recent years (2.7% between 2011 and 2016), and the Ireland 2040 NPF seeks to prioritise the achievement of the Mid-West Limerick City Region as a Tier 2 city region by promoting significant population growth within the region. Table 5.2 provides a comparison between the 2016 and 2040 Planning Datasheets for LCCC and CCC and for the LSMATS area. Table 5.2 shows that substantial population increase within the LSMATS is forecast between 2016 and 2040. Note that the figures for Limerick City and Suburbs and Shannon relate to the NTA-defined settlements, which are larger than the CSO Settlements and include areas of employment beyond the CSO boundaries.

| County | Population | | Population Growth | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|---------|-------------------|-----|
| | 2016 | 2040 | 2016 to 2040 | |
| Limerick City & County | 194,899 | 261,475 | 66,576 | 34% |
| Clare County | 118,817 | 151,000 | 32,183 | 27% |
| LSMATS Area | 132,420 | 209,198 | 76,778 | 58% |
| -Limerick City & Suburbs | 99,858 | 153,707 | 53,849 | 54% |
| -Limerick City & Suburbs (Limerick) | 93,554 | 147,210 | 53,656 | 57% |
| Limerick City & Suburbs (Clare) | 6,304 | 6,496 | 192 | 3% |
| Shannon | 10,442 | 13,600 | 3,158 | 30% |
| -Remaining Metropolitan Area | 22,120 | 41,891 | 19,771 | 89% |

Table 5.2 Population forecast for LSMA between 2016 and 2040

Socio-economics

Ireland, and Limerick in particular, has experienced growth in employment in recent years and it is anticipated that the regions unemployment rate will continue to reduce as investment in Limerick facilitates further job creation. It is anticipated that there will be approximately 58% employment growth within the LSMA between 2016 and 2040 (Jacobs, 2019b). New sectors identified for growth include the local food tourism sector and the film and media sector, with new patterns of employment emerging across the region in new sectors (Limerick City Council, 2016). However, it is acknowledged that the impact of Brexit and COVID-19 are not yet fully understood, both in terms of risks and opportunities for the economy of Ireland and the Mid-West region in particular.

Transport / Access

As the economy of the Mid-West region grows, improved transport links will be required to facilitate movements of goods and people.

Human Health

The Healthy Ireland Framework 2013-2025 sets out four high level goals to improve health in Ireland:

- Increasing the proportion of Irish people who are healthy at all stages of life;
- Reducing health inequalities;
- Protecting the public from threats to health and wellbeing; and
- Creating an environment where every sector of society can play its part in achieving a healthy Ireland. (Government of Ireland, 2018).

The NPF also aims to "Enhance public health by encouraging and facilitating more active lifestyles and by creating a more walkable and cycling friendly urban environment". National and regional transport strategies have an important role to play in improving public health and reducing health inequalities through promotion of low emission technologies and active travel modes (e.g. walking and cycling).

5.3.5 Key Issues

The key issues in relation to Population, Socio-economics, Human Health and Access are:

- Population and economic growth will increase the demand for transport infrastructure within the LSMA and has the potential to affect traffic;
- The construction of transport infrastructure may cause temporary disruption to the local community for example, in the form of noise, disruption to transport services/utilities and increased traffic;
- Potential for loss/gain of public amenity as a result of transport related development; and
- Patterns for settlement and economic growth will influence the demand for and location of transport infrastructure and the accessibility related to transport infrastructure will in turn influence future development and economic growth.

5.4 Tourism and Recreation

The LSMA contains Shannon Airport, Ireland's third busiest airport after Dublin and Cork, which offers daily direct flights from the US, Europe and the UK. Shannon Airport is located within an hour's drive of the Wild Atlantic Way, a long-distance touring route that runs along the west coast of Ireland from Donegal to West Cork and is managed by Fáilte Ireland. This development aims to achieve greater visibility for the west coast of Ireland in overseas tourist markets by promoting major scenic attractions such as Malin Head, Downpatrick Head, Cliffs of Moher, Skellig's Viewpoint, Mizen Head and Old Kinsale Head, and cultural towns including Bundoran, Westport and Dingle. Visitors arriving at Shannon Airport can drive north to Clare, Galway, Mayo, Sligo and Donegal, or south to Kerry and Cork on the Wild Atlantic Way, and they are just one hour away from the Cliffs of Moher, the most popular attraction in the West of Ireland.

A draft of 'The Limerick Tourism and Marketing Strategy 2017-2023' (Future Analytics et al, 2017) commissioned by LCCC found that in 2015 overseas and domestic tourism in County Limerick generated an annual revenue of over €244 million through close to 800,000 visitors coming to the county. In spite of this, the report further states that "The sector is hugely important to Limerick, yet comparatively the County is underperforming, particularly so in relation to tourism hotspots and neighbouring counties". The Limerick Tourism Development Strategy Action Plan 2019-2023 builds on this and sets out a road map for addressing this. Likewise, the adopted Limerick County Development LCDP acknowledges that the area's tourism resources are being under-utilised: "Lack of investment in tourism products has reinforced the perception that Limerick is a transient stop on the way to more recognised tourist destinations".

Tourism and recreation in the LSMA are influenced by a range of factors. The area's natural heritage offers tourism and recreational opportunities within a varied landscape of agricultural plains, uplands, karst landscapes, lakes, rivers, coastal and estuarine waterways. The LSMA is home to the Ballyhoura Mountains, Galtymore (highest

point in inland Ireland), Slieve Felim Way, and the Mullaghareirk Mountains, already largely accessible through existing walking and cycling trails, and numerous water and waterside experiences are available on the rivers throughout the counties of Limerick and Clare and on the Shannon Estuary. The National Trails Office promotes the use of recreational trails in Ireland and maintains a National Trails Register. There is one National Trail (Lough Derg Way) routed within the LSMA. Limerick was designated as a Wild Atlantic Way Gateway City by Fáilte Ireland in 2021.

The area also includes a network of archaeological sites and architectural points of interest such as Lough Gur, one of Ireland's foremost archaeological sites, and historic towns such as Newcastle West, Rathkeale, Kilmallock, Adare, Askeaton, Bruff and Bruree. Thomond Park, the home ground of Munster Rugby, is one of Limerick City's major attractions for sports tourism, and there are regular fixtures for Gaelic football and hurling. Other opportunities for recreational activities include golfing, cycling, mountain biking, equestrian activities, and fishing, which are utilised by both visitors to, and residents of, the area. Bunratty Castle and Folk Park is a major regional tourist attraction and recreational facility within the LSMA.

Recreation and physical activity are important to the health and wellbeing of people and both tourism and recreation also contribute to the economy at a local and national level.

Cultural Heritage is discussed further in Section 5.7 and landscape in Section 5.6.

5.4.1 Future Trends

The growth of tourism plays a major role in future development as outlined in the LCDP and the SILDS for the Shannon Estuary. Increasing population figures will also increase the demand for recreational resources.

Uncertainty surrounding the decision in the UK to leave the European Union has already had an effect on the value of sterling, and Ireland's tourism business from Britain may be negatively impacted.

LSMATS aims to ensure that transport infrastructure is fit to support continued growth of the tourism industry in the LSMA, whilst protecting the environment and ensuring that the transport network is resilient to the effects of climate change and fit to cope with the impacts of Brexit and technological change.

5.4.2 Key Issues relating to LSMATS

The key issues in relation to Tourism and Recreation are:

- Transport infrastructure development may have the potential to restrict / reduce, or enhance access to recreation and/or tourism sites; and
- Increased tourist numbers will put strain on existing networks, particularly public transport.

5.5 Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna

5.5.1 Biodiversity Governance

There are a number of nature conservation designations in Ireland at an International, European and National level including:

At International level:

- UNESCO (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation) World Heritage and Biosphere sites; and
- Sites designated as Wetlands of International Importance or RAMSAR sites.

At a European level:

• Special Areas of Conservation (SACs); and

• Special Protection Areas (SPAs).

At a national level:

- Natural Heritage Areas (NHAs) and proposed Natural Heritage Areas (pNHAs); and
- Other designations such as Salmonid Waters, Freshwater Pearl Mussel (FWPM) Catchments and Nature Reserves.

The Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) was transposed into Irish law in 1997 by the European Communities (Natural Habitats) Regulations, S.I. 94 of 1997. The Regulations were subsequently revised and consolidated in the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011, S.I. 477 of 2011. The main purpose of the Habitats Directive is to ensure the appropriate conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora. Under the directive, Ireland like other Member States was required to establish an ecological network of SACs (sites which host a range of natural habitats and species listed in Annex I and II of the Directive) and SPAs as designated under the Birds Directive (2009/147/EC).

5.5.2 Designated Sites

As described in Section 5.2, the study area for the assessment of potential impacts on Natura 2000 sites (SACs and SPAs) and also internationally designated site such as Ramsar sites and UNESCO sites is taken as the LSMA plus an additional buffer (referred to as the *"extended study area' or Zone of Influence (ZoI)*. The ZoI of a proposed project or plan is the geographical area over which it could affect the receiving environment in a way that could have significant effects on the Qualifying Interests of a European site. This is required to be established on a case-by-case basis using the Source-Pathway-Receptor framework (Office of the Planning Regulator 2021) and this is the approach used for identifying the study area for the AA.

Nature (EPA, 2020)

Ireland needs to prioritise actions to protect nature.

The challenges involved in protecting Ireland's habitats and species are now more serious than ever and need urgent action. But nature can bounce back under the right conditions. Implementing national biodiversity policies, such as the National Biodiversity Action Plan, requires an increased level of collaboration and coordination across multiple sectors and the whole of society. This can also give rise to indirect co-benefits for other sectors and environmental issues such as climate change and water quality.

There are no UNESCO sites within the extended study area, and one Ramsar site (Ballyallia Lough) bisects the extended study area at the far northern extent near Ennis. Ballyallia Lough is also a Wildfowl Reserve. There are 23 SACs situated within the extended study area, covering approximately 52,355 hectares, and five SPAs encompassing just over 86,000 hectares of marine and terrestrial habitats (see Figure 5.12 and Table 5.3).



Table 5.3 International and European sites within the extended study area

| International/European Site Name |
|--|
| SACs |
| Askeaton Fen Complex SAC |
| Ballyallia Lake SAC |
| Barrigone SAC |
| Clare Glen SAC |
| Curraghchase Woods SAC |
| Danes Hole, Poulnalecka SAC |
| Glen Bog SAC |
| Glenomra Wood SAC |
| Glenstal Wood SAC |
| Keeper Hill SAC |
| Kilkishen House SAC |
| Knockanira House SAC |
| Lough Gash Turlough SAC |
| Lower River Shannon SAC |
| Newgrove House SAC |
| Newhall and Edenvale Complex SAC |
| Old Domestic Building (Keevagh) SAC |
| Pouladatig Cave SAC |
| Poulnagordon Cave (Quin) SAC |
| Ratty River Cave SAC |
| Silvermines Mountains West SAC |
| Slieve Bernagh Bog SAC |
| Tory Hill SAC |
| SPAs |
| Ballyallia Lough SPA |
| Lough Derg (Shannon) SPA |
| River Shannon and River Fergus Estuaries SPA |
| Slieve Aughty Mountains SPA |
| Slievefelim to Silvermines Mountains SPA |
| Ramsar sites |
| Ballyallia Lough |





Figure 5.12 Designated Sites within the Study Area and Zone of Influence

There are eight NHAs within the study area, the majority of which are bog-related (listed below and shown on Figure 5.12).

- Doon Lough NHA;
- Loughanilloon Bog NHA;
- Grageen Fen and Bog NHA;
- Cloonloum More Bog NHA;
- Gortacullin Bog NHA;
- Woodcock Hill Bog NHA;
- Lough Acrow Bogs NHA; and
- Bleanbeg Bog NHA.

The National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) monitor and assess the status of protected species (Annex I of <u>92/43/EEC</u>) and habitats in Ireland (Annex I of <u>92/43/EEC</u>). This takes into account the status of the range, area, structure and functions and future prospects of each species/habitat before defining an overall status for each. A total of 59 different habitats and 68 species are listed. The overall status of Annex I habitats as of 2019 are as follows (NPWS, 2019):

- Favourable -15%
- Inadequate 46%
- Bad 39%

46% of habitats showed a declining trend status, with 54 % showing stable status and only 2% improving. Around 10% of habitats are considered to be under pressure or threat from transport systems.

- The overall status of Annex I species (NPWS, 2019) is:
- Favourable 57%
- Inadequate 15%
- Bad -15%
- Unknown -13%

55% of Annex I species showed a stable trend in status, with 15% showing improving and 15% declining (13% unknown).

5.5.3 Aquatic Environment

There are three catchments related to protected species (Salmonid Waters, FWPM Catchments and Nature Reserves) identified within the 15km radius of the study area. Under the EU Biodiversity Strategy, Ireland must halt biodiversity loss by 2020. On the back of the EU Strategy, Ireland has published its 2nd National Biodiversity Plan, Actions for Biodiversity 2011 – 2016. This set out several Strategic Objectives and over 100 actions to achieve these objectives. In 2015, an Interim Review of the 2011-2016 Strategy was published and indicated that the majority of targets were implemented or that implementation was in progress. Specific targets which required further action were highlighted. The 3rd National Biodiversity Strategy 2017-2021 (NPWS, 2017) was published in 2017 and builds on the actions not completed in the previous plan.

5.5.4 Invasive Species

The spread of invasive species can have a significant negative effect on wildlife and habitats and the significance of this is reflected in Ireland's third National Biodiversity Strategy (2017-2030) and recent European

Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (S.I. No. 477 of 2011). Common invasive species in Ireland include:

- Giant Hogweed (Heracleum Mantegazzianum);
- Giant Rhubarb (Gunnera Tinctoria);
- Himalayan Balsam (Impatiens Glandulifera);
- Japanese Knotweed (Fallopia Japonica); and
- Rhododendron (Rhododendron ponticum).

5.5.5 Future Trends

Increasing land-use change such as urbanisation, plantation forestry and changing agricultural practices are likely to continue to pose risks to the quality and distribution of aquatic and terrestrial habitats and species, both within and outside protected sites. However, the continued implementation of measures required in achieving the objectives of the WFD and the requirements of the Habitats Directive are likely to benefit protected sites and the wider aquatic environment into the future.

NPWS are developing Conservation Management Plans and conservation objectives for many of the European sites, as well as other management plans for declining species (e.g. Species Management Plans) which will help protect biodiversity resources going forward. It should be noted that Conservation Management Plans and site-specific conservation objectives are unlikely to be developed for every site.

Future trends will be influenced by changes/additions to existing designated sites (SACs, SPAs and NHAs). A number of pNHAs may be reviewed and upgraded to NHAs and, similarly, sites listed as tentative on the UNESCO Heritage List may be upgraded to designated heritage sites.

There are currently 29 established and 18 potential invasive species threats. Species which are listed as potential threats may become established threats in the near future. The EPA's report on alien invasive species and the continuing development of the National Biodiversity Data Centre National Invasive Species Database will aid in the documentation of the distribution of invasive species in Ireland. These reports and datasets will go towards the implementation of the recent European legislation on halting the spread of invasive species (Regulation 1143/2014, entered into force on 1 January 2015).

5.5.6 Key Issues relating to LSMATS

The key issues in relation to Biodiversity are:

- Potential to affect protected areas including European Sites (SAC, SPA and RAMSAR), and National Sites (NHAs, pNHAs) and other sites of regional or local importance (Natural Heritage Sites, Wildlife Reserves);
- Potential for protected sites to pose constraints to planning of transport infrastructure;
- Potential to impact biodiversity in non-designated areas; and
- Potential to spread invasive species during construction.

5.6 Landscape and Visual Amenity

The European Landscape Convention (ELC) is the first international treaty to focus solely on landscape. The Convention promotes the protection, management and planning of European landscapes. The Irish government ratified the Convention in 2002. The National Landscape Strategy 2015-2025 published by the former Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht was put in place to drive compliance with ELC by establishing principles that provide the high-level policy framework to achieve the Convention objectives.

In accordance with the Planning and Development Act 2010 all Local Authorities need to identify Landscape Character Areas (LCAs) within their Development Plans to ensure that defining features are protected and managed. There is no national classification system for LCAs as these are geographically specific and have their own distinctive character based on its location and surrounding environment. Many Local Authorities have incorporated landscape designation into their Development Plans in the form of protected views, prospects, landscape conservation areas and scenic routes etc. Similarly, to the LCAs, there is no national standardised approach for designating these landscape features/sites.

The study area is covered by the LCDP 2010-2016, emerging Limerick Development Plan 2022-2028 the CCDP 2017-2023 which includes the area north of the Shannon Estuary.

The LCDP carried out a Landscape Character Assessment (LCA) which identifies ten different landscape areas within the county. The landscape is varied with a mix of agricultural plains, karst landscapes, lakes, rivers, coastal and estuarine waterways, and uplands including the Ballyhoura Mountains, Galty Mountains and the Mullaghareirk Mountains. Another important characteristic of the landscape within the study area is the presence of peatland habitat, most prevalent in the western uplands, which has important ramifications for landslide susceptibility and land transport construction. A number of objectives and policies designed to safeguard the sensitivity of Limerick's landscape types have been put in place by the former Limerick County Council.

The LCDP states that:

"Within cities, such as Limerick, landscape character involves the combination and interplay of many elements, including: the landscape; built environment; riverscape and natural heritage. Landscape is largely a non-renewable resource and therefore it is in the City's interest to ensure that the City's landscape assets that remain are protected for future generations for their visual, functional, natural heritage and other values."

Limerick City Council have put a number of policies in place to preserve and enhance the distinctive landscape character of the city, including its landscape assets, key landscape sites, views and special amenity views.

The CCDP proposes that future planning policies for rural areas in County Clare must consider three types of landscapes as follows:

- "i Settled landscapes areas where people live and work;
- ii Working Landscapes intensively settled and developed areas within Settled Landscapes or areas with a unique natural resource;
- iii Heritage Landscapes areas where natural and cultural heritage are given priority and where development is not precluded but happens more slowly and carefully."

Most of the County is made up of Settled Landscapes, where the majority of the population live, including farmland, villages and towns, roads, power-lines, quarries and piped services that service settlements and industry. Working Landscapes are contained within these Settled Landscapes in the form of agriculture, energy, forestry, extraction, transportation, industry and commerce, tourism, recreation and leisure, education, healthcare and social infrastructure. The County contains two key areas of concentrated development; The Western Corridor - Ennis to Limerick Working Landscape and The Shannon Estuary Working Landscape. Heritage Landscapes are those areas that contain sensitive scenic, ecological or historic environmental resources.

The adopted CCDP identifies four Heritage Landscape Areas within the county:

- Lough Derg and the Eastern Uplands;
- The Burren;
- The Fergus / Shannon Estuary; and
- The Coast.

The study area includes part of Area 3: The Shannon Estuary, and is in the vicinity of both Area 4 which runs along the coast, and Area 1 as the rivers that carry the onward flow from Lough Derg to the Shannon Estuary are included.

A Seascape Character Assessment of County Clare was also carried out as part of the LCA which identified 12 individual Character Areas with unique scenic, geology, history and sensitivities. The Wild Atlantic Way follows the

west coast of County Clare, from Killimer on the Shannon Estuary to New Quay in North Clare, and this stretch of coastline contains a number of important views, valuable scenic and high-amenity areas. Clare County Council will work with Fáilte Ireland to ensure the sustainable development of Discovery Points and Signature Discovery Points along the route.

Further commitment at national level to the protection and enhancement of Ireland's landscape is provided through NPO 14 of the NPF to "Protect and promote the sense of place and culture and the quality, character and distinctiveness of the Irish rural landscape that make Ireland's rural areas authentic and attractive as places to live, work and visit" and NPO 22 to "Facilitate tourism development and in particular a National Greenways, Blueways and Peatways Strategy, which prioritises projects on the basis of achieving maximum impact and connectivity at national and regional level." NPO 61 of the NPF is to "Facilitate landscape protection, management and change through the preparation of a National Landscape Character Map and development of guidance on local landscape character assessments, (including historic

Land and Soil (EPA, 2020)

The interactions between different types of human activity, such as farming, forestry and town and country planning, shapes our environment, landscape and biodiversity.

Our landscape has been shaped by long-running natural processes and human intervention throughout history. It forms an important part of our cultural and natural identity. It contributes to the wellbeing of our economy (e.g. the tourism industry), society and environment.

landscape characterisation) to ensure a consistent approach to landscape character assessment, particularly across planning and administrative boundaries."

5.6.1 Future Trends

The existing landscape is not expected to change significantly in the immediate future. The National Landscape Strategy will be used to aid compliance with the ELC and as part of this, a National Landscape Character Assessment is currently being developed. It is a high-level policy framework aimed at achieving a balance between the protection, management and planning of the landscape by way of supporting actions (DCHG, 2015). The Planning and Development (Amendment) Act 2010 defines the term "landscape³", and to support this, complementary legislation and codes will be examined to see whether gaps need to be addressed therefore there may be legislation specific to landscape protection in the near future.

Afforestation has been one of the key trends related to landscape / land cover in Ireland over recent decades. The Forest Statistics Ireland 2018 (DAFM, 2018) report indicates that there was an increase of 4.2% in the national area covered by forestry from the years 1985 to 2006, and a further 0.9% increase from 2006 to 2017. At the end of 2003, 22,614 hectares of the total land area of County Limerick was forestry, which equated to approximately 8%, lower than the national average at the time of 9.9%. This trend has inevitably led to a resultant decrease in agricultural land (0.12%) and peatlands (0.04%) areas. There was also a small increase in urban fabrics/industrial or commercial units and road and rail networks and construction sites. Given the projected trend for urbanisation as discussed in Section 5.5.5, it is anticipated that these land cover trends will continue. Other future trends for land use which will affect the landscape of Ireland are detailed in Section 5.11.7.

³ Landscape has the same meaning as it has in Article 1 of the European Landscape Convention which states The landscape covers the national territory, including land, inland water and seascapes of each member state. It refers equally to natural, rural, urban and peri-urban areas, from the outstanding to the degraded. It covers, in short, the entire physical environment as specified by each country upon ratification of the Convention.

5.6.2 Key Issues relating to LSMATS

The key issues in relation to Landscape and Visual Amenity are:

- Potential for permanent infrastructure to impact landscape and visual amenity temporarily during construction or permanently throughout operation; and
- Potential for transport development to be constrained by the need to protect the landscape character and local visual amenity.

5.7 Cultural Heritage - Archaeological and Architectural

5.7.1 Record of Monuments and Places (RMP)

The Record of Monuments and Places (RMP) is the statutory list of recorded monuments. Monuments listed in the RMP are afforded legal protection under the National Monuments Act 1930 – 2004 and any work taking place at or in relation to a Recorded Monument will typically need to be notified to the Minister.

The National Monuments Act 1930-2004 was enacted to make provision for the protection and preservation of national monuments and archaeological objects and provides for the protection of monuments and archaeological sites, the protection of the portable archaeological heritage and the regulation of archaeological works.

5.7.2 National Inventory of Architectural Heritage (NIAH)

The Architectural Heritage (National Inventory) and Historic Monuments (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1999 was enacted to provide for the establishment of a National Inventory of Architectural Heritage and to provide for the obligations of statutory authorities in respect of these historic monuments. In this Act *"architectural heritage"* means all structures and buildings together with their settings and attendant grounds, fixtures and fittings; groups of such structures and buildings; and sites which are of architectural, historical, archaeological, artistic, cultural, scientific, social or technical interest.

Each structure is given a rating: National, Regional, Local or Record Only. Any structure rated as being Regional or higher importance will be recommended to have a separate record under the Record of Protected Structures (RPS).

5.7.3 Record of Protected Structures (RPS)

The Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) requires each planning authority to compile and maintain a Record of Protected Structures (RPS) that forms part of each planning authority's development plan. The purpose of the RPS is to protect structures, or parts of structures "which form part of the architectural heritage and which are of special architectural, historical, archaeological, artistic, cultural, scientific, social or technical interest." Sites, structures and groups of structures rated by the National Inventory of Architectural Heritage (NIAH) as being of Regional or above importance are included in the RPS which provides statutory protection for Ireland's architectural heritage.

While the prime objective of the RPS is to protect the structure and its setting, proposals for the sensitive restoration, extension and alteration of Protected Structures are positively encouraged by the Planning Authority.

5.7.4 Sites and Monuments Record (SMR)

The SMR appear on the ASI Map Viewer, however it does not, of itself, confer legal protection. Not all of these are included in the RMP and hence have no statutory protection.

The designations considered as part of the cultural heritage baseline are:

- Archaeological sites monuments included on the Record of Monuments and Places (RMPs) and/or Sites and Monuments Records (SMR);
- Architectural structures and sites included on the National Inventory of Architectural Heritage (NIAH) and/or LCCC and CCC's Record of Protected Structures (RPSs);
- UNESCO World Heritage Sites (WHS); and
- Unknown archaeological remains.

The National Monuments Service is an interactive mapping search facility that provides access to all records relevant to the archaeological heritage of Ireland. This extensive body of records is stored on the national database of the Archaeological Survey of Ireland (ASI), and a list of recorded archaeological monuments for each county is available at www.archaeology.ie based on OS mapping.

Within the study area the majority of NIAH records are concentrated within the settlement boundary of Limerick, with a number of records also located in Bunratty, Newcastle, Dooradoyle, Annacotty and Cloonlara. There are no designated or tentative UNESCO WHS within or in close proximity to the study area. There are also potentially unknown, undesignated archaeological and architectural remains within the study area which could be disturbed due to the development of the land transport network.

5.7.5 Future Trends

It is unlikely that the cultural heritage environment will change significantly in the near future due to the continued protection of cultural, archaeological and architectural heritage in national legislation. However, there could be minor revisions to the cultural heritage datasets within the lifetime of the LSMATS.

5.7.6 Key Issues relating to LSMATS

The key issues in relation to Cultural Heritage are:

- The potential for the construction of transport infrastructure to permanently or temporarily damage archaeological and architectural heritage monuments/sites;
- The potential for permanent structures to impact the setting of heritage sites/monuments;
- New developments could be constrained by the need to protect the character of areas; and
- The potential to uncover (and/or damage) unknown, undesignated remains, including underwater archaeology.

5.8 Geology and Soils

5.8.1 Geology

A large proportion of subsoils are made ground within Limerick settlement boundary. Immediately surrounding Limerick subsoils are estuarine silt/clay interspersed with patches of Limestone till adjacent to the Shannon Estuary, with Limestone till dominating towards the outer extends of the study area with the exception of a large area west of the River Maigue which comprises alluvium.

The Geological Survey of Ireland (GSI) have identified Irish Geological Heritage Sites (IGHS) as part of their Irish Geological Heritage (IGH) Programme, a partnership between GSI and the NPWS. IGH sites within or intersecting the study area include:

Land and Soil (EPA, 2020)

The interactions between different types of human activity, such as farming, forestry and town and country planning, shapes our environment, landscape and biodiversity. Land is often subjected to competing demands from different sectors. National policies for forestry, agriculture, peatlands and the built environment influence land use change, land and soil resources

Urbanisation and building account for some of the biggest losses of soil areas in Ireland.

- Tory Hill (Lough Nagirra);
- Carrigogunnell (Masey's Bridge to the townland of Newton);
- Mungret Quarry;
- Rineanna Point, Shannon Estuary; and
- Ballcar South.

5.8.2 Soils

There is relatively little legislation relating directly to soil and soil protection at an international level and there is no legislation solely directed to soil protection in Ireland. In 2006, the EU published a Thematic Strategy for Soil Protection and introduced a proposed Soil Framework Directive; but in 2014 this was withdrawn. Soils are an immensely valuable, and finite, national resource, which forms and evolves slowly over very long periods of time and can easily be damaged and lost. Soil is a biologically active, complex mixture of weathered minerals (sand, silt and clay), organic matter, organisms, air and water that provides the foundation for life in terrestrial ecosystems. Soil types across the study area are illustrated in Figure 5.13 below. Subsoils within the study area are made up of marine deposits and tills, with some isolated patches of alluvium sediments. Soil quality within the study area is regarded as good with much of the land outside the Limerick and Shannon agglomerations used for pasture and permanent or arable cropping (Corine 2018, see Figure 5.22





Figure 5.13 Soils within the Study Area

5.8.3 Future Trends

Changes in geology are generally considered to happen over very long timescales, therefore baseline forecasting is not considered to be critical with regards to geology and soils over the lifetime of LSMATS. However, the NPWS are evaluating proposed IGH sites and, in the near future, some of these will be designated as NHAs and gain statutory protection. Soils are influenced by climate change and land management.

5.8.4 Key Issues related to LSMATS

The key issues in relation to geology and soils are:

- Potential for impacts on geological resources (primarily related to karst) or geological conditions to pose problems for construction or new transport links;
- Potential for impacts on geological designations;
- Potential for impacts on soil resources and soil quality;
- Potential impacts to soils (land) vulnerable to erosion; and
- Potential for unearthing contaminated material.

5.9 Air Quality

Ireland's air quality is generally good in comparison to other EU member states, largely down to the prevailing Atlantic air-flow and the absence of large cities and heavy industries. Ireland's air quality standards are dictated by the EU Directive on Ambient Air Quality and Cleaner Air for Europe (CAFE Directive 2008/50/EC). The EPA is responsible for monitoring the nation's levels of air pollutants within four zones as follows:

- Zone A: Dublin;
- Zone B: Cork;
- Zone C: Other cities and large towns in Ireland; and
- Zone D: Rural Ireland.

Limerick City, encompassing the electoral divisions of Ballycummin, Ballysimon, Ballyvarra, Limerick South Rural, Roxborough and Ballyglass, is situated in Zone C, with the rest of the study area categorised as Zone D: Rural Ireland. Air Quality (EPA, 2020)

Monitoring and research show that Ireland has air quality issues that need to be resolved. Poor air quality has implications for public health. Identified solutions need to be implemented for the causes of poor air quality, which mainly relate to the residential use of solid fuels for home heating, emissions from transport, especially from diesel and petrol engine passenger cars, and ammoniarelated emissions from livestock farming.

Jacobs

According to the most recent 'Air Quality in Ireland' report, there was one NOx exceedance of the EU annual average limit value in 2019 at an urban traffic station in Dublin due to pollution from transport, and exceedances of the more stringent WHO guidelines occurred at 33 locations mostly due to the burning of solid fuels. Air Quality Monitoring Sites have been set up in Limerick City and Shannon within the study area, and monitoring data⁴ shows that WHO guideline values for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} have been exceeded at Limerick City air quality monitoring sites within recent years.

In the State of the Environment Report, the EPA and WHO have estimated that more than 400,000 premature deaths are attributable to poor air quality in Europe annually, including 1,300 deaths in Ireland, and therefore the EPA recognises the importance of these more stringent limits.

⁴ Provided quarterly at: <u>https://www.limerick.ie/council/services/environment/environmental-control/air-quality#Reports</u>)

The biggest contributors to air pollution in Ireland are vehicle emissions, electricity generation, industry and agriculture (EPA, 2020).

5.9.1 Future Trends

Current air quality in Ireland is of an acceptable standard and remains within the European Union (EU) legislative and target values. However, ozone, particulate matter and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are emerging as pollutants of concern in the short term, when compared with World Health Organisation (WHO) guidelines and European Economic Area (EEA) reference level values. Levels of Nitrogen Oxide (NO) are also expected to increase.

Although air quality in Ireland is good, there is potential for emerging pollutants to rise above limits/targets in the future. Key contributors to emissions in Ireland are the transport and agriculture sectors.

5.9.2 Key Issues relating to LSMATS

The key issues in relation to air quality are:

- Temporary generation of air pollution during infrastructure construction;
- New or expanded transport networks could increase number of people affected by transport related air pollution; and
- Changes to traffic levels, transport modes and technological development will have implications for transport related air pollution emissions.

5.10 Noise and Vibration

Noise is defined as unwanted sound and can be harmful to human and natural ecosystem health. Noise pollution is an important health concern affecting quality of life and wellbeing, and road transport is one of the main sources of environmental noise pollution in Europe, as outlined in Section 5.3 of this report. According to WHO, noise is the second greatest environmental cause of health problems after air quality: *"Environmental noise leads to a disease burden that is second in magnitude only to that from air pollution, among environmental factors in Europe"*.

Land use planning to safeguard the protection of quiet areas not yet affected by noise can bring significant environmental health benefits. Other environmental aspects include the significant impacts from large transport infrastructural developments on both the human and natural environment, such as on-air quality, climate, land and soil. (EPA, 2020).

The importance of environmental noise is also recognised in the NPF which includes the National Policy Objective 65 to "Promote the pro-active management of noise where it is likely to have significant adverse impacts on health and quality of life and

Environmental Noise (EPA, 2020)

4.4 per cent of the urban population (equivalent to about 430,000 people, based on the Central Statistics Office 2016 census) are exposed to road noise levels above the Environmental Noise Directive (2002/49/EC) guideline values.

support the aims of the Environmental Noise Regulations through national planning guidance and Noise Action Plans."

The Noise Directive (2002/49/EC) relates to the assessment and management of environmental noise and was transposed into Irish national legislation via the Environmental Noise Regulations (S. I. No. 140 of 2006). This Directive called for the development of strategic noise maps and action plans for major roads, railways, airports and cities. Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII) are responsible for the development of the strategic noise maps for all national roads carrying in excess of 3 million vehicles a year. As well as mapping for 3,000 km of national roads, the 2017 phase of TII noise mapping also includes major non-national roads, although these remain under the jurisdiction of the relevant local authority.
All TII noise maps are presented in terms of two noise indicators: Lden and Lnight.

- Lden is the day-evening-night noise indicator and it represents the noise indicator for overall annoyance. It is "weighted" to account for extra annoyance in the evening and night periods.
- Lnight is the night time noise indicator and is used in the assessment of sleep disturbance.
- These indicators are based on year-long averages of the day (07:00-19:00), evening (19:00-23:00) and night (23:00-07:00) time periods.
- The Lden and Lnight TII Strategic Noise Modelling results for the study area are presented below in Figure 5.14 and Figure 5.15 respectively.

Final Limerick-Shannon Metropolitan Transport Strategy: Environmental Report

Jacobs



Figure 5.14 Map of Lden Transport Noise within and in proximity to the LSMA area



Figure 5.15 Map of Lnight Transport Noise within and in proximity to the LSMA area

LCCC Noise Action Plan 2018-2023 (LCCC, 2018) identifies dwellings and 14 'hotspots' where noise management activities should be prioritised based on current Lden and Lnight levels. Quiet areas are not indicated within this plan, although it is anticipated that they would be identified during the plan period. The draft CCCC Noise Action Plan 2018 (CCC, 2018) identifies 12 roads for which noise mapping indicates that land adjacent may be greater than the identified Lden threshold and sets out a programme for monitoring noise levels in these areas and mitigating where necessary. Quiet areas are not identified in the CCC Noise Action Plan.

5.10.1 Future Trends

Future noise trends are difficult to predict. The Environmental Noise Regulations 2006 may be revised in future to enforce a stricter level of noise management, and further strategic noise maps and plans may be developed.

5.10.2 Key Issues relating to LSMATS

The key issues in relation to noise and vibration are:

- Generation of noise during infrastructure construction;
- New or expanded transport networks could increase the number of people affected by transport noise pollution; and
- Changes to traffic levels, transport modes and technological development will have implications for transport related noise pollution.

5.11 Water Environment

Land transport construction and operational use have potential to impact the ecological status of waterbodies. Pollution by suspended solids and other pollutants are a potential significant problem where new infrastructure is constructed close to or over watercourses, particularly where this involves in-stream works, construction of culverts or river diversion, all of which can have severe negative impacts on invertebrate and plant life and on all life stages of fish. In addition, the run-off from roads and rail can contain contaminants from various sources, which can also impact negatively on aquatic life. Transport and strategic utilities infrastructure can be particularly vulnerable to flooding pressure as interruption of their function can have widespread effects well beyond the area that is flooded. For example, flooding of roads or railways can deny access to large areas beyond those directly affected by the flooding for the duration of the flood event, as well as causing long term damage to the road or railway itself.

The EU Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC) establishes a framework for the protection of both surface and groundwater. Transposing legislation outlines the water protection and water management measures required in Ireland to maintain high status of waters where it exists and to prevent any deterioration in existing water status:

- European Communities (Water Policy) Regulations, 2003 (S.I. No. 722 of 2003);
- European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Waters) Regulations, 2009 (S.I. No. 272 of 2009);
- European Communities Environmental Objectives (Groundwater) Regulations, 2010 (S.I. No. 9 of 2010);
- European Communities (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) Regulations, 2010 (S.I. No. 610 of 2010);
- European Communities (Technical Specifications for the Chemical Analysis and Monitoring of Water Status) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. No. 489 of 2011); and
- European Union (Water Policy) Regulations 2014 (S.I. No. 350 of 2014).

5.11.1 WFD Status

There are 23 rivers and six estuarine WFD waterbodies within the study area (see Figure 5.16). In terms of groundwater, there are 17 WFD groundwater bodies within the study area (see Figure 5.17). There are five classes of status for surface water bodies, and the status is determined by that of the poorest quality element. Table 5.4 summarises the WFD status of all water bodies within the LSMA including surface and groundwater over the period 2013-2018. All of the surface waterbodies and groundwater bodies within the study area are considered 'at risk' of not meeting WFD objectives

| Waterbody Type | High | Good | Moderate | Poor | Bad | Data unavailable | At risk |
|----------------------|------|------|----------|------|-------|---------------------|---------|
| Rivers | N/A | 1 | 4 | 2 | N/A | 2 | 9 |
| Transitional/estuary | N/A | 2 | 2 | 1 | N/A | N/A | 5 |
| Groundwater | N/A | 4 | N/A | N/A | N/A % | N/A | 4 |

Table 5.4 WFD Status of Rivers, Coastal Waters, Estuaries, Lakes and Groundwater (2013-2018)

Nationally, over 50% of Irish river and coastal waters are at good or high status but over 50% of estuarine and lacustrine waters are at moderate or less status. A long-term trend that has been previously observed is the decline in the number of high status river water bodies (DHPLG, 2017). It is generally understood that mercury and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) are widespread in the environment, a trend seen globally and in the EPA monitoring data for the WFD.





Figure 5.16 Surface Water Quality in the Study Area





5.11.2 River Basin Management Plan

The River Basin Management Plan is produced as part of the WFD requirements and is key to the protection of the water environment in Ireland. The first cycle of the River Basin Management Strategy (RBMP) ran from 2009-2015, where separate plans were devised for all eight River Basin Districts (RBDs) with the objective of achieving at least "good" status for all waters by 2015. The Shannon International River Basin District (IRBD), stretching from the source of the River Shannon in Counties Cavan and Fermanagh to the Dingle peninsula in north County Kerry, covers an area of more than 18,000 km². It includes the natural drainage basin of the Shannon River, which drains an extensive area of central Ireland. The Shannon IRBD is a largely rural district and water is critical to the local economy, supporting activities such as agriculture, forestry, aquaculture, power generation, industry, services, transport and tourism.

An EPA interim status assessment based on the results of monitoring up to 2008, classified the surface waters in the Shannon IRBD according to their ecological status and chemical status; groundwater is classified based on a system combining chemical and quantitative status:

- "42% of rivers and canals, 43% of lakes, 35% of estuaries and 27% of coastal waters are satisfactory, with high or good ecological status (percentage calculated by number);
- 57% of rivers and canals, 55% of lakes, and 35% of estuaries are less than good (moderate, poor or bad);
- 1% of canals, 2% of lakes, 30% of estuaries and 73% of coastal waters are yet to have status assigned;
- Most of the surface waters tested so far have good chemical status;
- 75% of groundwaters have good combined status."

The second cycle of the River Basin Management Plans merged the Eastern, South Eastern, Western, South Western and Shannon Districts to form one national RBD. The North Eastern, North Western and Neagh Bann RBDs remain the same and a single administrative area will be established in the Republic of Ireland to manage these areas. The River Basin Management Plan: 2018-2021 outlined that of the water bodies assessed to date:

- 44% are "Not at Risk";
- 30% are "At Risk" of not meeting their environmental objective of good or high status; and
- 26% are currently under review.

Water Quality (EPA, 2020)

Ireland has seen a continuing decline in high status water bodies and an increase in the number of water bodies in poor ecological health. Even more stark is the dramatic reduction in the number of our most pristine rivers, which have fallen in 30 years from over 500 sites in 1990 to only 20 sites in 2020. Rapid action is needed to protect our remaining pristine sites before they are lost. More urgent focus also needs to be given to protecting our estuaries, as these water bodies have the worst status overall and specific measures for their improvement and protection should be identified and implemented.

A number of significant environmental pressures have been identified and outlined in the RBMP 2018-2021, the most relevant of which to the LSMATS is Urban Run-off, including run-off from paved surfaces.

The third Cycle of the RBMP was published for consultation in September 2021 and identifies significant pressures in waterbodies in relation to hydromorphology, land use planning, agriculture, siltation and hazardous chemicals.

5.11.3

Floods Directive

Flooding is becoming a bigger issue in Ireland; the frequency of flood events has been increasing and with climate change, is expected to increase further. Increased flooding can cause pressure on transport infrastructure in terms of denying access to roads, railways or services, and long-term damage to roads and railways. The EU Floods Directive (2007/60/EC) required member states to develop Flood Risk Management Plans for areas of existing and future potentially significant flood risk. The Floods Directive was transposed into Irish law by the EU (Assessment and Management of Flood Risks) Regulations 2010 and sets out the responsibilities of Office of Public Works (OPW). The OPW has been implementing the Directive mainly through the Catchment-based Flood Risk Assessment and Management (CFRAM) Programme, identifying areas where risks associated with flooding might be significant (Areas of Further Assessment, or AFAs) and developing measures to address these risks. There are currently nine AFAs identified within the study area associated with either coastal/tidal, fluvial sources or pluvial sources.

- Shannon Airport.
- Shannon.
- Bunratty.
- Sixmilebridge.
- O'Brien's Bridge and Montpellier.
- Castleconnell.
- Springfield/Cloonlara.
- Limerick City and Environs.
- Clarina.

CRFAMS mapping for all AFAs, including the nine identified above, is available to view on the CFRAMS website (<u>http://maps.opw.ie/floodplans/fhr_map/en/</u>).

5.11.4 Relevant Resources

To deliver the requirements of the Floods Directive Local Authorities have produced Strategic Flood Risk Assessments (SFRA) for their region. There are several relevant SFRAs for the study area, including:

- LCDP 2010-2016
- Limerick City Local Area Plan 2021-2027
- Limerick City Southern Environs Local Area Plan 2021-2027
- CCDP 2017-2023
- Shannon Town and Environs Local Area Plan 2012-2018

5.11.5 Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Sources of Flooding

The study area is located in a complex hydrological location and is at risk of flooding from multiple sources.

Fluvial Flooding (flooding from rivers)

The LSMA is in the River Shannon catchment. The River Shannon flows through Limerick and flows to the south of Shannon. There are also several major tributaries of the River Shannon located within the study area:

- River Fergus
- Ralty River
- River Mulkear
- River Maigue
- Figure 5.18 below shows the fluvial flood risk map from the River Shannon CFRAM study.



Figure 5.18 CFRAM Fluvial Flood Risk Map (Source: https://www.floodinfo.ie/map/floodmaps/)

The CFRAM study flood model results show there is a potential flood risk from multiple river sources both within the urban and rural setting of the Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area.

The largest area at risk of flooding from the River Shannon to the North and East of Limerick and its Environs. These flood risk areas are within the natural flood plain of the River Shannon. There are some minor roads crossing these flood plains. The Limerick – Shannon rail line also passes through the flood plain.

Coastal Flood Risk (flooding from the sea due to high tides, storm surges, wave action)

The River Shannon in the location of the LSMA is estuarine and the river level is tidal. Many of the Shannon tributaries are also tidal in the study area. The study area is therefore likely to have areas that are at risk of coastal flooding. Figure 5.19 below shows the coastal flood risk map for the study area.

The coastal flood model results show there is a risk of coastal flooding in multiple locations throughout the study area.

Pluvial (flooding due to surface water)

The two primary sources of pluvial flooding are:

- Rainfall runoff from impermeable ground accumulating in low lying land; and
- Rainfall exceeding the capacity of the existing surface water network.
- Due to these mechanisms pluvial flooding is typically found within urban areas or areas with a large amount of impermeable ground such as roads.



Figure 5.19 Coastal flood risk map (source: https://www.floodinfo.ie/map/floodmaps/)

A pluvial flood analysis for the whole country identified areas that may be at risk of pluvial flooding in the future.

Groundwater flooding (flooding due to elevated groundwater level)

Groundwater flooding occurs when the level of the groundwater exceeds the surrounding ground level. Groundwater flooding usually occurs following extended rainfall and is generally associated with limestone geology in the west or Ireland. The GW Flood project by Geological Survey Ireland was initiated following extensive groundwater flooding over Winter 2015/16. It provides predictive groundwater flood maps for Ireland. Figure 5.20 below shows an extract from the predictive groundwater flood map for the study area.

The predictive groundwater flood map shows there are a few locations where there is a risk of groundwater flooding.



Figure 5.20 Predictive groundwater flood map (source: <u>https://dcenr.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=848f83c85799436b808652f9c735b1cc</u>)

Historic Flood Record

The OPW provides an online map showing locations of historic flood records for the entire country. The records are typically Local Authority reports and Newspaper articles. Figure 5.21 below shows the flood records within the study area.



Figure 5.21 Historic Flood Record (source: <u>https://www.floodinfo.ie/map/floodmaps/)</u>

5.11.6 Future Trends

Ireland currently has a good understanding of the causes of water pollution, due to the implementation of the WFD. Proposed future development must meet the requirements of the WFD and aim to drive improvements and maintenance of water quality in the short term and provide a basis for the continued maintenance of good status in the future.

The EPA will continue to monitor the status of surface and groundwater bodies, throughout implementation of the second cycle of RBMP.

With the publication of the OPW FRMPs there will be a number of flood management projects rolled out across the country in the next number of years, and like the RBMP, these will be updated on a five-year cycle.

5.11.7 Key Issues related to LSMATS

The key issues in relation to the Water Environment are:

- Potential pressures and impacts on water body status from the construction of transport infrastructure i.e. increased sedimentation and accidental spillages;
- Potential pressures and impacts on water body status from the operation of new transport infrastructure i.e. accidental fuel spillages and increased road run-off;
- Potential for new transport infrastructure to contribute towards increased flooding; and
- Potential for climate change and the effects of climate change, such as increased flooding, to impede access to transport infrastructure or damage transport infrastructure.

5.12 Land Use and Materials Assets

SEA legislation includes "*Material Assets*" as a topic to be addressed in SEA, however it does not clearly define what this topic includes. For the purpose of this SEA Scoping Report, Material Assets are considered to be the natural and built assets (non-cultural assets) required to enable a settlement to function as a place to live and

work, in giving them material value. Land Use is also a topic to be addressed in the SEA, and is closely related to natural material assets, therefore natural assets which include agricultural land, peatlands and forestry will also be considered within the land use/material assets topic.

Built assets can include infrastructure relating to transport, energy generation/distribution, water supply and waste water management, waste management, buildings and residential and social infrastructure such as housing, healthcare facilities, schools, greenspace and cycle paths.

5.12.1 Land Use (Natural Assets)

Information on land use within the study area can be obtained from the CORINE Land Cover inventory, see Figure 5.22. County Limerick is part of the Golden Vale, one of Europe's most noted grassland and dairy areas. The value of agriculture in Limerick is valued at over €400 million (LCCC). As can be seen from Figure 5.22, a significant proportion of land within this study area, including both County Clare and County Limerick, comprises pasture or other agricultural cultivation purposes.





Figure 5.22 CORINE landcover

5.12.2 Built Material Assets (Transport)

Transport infrastructure including road, rail, canals, airports and ports are some of the most important material assets in Ireland.

Shannon International Airport is one of the three main airports servicing the country, along with Dublin and Cork. International air travel is an essential driver of inward direct investment, and surface access to Ireland's airports is crucial for both arriving and departing passengers as well as those working in and around the airport and the movement of freight through the airports.

Shannon Foynes Port Company, located just outside the study area, is recognised as a Port of National Significance (Tier 1). It is currently connected to the national motorway network at Limerick via secondary road network and the improvement of the road connection to Shannon Foynes was identified as a priority in the National Ports Policy (DTTaS, 2013).

5.12.3 Future Trends

Natural Assets / Land Use

There is uncertainty regarding the impact of Brexit on the Irish agricultural industry, and it is difficult to anticipate how demand or requirement for agricultural land may alter in the future at this point in time. In addition, there will be changes in land use within the study area as economic regeneration plans are realised and population levels increase (particularly in the urban and suburban Limerick area).

Built Material Assets (Transport)

Demand on existing transport infrastructure is likely to increase in the future as a result of population increase, directly and indirectly resulting from the significant employment growth within the study area anticipated over the next 20 years (see Section 5.3.4).

5.12.4 Key Issues relating to LSMATS

The key issues in relation to the land use/material assets topic are:

- Likely increase in the demand for transport within Ireland due to economic growth and development, particularly within urban populations;
- Over-reliance on private car and related pressures for supporting infrastructure;
- Building materials used, their manufacture and management and/or disposal or waste generated from new transport infrastructure;
- Temporary or permanent loss and fragmentation of valuable natural assets such as agricultural land, forests and peatlands; during construction and/or operation of transport options; and
- Effects of construction on current infrastructure such as road/rail/waterway networks.

5.13 Climate Change

5.13.1 Climate Change and Transport

The National Mitigation Strategy sets out the key elements in relation to transport:

- Increasing the efficiency of the transport system by making the most of digital technologies, smart pricing and further encouraging the shift to lower emission transport modes;
- Speeding up the deployment of low-emission alternative energy for transport, such as advanced biofuels, renewable electricity and renewable synthetic fuels and removing obstacles to the electrification of transport; and
- Moving towards zero-emission vehicles. While further improvements to the internal combustion engine will be needed, Europe needs to accelerate the transition towards ultra-low- and zero-emission vehicles.

Ireland's emissions profile has changed considerably since 1990, with the contribution from transport increasing by 130% between 1990 and 2015. The transport sector has been the fastest growing source of greenhouse gas emissions over the period, representing 27.5% of Ireland's non-ETS sector emissions in 2015⁵. The transport share of overall national greenhouse gas emissions increased from 9% in 1990 to almost 20% in 2005 and remains now at that 20% level, as illustrated in

Figure 5.23 below. During this period, there was a significant increase in both economic output and car ownership levels, from around 800,000 cars in 1990 to close to two million in 2015 (+149%). (DCCAE, 2017).



Figure 5.23 Emissions per Sector (%)

⁵ It should be noted that these figures include all transport including sea and aviation, however LSMATS only concerns land transport.

5.13.2 Legislative Background

The National Policy Position on Climate Action and Low Carbon Development and the Climate Action and Low Carbon Development Act 2015 provide the policy framework for climate action at national level in Ireland. At EU and United Nations level, there are a number of strategies and policies that set out the requirements for national mitigation and adaptation. In summary, these policies and strategies are looking to minimise global temperature rise to 1.5°C. In order to do so, Ireland's aim is to achieve greenhouse gas emission reductions for non-Emission Trading Scheme⁶ (ETS) sectors by at least 20% of 2005 levels by 2020 and 40% of 1990 levels by 2030.

Ireland has adopted its first National Climate Change Adaptation Framework in 2012 which aims to ensure that adaptation actions are taken across all sectors from a national to local level to reduce vulnerability to climate change.

In 2015, Ireland adopted the Climate Action and Low Carbon

Climate Change (EPA, 2020)

Transport is the fastest growing sector in terms of GHG emissions and will require a multi-faceted response in terms of mitigation solutions. Electric vehicles currently occupy a central position in terms of a policy response in Ireland, with the Climate Action Plan envisaging at least 936,000 electric vehicles, both passenger and commercial, on the road by 2030 and additional charging infrastructure to cater for planned growth.

Development Act 2015 which provides an approach for the transition to a low carbon economy, by integrating the framework for two statutory plans: the National Mitigation Strategy (Department of Communications, Climate Action and Environment, 2017) and the National Adaptation Framework.

The National Mitigation Strategy sets out a series of mitigation measures and actions to address the challenges of meeting the 2020 and 2030 targets. Ireland's key focus to achieve its emissions target is to reduce emissions from its largest contributing sectors: agriculture, transport and energy. Ireland has adopted its first National Adaptation Framework in 2018 which aims to ensure that adaptation actions are taken across all sectors from a national to local level to reduce vulnerability to climate change. A sectoral National Adaptation Plan for the transport sector is also currently under preparation which sets out the priority climate concerns for the transport sector, presents the links between climate impacts and risks to infrastructure in Ireland (Department for Transport, Tourism and Sport, 2019).

The Climate Action Plan 2019 puts in place a decarbonisation pathway to 2030 which would be consistent with the adoption of a net zero target in Ireland by 2050. It also commits to evaluating in detail the changes which would be necessary in Ireland to achieve this target, setting these out through a combination of targets, measures and actions to support decarbonisation across a range of sectors.

Limerick was awarded the European Green Leaf in 2020 in recognition for its commitment to better environmental outcomes, which includes measures to help residents reduce their carbon footprint.

5.13.3 Future Trends

Under the Climate Action Plan 2019 Ireland's 2030 target is to reduce GHG emissions by 30% compared with 2005 levels, with annual limits ensuring the required downwards trajectory to 2030. Projections published in 2020 indicate that under the 'with existing measures' scenario Ireland will exceed the carbon budget of 378.3 Mt CO₂ eq by 50.8 Mt CO₂ eq over the period 2021-2030. Under the 'with additional measures' scenario, the projections indicate that Ireland will have a minimum surplus of approximately 8.9 Mt CO₂ eq over the period 2021-2030 (EPA, 2020).

⁶ These sectors cover agriculture, transport, built environment (residential, commercial/institutional), waste and non-energy intensive industry.

Increases in transport emissions have been recorded for 5 out of the last 7 years as the economy has grown and transport movements have increased. Figure 5.24 shows the projected changes in CO₂ emissions required across the transport sector in line with the 2050 target pathway as set out in the National Policy Position.



Figure 5.24 Projected GHG emissions by sector (source: EPA, 2020)

5.13.4 Key Issues in relation to LSMATS

The key issues in relation to climate change are:

- Increased pressure on and damage to transport infrastructure due to more incidents of heavy rainfall;
- More frequent and damaging storms resulting in damage to assets and loss of power;
- More incidents of heavy rainfall leading to spot flooding which can impede access to transport infrastructure;
- Sea level rise causing flooding and resulting in increased road run-off contaminating water sources;
- Carbon emissions from energy use and the requirement for energy efficiency; and
- The location of the future transport infrastructure (existing or planned) should take into account flood risk and the location of any proposed flood defence schemes.

6. SEA Scope and Methodology

6.1 Scope of Assessment

Table 6.1 sets out the scope of the SEA for each of the ten environmental topic areas scoped into further assessment in the SEA Scoping Report (Jacobs, 2019). Further detail regarding key issues and considerations for each topic area are provided in Chapter 5.

| Topic Area | | Scope | | Potential for | |
|---|---|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|--|
| | | Construction | Operation | Transboundary Effects | |
| Population, Human Health, Socioeconomics and Access | Access to employment and recreational amenities Access to walking and cycling infrastructure | ~ | ~ | x | |
| Tourism and Recreation | Tourism industry and large scale recreational assets | 4 | 4 | x | |
| Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna | Potential impacts on international, national and locally designated sites | 4 | 4 | х | |
| Landscape and Visual Amenity | Landscape character and visual amenity | 4 | ✓ | х | |
| Cultural Heritage | Designated assets and unknown archaeological remains | ~ | ~ | x | |
| Geology and soils | Availability of soil resources Karst limestone – pollution pathways and geotechnical stability | ✓ | ✓ | x | |
| Air Quality | Construction activities and motorised transport | √ | 4 | х | |
| Noise and Vibration | Construction activities and motorised transport | 4 | 4 | x | |
| Water Environment | Potential impacts on water quality and flood risk | 4 | 4 | x | |
| Land Use and Material Assets | Conflicts with existing or planned land uses | 4 | 4 | х | |
| Climate Change | Energy consumption and carbon emissions Resilience of new infrastructure to climate change | ✓ | ~ | х | |

6.2 Method of Assessment

6.2.1 Overall Approach

The SEA assesses each element of the Preferred Strategy against the SEOs identified in Table 6.2 within matrices.

The assessment of the effects that are expected to occur from the implementation of measures under each element of Preferred Strategy are based on technical judgement and knowledge of similar schemes. Where it is known that standard procedures are already in place to manage the impacts, or there is legislation in place to protect the receptor against the identified potential impacts, this is also recorded.

The methodology for the assessment was developed in accordance with the following EPA⁷ guidance and research reports:

- Developing and Assessing Alternatives in Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA);
- Guidance on SEA Statements and Monitoring;
- Integrating Climatic Factors into Strategic Environmental Assessment in Ireland A Guidance Note;
- Good practice guidance on Cumulative Effects Assessment in SEA; and
- Tiering of Environmental Assessment The influence of Strategic Environmental Assessment on Projectlevel Assessment⁸

The assessment takes the procedures or legislative protection identified below into account, since they would be implemented regardless of the SEA process:

- Studies and Surveys:
- Feasibility and scheme option studies aimed at avoiding adverse effects on designated sites and protected structures.
- Studies, surveys and consultation on environmental effects of development proposed under the LSMATS following relevant good practice guidance to inform design. Identify relevant mitigation and to support appropriate planning permission and licencing processes.
- Local residents provided with due notice of construction works.
- Ensure safe access along public right of way (PRoW) for pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians, proving diversions where necessary.
- Implementation of traffic management measures to minimise disruption to the highway network, including, where possible, limitation of works within peak periods or times.
- Any disruption to road and rail network to be agreed in advance through relevant transport authorities.
- European Protected Species Mitigation Licence (EPSML) to be obtained for all works which may affect a European protected species.
- A suitably qualified and experienced Ecological Clerk of Works (EcoW) to carry out site supervision works during activities that affect sensitive habitats and species, ensure that site specific mitigation identified following surveys is undertaken and that any previously unidentified impacts are managed and mitigated.

⁷ Monitoring & Assessment: Assessment Publications | Environmental Protection Agency (epa.ie)

⁸ Tiering of Environmental Assessment – The influence of Strategic Environmental Assessment on Project-level Assessment (August 2021)

- Appropriate ordinary watercourse consents and environmental permits to be obtained for construction activities in or near water.
- Best practice measures to control noise, air and water pollution and risks of INNS transfer in accordance with the relevant EPA guidance.
- Full restoration of PRoW, recreational areas, habitats, agricultural land and previously undeveloped land required for temporary works.
- All footpaths, cycleways and other recreational routes would be reconnected where severed by new road infrastructure.
- The following assumptions have also been made:
 - Alignments/locations of new or improved infrastructure required to support objectives can be managed such that no loss or land take from built heritage assets or recreational facilities is required, except where specifically indicated within the assessment tables provided in Appendix B.

The SEA assesses each element of the Strategy against the SEOs identified in Table 6.2 within matrices. Recommendations for specific mitigation to help avoid or reduce the potential impacts or to contribute to achieving objectives have been identified as part of the SEA assessment. An assessment of significance has been recorded with mitigation in place to address how the effects will change following implementation of the SEA mitigation recommendations and provide an assessment of the residual effects.

6.2.2 Determination of Significance

The significance of the effect was determined based on the sensitivity of the receptor, the scale of the change and the current level of certainty regarding materialisation of the impact identified. Using this method, a sensitive receptor (for example a European designated site) may only require a small change to be considered as a significant effect. Alternatively, a less sensitive environment may tolerate a larger change and may therefore be judged as a minor or no effect.

The effects can be beneficial or adverse as indicated by colour and by the + and – symbol and shown in Table 6.3. The effects are assessed both before and after the identification of mitigation. The assessment of significance takes into account the likelihood of the effect occurring, the severity of the effect and the spatial extent (i.e. how large an area, or size of population) would be affected.

A description of the expected nature of identified effects has been given, for example whether they are cumulative, direct/indirect, short-term/ long-term, negative, positive, mixed positive and negative or neutral., in accordance with Schedule 2, part (f) of the SEA Directive and Schedule 2B of the Planning and Development (SEA) Regulations, 2004 (as amended).

| SEC | s | Key Linked SEA Topics | Criteria | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | Population, Socio-economics, Access, and Human Health. Tourism and Recreation. | Is there potential to: Affect public health and quality of life in terms of improved access to transport to jobs, schools, shops and other community facilities? Avoid creation of barriers to access from linear infrastructure? Reduce journey times for commuting? Improve access for rural populations dependent on land transport? Improve quality of travel and access to information? Improve physical access for all mobility impaired people? Raise public awareness of opportunities for more sustainable transport or more active travel? Avoid impacts on public health and wellbeing from increased traffic related to congestion, noise and air quality? Support local economic development for employment and community facilities? Support resilience against effects of Brexit on the economy? | | | |
| 2 | Avoid damage to recreation and amenity facilities through construction of new transport infrastructure and support and enhance access for tourism recreation. | Tourism and Recreation. Population, Socio-economics, Access, and Human Health. Land Use and Material Assets. | Is there potential to result in: Loss of or enhanced access to recreational amenity, footpaths or access to recreational amenity (including water-based recreation); Loss of or enhanced access to key tourism attractions in Ireland? | | | |
| 3 | Prevent damage to, and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna. Landscape and Visual Amenity. Geology and Soils. Land Use and Materials. | Is there potential to result in significant or adverse effects on: European; (Natura 2000) or species protected in Annex II and IV of Habitats Directive and Annex I of Birds Directive? Nationally designated sites NHA's and pNHAs? Local, county or national biodiversity including Irish Biodiversity Action Strategy objectives? | | | |

Table 6.2 SEA Objectives and Assessment Criteria



| SEC |)s | Key Linked SEA Topics | Criteria | | | |
|-----|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 4 | Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | Landscape and Visual Amenity. Cultural Heritage. Tourism and Recreation. Population, Socio-economics, Access and Human Health. Land Use and Materials. | Is there potential to: Affect sensitive landscapes such as seascapes, townscapes and river views or visual amenity, for example are there impacts to landscape protection zones or scenic views or routes? | | | |
| 5 | Avoid damage to, and where appropriate enhance, cultural heritage resources and their setting. | Cultural Heritage. Landscape and Visual Amenity. Tourism and Recreation. | Is there potential to: Cause direct damage to, or detract from the setting of, designated cultural heritage assets, or does this contribute to protecting them (including marine based archaeology, old bridges and railway corridors and undiscovered archaeology)? | | | |
| 6 | Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of soil resources and contribute towards the appropriate management of soil resources and quality. | Geology and Soils. Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna. | Would there be any effects on: Any designated or non-designated geological features, valuable soils or contaminated land sites? | | | |
| 7 | Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in air pollution. | Air Quality. Population, Socio-economics, Access and Health. | Is there potential to contribute to improvements to air quality or to increase air pollution? Is there a potential to breach air quality standards? | | | |
| 8 | Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in noise pollution. | Noise and Vibration. Population, Socio-economics, Access and Health. | Is there potential to: reduce or increase the number of people exposed to high levels of transport related noise? | | | |
| 9 | Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid increasing risks from floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk. | Water Environment. Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna. | Is there potential for: Non-temporary deterioration of waterbody status or conflict with or contribute to potential to achieve WFD objectives for achieving "Good" status (ground and surface water)? Is there a potential for the Strategy to increase flood risk or result in loss of flood plain? | | | |



| SEC |)s | Key Linked SEA Topics | Criteria |
|-----|---|---|--|
| 10 | Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | Land Use and Material Assets. Geology and Soils. Water Environment. | Is there potential for: Conflicts with critical infrastructure, or does the Strategy conflict with existing business, planned land use or valuable agricultural land? Does the Strategy encourage: Reuse of existing transport infrastructure and/or brownfield sites? Energy security by reducing use of fossil fuels? Use of renewable energy fuel sources? |
| 11 | Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks, modal changes or new technologies. | Climate Change (Mitigation). | Will there be: High increase in the level of construction and operational carbon emissions or will proposals contribute to meeting future emission targets? |
| 12 | To ensure that the resilience to climate change is designed for existing transport network and new network and promote improved environmental resilience to climate change. | Climate Change (Adaptation). Population, Socio-economics, Access and Health. Land Use and Material Assets. | Will there be: Increased vulnerability or resilience of the environment and transport and other strategic infrastructure to climate change? |



Table 6.3 Scale of Effects

| Description of Effect | Effect |
|---|--------|
| The draft strategy objective / recommended investment measure is likely to have a significant positive effect on the environmental receptors associated with this objective. | + |
| The draft strategy objective / recommended investment measure may have neutral or significant positive effects on the environmental receptors associated with this objective depending on how the policy or objective is delivered. | 0/+ |
| The draft strategy objective / recommended investment measure is likely to have mixed significant positive & negative effects on the environmental receptors associated with this objective. | +/- |
| The draft strategy objective/ recommended investment measure is likely to have a neutral effect on the environmental receptors associated with this objective. | 0 |
| The draft strategy objective / recommended investment measure may have neutral or significant negative effects on the environmental receptors associated with this objective depending on how the policy or objective is delivered. | 0/- |
| The draft strategy objective / recommended investment measure is likely to have a significant negative effect on the environmental receptors associated with this objective. | - |
| The draft strategy objective / recommended investment measure effects are uncertain/there is insufficient information on which to determine effect on potential environmental receptors associated with this objective at this stage. | ? |

6.2.3 Strategy Alternatives

The SEA Directive requires the SEA process to identify and describe *"reasonable alternative"* means of achieving the objectives of the LSMATS. It states under Article 5(1) that;

"Where an environmental assessment is required under Article 3(1), an environmental report shall be prepared in which the likely significant effects on the environment of implementing the plan or programme, and reasonable alternatives taking into account the objectives and the geographical scope of the plan or programme, are identified, described and evaluated."

The reasons for selecting (a) the alternatives and (b) the preferred alternative must be documented, together with a description of how this assessment of alternatives was undertaken.

"Within Strategy" and "Whole Strategy" alternative scenarios have been assessed against the SEOs and clear justification for the selection of the alternative/combination of alternatives as the preferred strategy is provided. Criteria for the assessment of the effects on Strategy alternative scenarios are shown in Table 6.4.



6.2.4

Cumulative Effects

Table 6.4 Assessment Criteria for Effects on Alternatives on SEOs

| Description of Effects | Effect |
|---|--------|
| Strategy alternative is likely to make a greater positive contribution to SEO or greatly improve likelihood of delivery of positive effects and reduce risk of adverse effects. | ++ |
| Strategy alternative has potential to provide a positive contribution to SEO or improve likelihood of delivery of positive effects and reduce risk of adverse effects. | + |
| Strategy alternative has no identifiable difference from other alternatives in terms of actual or potential contribution or conflict with SEO or risk of effects. | 0 |
| Strategy alternative has potential to conflict to a greater extent with SEO or increase risk of adverse effects. | - |
| Strategy alternative is likely to conflict to a greater extent with SEO or greater increased risk of adverse effects. | |

Cumulative effects can be described as the addition of many small impacts to create one larger, more significant, impact. They can be either:

- Additive effects: the addition of many minor or significant effects to create larger, more significant effects. Therefore, effects that arise, for instance, where several developments (such as multiple options) each have insignificant effects but together have a significant effect; or where several individual effects of the Strategy (for example noise, dust and visual) have a combined effect (in-combination effects).
- Synergistic effects: "Where the resultant effect is of greater significance than the sum of its constituents." Synergistic effects often happen as habitats, resources or human communities get close to capacity. For instance, a wildlife habitat can become progressively fragmented with limited effects on a particular species until the last fragmentation makes the areas too small to support the species.

Both intra-plan and inter-plan cumulative effects have been considered within the SEA for LSMATS:

- Intra-plan cumulative effects these arise from the interactions between different types of environmental effects resulting from a plan, programme, etc. The interrelationships between environmental components that help determine these effects are identified on Table 6.5 e.g. interrelationships between: human health and air quality; human health and water quality; air quality and vegetation; human health and flood risk; and ecology and water quality.
- Intra-plan cumulative effects have been considered within the assessment of the Preferred Strategy summarised in Section 7.3 and provided in detail for each element of the Preferred Strategy in Appendix B. For example, the assessment against SEO 1 (Population and Health) considers impacts associated with changes in air and noise pollutant levels, access to recreational facilities, impacts other essential infrastructure and visual impacts associated with new infrastructure construction.
- Inter-plan cumulative effects these arise when the effects of the implementation of one Strategy occur in combination with those of other plans, programmes, projects, etc. With regard to potential inter-Plan cumulative environmental effects, these occur as a result of the combination of; environmental effects which are identified by the assessment; and the effects arising from other policies, plans and programmes. Inter-plan cumulative effects are considered within Section 7.3.3. Inter-plan cumulative effects have been assessed only as having a likely positive effect or likely negative effect base on professional judgment.

Table 6.5 Interrelated Strategic Environmental Assessment topics

| Tourism and Recreation | Y | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna | Y | Y | | | | | | | | |
| Landscape and Visual Amenity | Y | Y | Y | | | | | | | |
| Cultural Heritage (Archaeological and Architectural) | Y | Y | Ν | Y | | | | | | |
| Geology and Soils | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | | | | | |
| Air Quality | Y | Υ | Y | Ν | N | Ν | | | | |
| Noise and Vibration | Y | Y | Y | Ν | Ν | Ν | N | | | |
| Water Environment | Y | Y | Y | Y | γ | Y | N | N | | |
| Land Use and Material Assets | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | |
| Climate Change | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | Y | Y |
| | Population, Economy & Human Health | Tourism and Recreation | Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna | Landscape and Visual Amenity | Cultural Heritage (Archaeological and | Geology and Soils | Air Quality | Noise and Vibration | Water Environment | Land Use and Material Assets |

6.2.5 Appropriate Assessment

As described in Section 2.3.3, all Natura 2000 sites (SPAs, SACs and Ramsar sites, including candidate and potential sites) are the subject of a separate strategic AA, in accordance with the Birds and Natural Habitats Regulations 2011. This has been carried out in parallel with the SEA and has fed into the SEA on International and European site issues, including the assessment of cumulative effects. The Natura Impact Statement (NIS) has been published alongside this document.

7. Environmental Assessment of Preferred Plan and Alternatives

This chapter provides the environmental assessment of the draft LSMATS (the preferred Strategy) as well as:

- "Within Strategy" alternatives different options considered for the various elements of the LSMATS; and
- *"Whole Strategy"* alternatives- alternative ways in which the *"Within Strategy"* options considered can be combined in a way which fulfils the brief of the LSMATS.

Likely positive and negative environmental effects associated with different "*Within Strategy*" and "*Whole Strategy*" alternatives are identified, and the development of the Preferred Strategy summarised with reference to the role of environmental considerations within decision making.

7.1 "Within Strategy" Alternatives

Demand analysis (Jacobs, 2019) shows that public transport is the transport mode which has greatest potential for improvement within the LSMATS. Therefore, the first step in development of the draft LSMATS was to consider the public transport network options. During initial demand modelling analysis, the LSMA was divided into several corridors based around the national and regional transport networks around a central city centre core. The demand corridors identified were:

- Corridor A: King's Island, Westbury and Parteen;
- Corridor B: The University, South Clare SDZ, Annacotty, Castletroy, Garryowen and Castleconnell;
- Corridor C: Roxboro;
- Corridor D: Dooradoyle, Raheen and Ballinacurra;
- Corridor E: Mungret and Ballinacurra;
- Corridor F: Moyross, Clareview, Caherdavin, Shannon, Bunratty, Sixmilebridge and Cratloe; and
- Orbital movements.

For each corridor (corridor D and E were considered as one for the purposes of the options appraisal process) and for orbital services, the following public transport alternatives were considered:

- Bus services;
- Bus rapid transit;
- Light rail transit; and
- Suburban rail.

A Multi Criteria Assessment (MCA) in line with the CAF was undertaken for each public transport alternative and corridor, which considered aspects including economy, environment, safety, integration and accessibility and inclusion. Further details regarding the process and outcomes of the MCA assessment are provided in the LSMATS Transport Options and Network Development Report (NTA, 2020). The MCA concluded that that bus services were the preferred option for all corridors and for orbital movements for the following reasons:

- Demand is significantly below the capacity of light rail transit and suburban rail, and also below the demand capacity for bus rapid transit. Given the high construction and operating costs of these options, they would not provide value for money.
- Suburban light rail only enhances accessibility along existing rail routes, and whilst bus rapid transit and light rail transit provide better opportunities to improve accessibility than suburban rail, they are still subject to significant infrastructure constraints.

Table 7.1 provides an assessment of these "Within Strategy" public transport alternatives for all options.

Table 7.1 Assessment of "Within Strategy" public transport alternatives against SEOs

| SEO | Bus s | Bus services | | Bus rapid transit | | Light rail transit | | Suburban rail | |
|---|---|--|--------|--|--------|---|--------|--|--|
| | Effec | Narrative | Effect | Narrative | Effect | Narrative | Effect | Narrative | |
| 1 Protect and er quality of life in r to transport increasing accessib economic, emplo and community fac | nhance relation while bility to byment cilities. ++ | Likely reduction in transport related air and noise pollution as a result of modal shift towards public transport, with secondary benefit to public health from likely increase in active travel journeys. Higher safety rate associated with this option compared to private transport due to the segregation from other road users. | ÷ | Similar positive impacts for public health as identified against bus services option, however accessibility likely to be limited for some areas of the LSMATS due to space constraints would mean degree of positive impact likely reduced relative to bus services. | ÷ | As described against bus services option, but as for bus rapid transit, access in some areas will be limited due to the constraints of existing infrastructure resulting in reduced accessibility. | ÷ | As described against bus services option, however improvements in accessibility limited to those populations who have access to existing rail network with limited options to improve accessibility through transport links to the wider LSMATS, especially with urban areas. | |
| 2 Avoid damage recreation and a facilities th construction of transport infrastr and support and er access for t recreation. | to menity hrough new ructure nhance courism | Potential for negative impacts on recreational and amenity facilities primarily as a result of land take. However negative impacts anticipated to be least likely under this option as bus services could make use of existing highway infrastructure to a significant degree. | | Increased potential for negative impacts on recreational and amenity facilities relative to bus services option as increased degree new infrastructure required. | | As per bus rapid transit option. | | As per bus rapid transit option. | |

| SEO | Bus services | | Bus rapid transit | | Light rail transit | | Suburban rail | |
|---|--------------|---|-------------------|---|--------------------|---|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Effect | Narrative | Effect | Narrative | Effect | Narrative | Effect | Narrative |
| 3 Prevent damage to, maintain, and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | - | Potential for negative impacts on biodiversity primarily as a result of land take. However negative impacts anticipated to be least likely under this option as bus services could make use of existing highway infrastructure to a significant degree. | - | Increased potential for negative impacts on recreational and amenity facilities relative to bus services option as increased degree new infrastructure required. | | As per bus rapid transit option. | | As per bus rapid transit option. |
| 4 Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | - | Bus service routes would predominately follow the existing highway network and therefore would have a minimal effect on visuals and landscape due to the existing traffic present. | - | Similar benefits to preferred option as bus rapid transit routes would predominately follow the existing highway network, however slight increased risk of negative effects as increased degree of new infrastructure required. | | Increased potential for negative impacts on landscape and visual due to the construction of the infrastructure needed to facilitate light rail transit. | | As per light rail transit option. |
| 5 Avoid damage to, maintain, and where appropriate enhance, cultural heritage resources and their setting. | - | Bus service routes would predominately follow the existing highway network and therefore risks to known or unknown archaeology and integrity or setting of built heritage assets lowest under this option. | - | Bus rapid transit routes would predominately follow the existing highway network, however slightly increased risk of loss/damage to archaeology where increase to footprint of the existing road network is | | Increased potential impacts to heritage and setting due to the greater requirement for new infrastructure and land take in order to facilitate | | As per light rail option. |

| SEO | Bus services | | Bus rapid transit | | Light rail transit | | Suburban rail | |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|---|---------------|--|
| | Effect | Narrative | Effect Narrative E | | Effect Narrative | | Effect | Narrative |
| | | | | required and increased risk of negative effects on setting of built heritage assets. | | light rail transit option. | | |
| 6 Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of soil resources and contribute towards the appropriate management of soil resources and quality. | - | Bus service routes would predominately follow the existing highway network and therefore risks to known or unknown archaeology and integrity or setting of built heritage assets lowest under this option. | - | Bus rapid transit routes would predominately follow the existing highway network, however slightly increased risk of loss/damage to valuable soils where increase to footprint of the existing road network is required. | | Increased potential for damage to geological sites of value and soil resources due to greater degree of new infrastructure required to facilitate light rail transit option. | - | Increased potential for damage to geological sites of value and soil resources where new rail infrastructure required to facilitate suburban rail option. However, within some areas there are existing disused rail lines that can be reopened which would have little impact on geological sites of value or soil resources. |
| 7 Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in air pollution. | ++ | Likely reduction in transport related air pollutant emissions associated with modal shift form private car usage. | ++ | As per bus services option. | ++ | As per bus services option. | ++ | As per bus services option. |
| 8 Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from | ++ | Likely reduction in noise pollution associated with modal shift away from private vehicle to public transport. | ++ | As per bus services option. | ++ | As per bus services option. | ++ | As per bus services option. |

| SEO | Bus services | | Bus rapid transit | | Light rail transit | | Suburban rail | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|-------------------|--|--------------------|--|---------------|--|--|
| | Effect Narrative Effe | | Effect | Narrative | Effect Narrative | | Effect | Narrative | |
| reduction in noise pollution. | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid increasing risks from floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk. | 0 | Potential for increase in flood risk associated with additional impermeable infrastructure needed, however risk of adverse effects considered lowest under this option. | - | Slight increase in likelihood of negative effects on flood risk relative to bus services option due to increased requirement for road widening. | | As per bus rapid transit option. | | As per bus rapid transit option private vehicle use on the road. | |
| 10 Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | - | Some degree of land take likely required to support this option, however requirements likely to be less than for alternative options. | | Bus rapid transit option would likely require an increased level of new infrastructure construction to facilitate separate lanes for buses relative to the bus service option, as well as increased land take. | | Increased level of new infrastructure and land take would be required to facilitate the light rail transit option, with greater degree of materials consumption and land take likely. | | Increased level of additional infrastructure would be required to facilitate the suburban rail option in some areas, with greater materials consumption and land take likely. Some existing infrastructure could be reused however. | |
| 11 Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks. | ++ | Modal shift away from private vehicle usage would likely reduce transport related carbon emissions. However, there would be a degree of embodied carbon emissions associated with new infrastructure | ÷ | Implementation of the bus rapid transit would likely require an increased level of new infrastructure construction to facilitate separate lanes for buses relative to the preferred option. | ÷ | Less benefit to preferred option as construction required to facilitate the light rail transit would contribute to climate change, As for preferred option. likely | + | As per light rail transit. | |

| SEO | Bus services | | Bus rapid transit | | Light ra | ail transit | Suburban rail | | |
|--|--------------|--|-------------------|---|----------|--|---------------|---|--|
| | Effect | Narrative | Effect | Narrative | Effect | Narrative | Effect | Narrative | |
| Contribute to the reduction in transport related GHG emissions through modal changes or new technologies. | | construction. Embodied carbon emissions anticipated to be lowest under this option. | | As for bus services likely reduction in GHG emissions associated with modal shift towards public transport. | | reduction in GHG emissions associated with modal shift towards public transport. | | | |
| 12 To ensure that the resilience to climate change is designed for existing transport network and new network and promote improved environmental resilience to climate change. | 0 | No significant effect against this SEO considered likely. | 0 | No identifiable difference to bus services option. | 0 | No identifiable difference to bus services option. | 0 | No identifiable difference to bus services option. | |

7.2 "Whole Strategy" Alternatives

Following identification of the bus network option as the preferred public transport network option, modelling was undertaken using the NTAs Mid-West Transport Model (MWTM) to develop and refine the draft LSMATS including public transport, road, rail, cycling, walking and demand management measures to develop the preferred strategy network.

Prior to modelling, initial bus routes and frequencies for each of the transport corridors (including orbital routes) described in section 7.1 were developed to meet the maximum public transport demand identified through the LSMATS Demand Analysis Report (Jacobs, 2019). A number of other public transport options were also developed to try make best use of the existing available infrastructure, such as existing rail lines. The modelling was then undertaken iteratively with each run used to refine the inputs and assumptions for the next run. The outputs of these runs were used to inform the options assessment for each corridor and refine the bus routes and services initially developed. Table 7.2 sets out the modelling runs undertaken and identifies the main scenarios considered as *"Whole Strategy"* alternatives.

Further detail regarding the options development process and modelling undertaken to support development of the draft LSMATS, including information regarding the land use, population growth and existing network assumptions, are provided in the LSMATS Transport Options and Network Development Report (Jacobs, 2020) and the LSMATS Transport Modelling Report (NTA, 2022). For further detail regarding objectives and measures under preferred Strategy see the draft Revised LSMATS.

Table 7.2 Model runs undertaken to support strategy network development

| Alternative | Scenario Name | Model Run | LNDR Phase | Bus Network & | Cycle Network | Enhanced Rail | City Centre PT | Full LNDR | Foynes to Limerick |
|-------------|---|--|---------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | | | 1 | Priority | | | Measures | | |
| A | Do Minimum | AAD | ✓ | | | | | | |
| | Bus Network | AAE | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | | |
| | Bus Network and Rail | AAF | ✓ | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | | | |
| | Bus Network and City Centre Strategy | AAG | √ | √ | ✓ | | ~ | | |
| В | Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads | ААН | ~ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ~ | ✓ |
| | | AAH +Inc. public transport (PT) priority | ✓ | v | ~ | | ~ | ~ | ✓ |
| | | AAH +Inc. PT priority + Revised LNDR | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | √ | × | ✓ |
| C | Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures ('Do Strategy') | AAH +Inc. PT priority + Revised LNDR, Parking restrictions 'Do Strategy' | ✓ | * | V | | V | × | * |
| D | Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures and demand management ('Do Strategy+') | AAH +Inc. PT priority + Revised LNDR, Parking restrictions and demand management 'Do Strategy +' | V | V | V | | V | √ | ✓ |
| E | Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy, Enhance rail, prioritisation of active travel including Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures and demand management - ('Do Strategy+ without LNDR') | ACU (Option C) Inc. PT priority + Parking restrictions and demand management 'Do Strategy + | V | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ |
During development of the draft LSMATS, four key alternative scenarios were initially considered:

- Alternative A: Do Minimum Scenario.
- Alternative B: Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads (Model run AAH).
- Alternative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures ('Do Strategy').
- Alternative D: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures and Demand Management Measures ('Do Strategy +).
- Alternative E; Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Enhanced Rail, Refined Roads Strategy, without the LNDR, plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures and Demand Management Measures (' revised Do Strategy +).

Table 7.3 outlines in further detail the suite of measures included in each of these alternative scenarios, and Table 7.4 provides a relative assessment of each alternative against the SEOs in accordance with the methodology outlined in 6.2.3. As shown in Table 7.4, the key differences between Alternatives A-D are:

- Alternative D would have the greatest positive impact on SEOs 1, 2, 7, 8 and 12, which is associated primarily with measures to encourage modal shift towards public transport is likely to contribute to reduced air and noise pollution, increased active travel rates, improve accessibility to community and recreational facilities and places of employment, and reduced transport related carbon emissions within the LSMATS. Alternatives B, C and D provide an opportunity to enhance the resilience of the transport network to future climate change (SEO 12) which is not afforded under Alternative A (Do Minimum).
- The 'Do Minimum' scenario has least potential for conflict with SEOs 3, 4, 5 and 9 relating to risks to designated nature conservation sites, habitats and wildlife, archaeology and landscape character and visual amenity associated with construction of new roads and other infrastructure. However, the proposed improvements to the public realm identified under Alternatives C and D would have a positive impact for townscape and visual amenity and there is also an opportunity to enact positive impacts for built heritage within the LSMATS through these works.
- Alternatives B, C, and D all have greater potential for significant adverse effects against SEO 9 than Alternative A (Do Minimum). This is because they would result in a net increase in area of hardstanding primarily associated with new road construction with resultant potential negative contribution to flood risk and to water quality.
- Key differences between Alternative E and the other Alternatives are:
- Alternative E has reduced potential for significant adverse effects against SEO 9 compared to Alternatives B, C and D. This is because it would result in a reduced net increase in area of hardstanding associated with new road construction with resultant potential negative contribution to flood risk, to water quality and biodiversity.
- None of the alternative scenarios are likely to have significant positive or negative impacts against SEO 6 which relates to soils and geology.

| Scenario | Components |
|---|--|
| Alternative A: Do Minimum | Existing road, public transport, walking and cycling networks and Phase 1 of |
| (model run AAD) | the Limerick Northern Distributor Road (LNDR) (Coonagh to Knockalisheen). |
| Alternative B: Bus Network, City | As per Do Minimum Scenario (model run AAD), and in addition: |
| Centre Strategy and Roads | Comprehensive network of radial and orbital bus routes developed to meet |
| (model run AAH) | idealised demand. |

Table 7.3 "Whole Strategy" Alternatives

| Scenario | Components |
|---|--|
| | Improved cycle network as per Limerick Metropolitan Cycling Strategy. Traffic management measures and additional bus priority measures within Limerick City Centre including public transport only measures along O'Connell Street and Sarsfield Bridge. N69 Limerick to Foynes including Adare Bypass. Full LNDR (80kph dual lane with grade separated junctions). |
| Alternative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures ('Do Strategy') | As per Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads, with the following amendments and additions: <i>Amendments</i> Previous northern orbital routes removed for period prior to implementation of LNDR given poor patronage and journey times. Second bus route provided to Shannon and between Sixmilebridge and Shannon Town and Airport in preference to rail service. Speed limit of LNDR refined to 60 kph and cross section amended to include single carriageway for cars, at grade signalised junction and bus priority and walking and cycling provision. Additional bus priority measures within Limerick (Bridge Street and Charlotte's Quay). <i>Additions</i> Improvements to the strategic walking network and to pedestrian provision within Limerick City centre and metropolitan towns (and removal of Limerick City centre parking spaces as required to facilitate proposed walking and cycling infrastructure improvements). M7/N18 Junction Improvements, M/N20 Cork to Limerick and Link Road between Childers Road to Golf Links Road (with bus priority). HGV traffic restrictions within Limerick City Centre. Supporting measures including Mobility Management Measures, wayfinding, intelligent traffic systems, cycle and car share schemes, improved public |
| | transport stops, integrated/smart ticketing, real time passenger information and public realm enhancements. |
| Alternative D: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures ('Do Strategy') and Demand Management Measures (Do Strategy +) | As per Alternative C, with the following additions: Implement maximum car parking standards for all new developments; Seek car-free and low car development in central and accessible areas; Support the gradual reduction of long-stay on-street parking in urban centres; Support the redevelopment of off-street parking for higher value uses including residential and employment; and Examine the case for a Workplace Parking Levy and charges on internet shopping deliveries and out-of-town shopping centres. |
| Alternative E: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Enhanced Rail, no LNDR, Refined Roads Strategy plus | As per Alternative C, with the following additions: Without the LNDR Enhanced Rail; |

| Scenario | Components |
|---|--|
| Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures and Demand Management Measures (revised Do Strategy +) | Implement maximum car parking standards for all new developments; Seek car-free and low car development in central and accessible areas; Support the gradual reduction of long-stay on-street parking in urban centres; |
| | Support the redevelopment of off-street parking for higher value uses including residential and employment; and |
| | Examine the case for a Workplace Parking Levy and charges on internet shopping deliveries and out-of-town shopping centres. |

Table 7.4 Assessment of "Whole Strategy" alternatives against SEOs

| SEO | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) | | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) (model run AAD) (model run AAH) | | | native B: Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads (model run AAH) | Alternative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures ("Do Strategy") | | | ernative D: Bus twork, Enhanced Centre Strategy, Refined Roads tegy, Walking and ing Improvements ind Supporting asures, Demand Management Measures | Alternative E: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Enhance Rail, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures Without LNDR "Do Strategy Plus Plus" | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|------------|---|---|--|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | Effe ct | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | Eff ect | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | E | Effect Narrative | | | |
| 1 Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | | Transport related air pollutant and noise emissions likely highest under this scenario as the volume and proportion of journeys undertaken by private car is highest and volume and proportion of walking and cycling journeys is lowest. | + | Implementation of new bus network as well as traffic management and bus priority measures within Limerick City Centre would help reduce the number of private car journeys and increase the number of journeys undertaken by walking and cycling. Benefits to public health associated with reduction in transport related air pollutant and also potentially noise emissions, as well as increasing activity levels through active travel journeys associated with public transport is not a form of active travel in itself, many public | ++ | As per Alternative B although the following newly included measures would help improve the mode share for public transport and active travel modes, increasing benefits for public health relative to Alternative B. Specific provision for public transport and cyclists within LNDR cross section; Additional public transport priority measures within | ++ | As per Alternative C, although demand management measures would further contribute to modal shift towards active travel. | + + | As per Alternative C, although enhanced rail, demand management measures would further support and contribute to modal shift towards active travel. | | | |

| SEO | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) | | Alternative B: Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads (model run AAH) | | | ernative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre trategy, Refined Roads rategy plus Walking and cling Improvements and Supporting Measures ("Do Strategy") | Alternative D: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures "Do Strategy Plus" | | | Alternative E: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Enhance Rail, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures Without LNDR "Do Strategy Plus Plus" | | |
|-----|--|-----------|--|--|------------|--|--|-----------|---|--|-----------|--|
| | Effe ct | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | Eff ect | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | E | ffect | Narrative | |
| | | | | transport users walk or cycle to points of access as part of their overall journey), and increased attractiveness of walking and cycling journeys for recreational purposes resulting from reduced traffic volumes within urban and suburban areas. | | Limerick City Centre; HGV restrictions within Limerick City Centre; and Supporting measures to improve the desirability of public transport (e.g. real time passenger information, improved bus stops, integrated/smart ticketing) and walking/cycling (e.g. wayfinding, | | | | | | |

| SEO | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) | | Alter | tive B: Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads (model run AAH) Alternative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures ("Do Strategy") Alternative D: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures | | | | | | Alternative E: Bus Network, Enhanced C Centre Strategy, Enhance Rail, Refine Roads Strategy, Walk and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measure Demand Manageme Measures Without LN "Do Strategy Plus Plu | | |
|--|--|---|------------|--|------------|---|------------|-------------------------|-----|---|---------------|--|
| | Effe ct | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | Eff ect | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | E | ffect | Narrative | |
| | | | | | | public realm improvements). | | | | | | |
| 2 Avoid damage to recreation and amenity facilities through construction of new transport infrastructure and support and enhance access for tourism and recreation. | 0 | No specific benefits or adverse impacts on recreation and amenity facilities or access for tourism and recreational purposes anticipated. | 0 | No specific benefits or adverse impacts on recreation and amenity facilities or access for tourism and recreational purposes anticipated. | ++ | Improvements to walking and cycling provision, including proposed new greenways, would increase amenity and availability of recreational facilities within the LSMATs. Improvements to the public realm within Bunratty, Cratloe and Limerick City in particular, as well as the World Class Waterfront Project would help support access for tourism. | ++ | As per Alternative C | + + | As per | Alternative C | |

| SEO | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) | | Alternative A: C (model ru | | Alter | rnative B: Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads (model run AAH) | Alt S Str | ernative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre trategy, Refined Roads rategy plus Walking and cling Improvements and Supporting Measures ("Do Strategy") | Al Ne City I Stra Cycli a Me | ternative D: Bus twork, Enhanced centre Strategy, Refined Roads tegy, Walking and ing Improvements nd Supporting asures, Demand Management Measures o Strategy Plus" | Ne Er Roa I Su De Me | Alternative E: Bus twork, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, nhance Rail, Refined ads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and upporting Measures, emand Management asures Without LNDR o Strategy Plus Plus" |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------|---|------------|---|-----------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| | Effe ct | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | Eff ect | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | E | Effect Narrative | | |
| 3 Prevent damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | - | Increase in air pollutant emissions as described against SEO 1 would potentially adversely affect designated and non-designated nature conservation sites. | | Modal shift towards public transport and walking/cycling and resultant reduction in traffic related air pollutant emissions as described against SEO 1 would benefit designated and non- designated nature conservation sites, however new road schemes (particularly LNDR) likely to result in significant adverse effects on designated nature conservation sites. | | Reduction in traffic related air pollutant emissions would be greater than A and B under this scenario as described against SEO 1. However, risk of significant adverse effects on designated nature conservation sites from new road schemes (in particular the LNDR) as described against Alternative B. | | As per Alternative C, although demand management measures would further contribute to reduced air pollutant emissions. | - | Reduction in traffic related air pollutant emissions would be greatest than A and B under this scenario as described against SEO 1. However, risk of significant adverse effects on designated nature conservation sites from new road schemes but this is reduced without the LNDR | | |

| SEO | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) | | Alter | native B: Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads (model run AAH) | Alt Si Sti Cyo | ernative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre trategy, Refined Roads rategy plus Walking and cling Improvements and Supporting Measures ("Do Strategy") | Alt Net City F Strat Cycli a Me | ternative D: Bus twork, Enhanced Centre Strategy, Refined Roads tegy, Walking and ng Improvements nd Supporting asures, Demand Management Measures | Ne Er Roa I Su De Me | Alternative E: Bus twork, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, hance Rail, Refined ads Strategy, Walking and Cycling mprovements and upporting Measures, emand Management asures Without LNDR o Strategy Plus Plus" |
|---|--|--|------------|---|-------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|
| | Effe ct | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | Eff ect | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | E | ffect Narrative |
| 4 Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | 0 | No new infrastructure required and therefore risks of adverse effects on landscape character and public views lowest under this scenario, | | New infrastructure, especially new road infrastructure within semi-rural or rural areas, has potential to adversely affect landscape character and public views. | ÷ | Whilst potential adverse effects associated with new infrastructure identified against Alternative B still apply in term of potential negative impacts on landscape character and public views from new road infrastructure, this alternative scenario also includes public realm improvements within Limerick City as well as the 'World Class Waterfront Project which would likely have beneficial impacts for townscapes and visual amenity. | ÷ | As per Alternative C | + | As per Alternative C in terms of potential public realm benefits but also reduced risk from new road infrastructure without the LNDR. |

| SEC |) | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) | | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) (model run AAH) | | | ernative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre trategy, Refined Roads rategy plus Walking and cling Improvements and Supporting Measures ("Do Strategy") | Alternative D: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures "Do Strategy Plus" | | | Alternative E: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Enhance Rail, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures Without LNDR "Do Strategy Plus Plus" | | |
|-----|--|--|---|---|---|------------|---|--|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | Effe ct | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | Eff ect | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | E | ffect Narrative | | |
| 5 | Avoid damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, cultural heritage resources and their setting. | 0 | No new infrastructure required and therefore reduced risk of loss/truncation of archaeological remains or adverse effects on setting of built heritage assets. | | Risk of loss/truncation of archaeological remains associated with construction of new infrastructure, particularly new road infrastructure within floodplain areas north of the Limerick. | - | Whilst potential adverse effects associated with new infrastructure identified against Alternative B still apply, restrictions on HGV movements within Limerick City centre and measures such as wayfinding and public realm improvements provide an opportunity to improve the setting and accessibility of build cultural heritage assets. | - | As per Alternative C | - | As Per Alternative C with reduced risks without the construction of the LNDR. | | |
| 6 | Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of | 0 | No noticeable difference in outcomes anticipated relative to preferred strategy. | 0 | Whilst this scenario requires new infrastructure construction, the likelihood of adverse impacts on | 0 | Whilst this scenario requires new infrastructure construction, the | 0 | As per Alternative C | 0 | As Per Alternative C | | |

| SEO | Alto | ernative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) | Alter | native B: Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads (model run AAH) | Alternative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures ("Do Strategy") | | Alternative D: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures "Do Strategy Plus" | | Alternative E: Bus Network, Enhanced Cit Centre Strategy, Enhance Rail, Refined Roads Strategy, Walkin and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures Demand Managemen Measures Without LND "Do Strategy Plus Plus | |
|---|------------|---|------------|---|---|---|--|-------------------------|--|---|
| | Effe ct | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | Eff ect | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | E | Effect Narrative |
| soil resources and contribute towards the appropriate management of soil resources and quality. | | Likelihood of adverse impacts on valuable geological sites and soils considered low. | | valuable geological sites and soils is still considered very low. | | likelihood of adverse impacts on valuable geological sites and soils is still considered very low | | | | |
| 7 Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in air pollution. | | Greatest increase in traffic related air pollutant emissions likely to occur under this scenario as described against SEO 1. | ÷ | Reduced traffic related air pollutant emissions relative to DM scenario as described against SEO 1, however potential risk of worsening air quality for small communities on the northern outskirts of Limerick associated with the LNDR which may be minimised or mitigated through careful design. | ++ | Lower emissions of traffic related ai pollutant emissions likely to occur under this scenario as described against SEO 1, however potential risk of worsening air quality for small communities on the northern outskirts of Limerick associated with LNDR and in the vicinity of | ++ | As per Alternative C | + + | Lowest emissions without the LNDR and additional support for active travel. In the vicinity of HGV consolidation centres, park and rides and mobility hubs local increases can likely be minimised or mitigated through |

| SEO | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) | | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) (model run AAD) (model run AAH) | | | ernative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre trategy, Refined Roads rategy plus Walking and cling Improvements and Supporting Measures ("Do Strategy") | Alternative D: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures "Do Strategy Plus" | | | Alternative E: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Enhance Rail, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures Without LNDR "Do Strategy Plus Plus" | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|------------|---|--|--|--------|--|---|--|
| | Effe ct | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | Eff ect | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | I | ffect Nar | rative | |
| | | | | | | HGV consolidation centres, park and rides and mobility hubs which can likely be minimised or mitigated through careful design. | | | | careful desig move to alte fuels. | n and rnative | |
| 8 Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in noise pollution. | | Greatest increase in traffic related noise pollution likely to occur under this scenario as described against SEO 1. | ÷ | Reduced traffic related noise pollution relative to Alternative A as described against SEO 1, however potential risk of worsening noise pollution for small communities on the northern outskirts of Limerick associated with LNDR which may be minimised or mitigated through careful design. | ++ | Lower emissions of traffic related noise pollution likely to occur under this scenario as described against SEO 1 compared to A and B, however potential risk of worsening noise pollution for small communities on the northern outskirts of Limerick associated with LNDR and in the vicinity of HGV consolidation | ++ | As per Alternative C, although demand management measures would further contribute to reduced noise pollutant emissions. | + + | Lowest emis traffic relate pollution wit the LNDR an additional ac travel suppo demand managemen measures wo further contr reduced nois per Alternati local sources noise polluti | sions of d noise chout d with ctive rt and t buld ribute to se. As sive C on s of on. | |

| SEO | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) | | Minimum Alternative B: Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads (model run AAH) | | | ernative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre trategy, Refined Roads rategy plus Walking and cling Improvements and Supporting Measures ("Do Strategy") | Alternative D: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures "Do Strategy Plus" | | | Alternative E: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Enhance Rail, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures Without LNDR "Do Strategy Plus Plus" | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Effe ct | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | Eff ect | Narrative | Effe Narrative ct | | E | Effect Narrative | | |
| | | | | | | centres, park and rides and mobility hubs which can likely be minimised or mitigated through careful design. | | | | | | |
| 9 Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid increasing risks from floods or increasing | 0 | There would be no increase in flood risk or increase in vulnerability to flood risk under this scenario. | - | Potential increase in flood risk and increase in vulnerability to flood risk associated with new infrastructure construction, particularly the proposed new road (LNDR) crossing floodplain to the north of Limerick City. Also risks to WFD associated with new river crossings of the Shannon, Abbey and Barnakyle. | - | As described against Alternative B. | - | As described against Alternative B. | - | As described against Alternative B but with the reduced risks without the LNDR. | | |

| SEO | | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) | | Alternative B: Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads (model run AAH) | | Alternative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures ("Do Strategy") | | Alternative D: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures "Do Strategy Plus" | | Alternative E: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Enhance Rail, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures Without LNDR "Do Strategy Plus Plus" | |
|-----|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | Effe ct | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | Eff ect | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | l | Effect Narrative |
| | vulnerability to flood risk. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | 0 | Reduced requirement for new infrastructure relative to other scenarios, and therefore also less land take from greenfield sources. However, greater requirement for fossil fuel consumption than other scenarios as relatively greater proportion and number of journeys made via private car. | + | Increased requirement for land take from greenfield sources relative to Alternative A and increased demand on fossil fuel resources associated with new infrastructure construction. However, in the longer term, modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling would reduce demand on fossil fuels. | ++ | As described against Alternative B however relatively greater reduction in fossil fuel demand due to increased modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling. | ++ | As per Alternative C, although demand management measures would further contribute to reduced demand for fossil fuels. | + + | Additional benefits compared to Alternative C with reduced construction and demand management measures further contributing to reduced demand for fossil fuels. |

| SEO | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) | | Alternative B: Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads (model run AAH) | | Alternative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures ("Do Strategy") | | Alternative D: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures "Do Strategy Plus" | | Alternative E: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Enhance Rail, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures Without LNDR "Do Strategy Plus Plus" | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| | Effe ct | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | Eff ect | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | E | Effect Narrative |
| 11 Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks. Contribute to the reduction in transport related GHG emissions through modal | | Transport related carbon emissions likely to increase as the volume and proportion of journeys made by private vehicle within the LSMA and attractiveness of walking and cycling decreases due to high traffic volumes as described against SEO 1. | ÷ | Reduction in transport related carbon emissions relative to the DM scenario due to modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling as described against SEO 1. However, also increased carbon emissions associated with embodied carbon and energy requirements for maintenance and operation of new infrastructure. | ++ | Reduction in transport related carbon emissions greater than under Alternative B due to increased modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling. | ++ | As per Alternative C, although demand management measures would further contribute to reduced carbon emissions. | + + | Lowest carbon with the benefits of further reduces GHG emissions associated with lower road construction and benefits from additional active travel support and demand management measures contributing to reduced carbon emissions. |

| SEO | Alt | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) | | Alternative B: Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads (model run AAH) | | Alternative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures ("Do Strategy") | | Alternative D: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures "Do Strategy Plus" | | Alternative E: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Enhance Rail, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures Without LNDR "Do Strategy Plus Plus" | |
|--|------------------|--|-----------|---|-----------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Effe Narrative ct | | Effe ct | Narrative | Eff ect | Narrative | Effe Narrative ct | | Effect Narrative | | | |
| changes or net | v | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 To ensure that the resilience t climate chang is designed for existing transport network an new networ | t p r - | No opportunity to increase the climate resilience of the transport network within the LSMA through ensuring new infrastructure is designed to withstand | ÷ | Opportunity to help improve resilience of the transport network within the LSMA through ensuring proposed new infrastructure is designed to withstand future changes in max. air temperature, min. and max. rainfall and windspeeds assuming | + | As for Alternative B. | ÷ | As for Alternative B. | + | As for Alternative B. | |

| SEO | Alternative A: Do Minimum (model run AAD) | | Alternative B: Bus Network, City Centre Strategy and Roads (model run AAH) | | Alternative C: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy plus Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures ("Do Strategy") | | Alternative D: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures | | Alternative E: Bus Network, Enhanced City Centre Strategy, Enhance Rail, Refined Roads Strategy, Walking and Cycling Improvements and Supporting Measures, Demand Management Measures Without LNDR "Do Strategy Plus Plus" | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|-----------|--|-----------|--|-------|-----------|
| | Effe ct | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | Eff ect | Narrative | Effe ct | Narrative | E | ffect | Narrative |
| and promote improved environmental resilience to climate change. | | future changes in max. air temperature, min. and max. rainfall and windspeeds. | | new infrastructure is designed to accommodate predicted future changes in climate. | | | | | | | |

7.3 Preferred Strategy

The Preferred Strategy is based upon Alternative E ('Do Strategy Plus') which includes the amendments to Alternative D following consultation on the draft Strategy:

- Addition of new rail objectives including provision of new stations;
- Addition of specific objectives relating to better integration between land use planning and transport planning and improvements to the public transport offer and walking and cycling infrastructure in regeneration areas;
- Addition of a number of smaller scale measures such as support for micromobility modes;
- Additional demand management measures;
- Inclusion of walking and cycling mode share targets up to 2040; and
- Removal of the full LNDR development

A summary of the assessment outcomes for the preferred Strategy, along with SEA mitigation recommendations and residual effects is provided in Table 7.5. Individual assessment matrices were also prepared for each element of the preferred Strategy, i.e. walking, cycling, road, rail, freight, parking and supporting measures. These are provided in Appendix B with a summary of the assessment scores prior to and following implementation of SEA mitigation in Appendix C. These assessment matrices also provide further detail on the specific measures and objectives assessed.

The modelling results on effects of the draft strategy (based on alternative E Do Strategy plus) are presented in section 9 of the Final LSMA Transport Strategy Appraisal (NTA, 2022). This identifies the following potential effects from implementing the draft strategy measures for 2040 compared to a 2016 base case:

- Climate change and greenhouse gas emissions: potential to achieve a 53% reduction in $CO_2\,$ and 76% reduction in methane
- Air quality 82 % reduction in Nitrogen Oxides and 13 % reduction in particulates which are key air pollutants
- Accessibility and social inclusion- comparing strategy with a do minimum shows a substantial improvement in public transport mode share to key health, employment and recreational facilities and an overall increase from 5.6% to 12.6% peak public transport mode share across the metropolitan area for the with strategy scenario.
- Accessibility for deprived areas modelling results indicated an overall change in public transport mode share increasing by 9.7 % for the very disadvantaged areas and a 6.9% increase across all area types.
- Road safety significant savings in collision costs and reductions in casualties on the road network for the draft strategy compared to a do minimum scenario.

Key likely significant positive effects identified through the SEA of the draft strategy are:

- Improvements in public health associated with reduction in transport related air and noise pollution, improved safety, and increased rates of active travel as a result of modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling. Reductions in air pollutant emissions would also benefit biodiversity;
- Reduction in transport related carbon emissions within the LSMATS as a result of modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling;
- Improvements in townscape and visual amenity associated with public realm improvements and the construction of greenways and green-blue corridors and the World Class Waterfront Project;

- Opportunities to improve the resilience of the transport network within the LSMATS through implementation of infrastructure design standards which ensure resilience to projected future climate changes; and
- Opportunities to improve the setting of built heritage assets through public realm improvements.

Key potential significant negative effects of the preferred Strategy, in the absence of mitigation, include:

- Temporary and permanent habitat loss from indirect impacts associated with the N69/M21 Foynes to Limerick Road (with Adare Bypass) and N/M20 Cork to Limerick and also new walking and cycling infrastructure proposals in proximity to the River Shannon;
- Potential negative impacts on landscape character and visual amenity associated with new infrastructure construction (particularly new road schemes);
- Potential negative impacts on WFD objectives and flood risk associated with new infrastructure construction. The LNDR is of particular note regarding flood risk impacts as the proposed route bisects a flood plain; and
- Potential localised negative impacts on air quality and noise associated with measures such as Park and Rides (PnRs), Mobility Hubs and new road infrastructure.
- The Preferred Strategy avoids the following impacts:
- Temporary and permanent habitat loss, including direct impacts on European and National designated sites a result of the construction of the LNDR are avoided; and
- Potential negative impacts on landscape character and visual amenity associated with new infrastructure construction (particularly new road schemes) are reduced; and potential negative impacts on WFD objectives and flood risk associated with the LNDR infrastructure construction are also avoided.

Mitigation identified to address the potential significant adverse effects has been identified and is outlined within Table 7.5. In addition, specific mitigation relating to the ecological aspects to protect European designated sites, is set out below in section 7.3.1 and Table 7.6.

Table 7.5 Summary of Preferred Plan Assessment

| SEO | Potential significant effects (without s | SEA mitigation) | Summary assessment | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary assessment |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | Positive | Negative | (without SEA mitigation) | | (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
| Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | Impact on public health associated with: Improvements to air and noise pollution associated with reductions HGV regulation in Limerick City centre and modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling. Improvements to availability, accessibility and amenity of active travel and recreational walking routes. Measures such as bicycle sharing schemes, bicycling parking and end of trip facilities would help encourage modal shift towards cycling. Positive impacts on access to community services and facilities and places of employment through support for consolidation of development and limitation of urban sprawl, and improved public transport, walking and cycling access to regeneration areas. | Potential disproportionate negative impact on certain vulnerable groups and/or on small businesses as a result of measures to reduce reliance on private vehicles and restrict or manage freight movement within Limerick City centre. Consideration of impact of converting areas currently designated for street parking to bus lanes on severance, with particular focus on routes in close proximity to locations of importance to vulnerable groups (e.g. schools, care homes, hospitals, other community facilities). | +/0 | Undertake Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) for measures that aim to reduce reliance on private vehicles for social and commuting purposes and restrict freight movements and implement mitigation measures as identified (see separate EqIA and recommendations). Consultation with local communities to identify and mitigate temporary construction impacts given that extensive works planned on multiple transport routes and modes concurrently. Targeted consultation and consideration of inclusion of measures to support increased patronage of bus services by social groups which may be driven by safety and security or accessibility concerns, particularly at night. | +/0 |

| SEO | Potential significant effects (without S | SEA mitigation) | Summary assessment | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary |
|-----|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | Positive | Negative | (without SEA mitigation) | | (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
| | | | | Implementation of public transport and other strategy measures to support modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling with Limerick and consider alternative methods of improving access for communities on the northern outskirts of Limerick. Ensure micromobility rental schemes are inclusive for people with disabilities. Specific recommendations are identified in the EqIA report including developing more detailed baseline information to understand the potential impacts on specific local populations and vulnerable groups and to undertake additional engagement with representatives of different groups and to develop an equality toolkit covering the protected characteristic groups, as well as additional | |

| SEO | Potential significant effects (without S | SEA mitigation) | Summary assessment | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary assessment |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | Positive | Negative | (without SEA mitigation) | | (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
| 2 Avoid damage to recreation and amenity facilities through construction of new transport infrastructure and support and enhance access for tourism recreation. | Provision of a Tourist Walking Strategy, improvements to the public realm along the Old Bunratty Road, as well as general improvements within to the pedestrian realm within Limerick, would support and enhance access for tourists within the region. Improvements in access to tourist facilities as a result of greenways. A reduction in traffic volumes within the centre of Limerick City would help improve the amenity of the area for tourists and residents. | Impact on tourist industry arising from disruption to highway and public transport routes during construction of new infrastructure. | mitigation) | information on compounding factors of disadvantage such as deprivation. Consideration of impact of converting areas currently designated for street parking to bus lanes on severance, with particular focus on routes in close proximity to tourist locations. Consideration of whether beneficial impacts for the tourism industry (hop on hop off tours etc) can be realised through design and implementation of new bus lanes. Consider requirement for specific parking strategy for tours and basis | SEA mitigation) |
| | | | | tourists, which may have a seasonal component. Consider inclusion of specific policies to (i) manage the potential impacts of disruption to existing rail services during construction of new infrastructure and (ii) improve tourist passenger | |

| SEO | Potential significant effects (without S | SEA mitigation) | Summary | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | Positive | Negative | (without SEA mitigation) | | (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
| | | | | experience, for example simplified ticketing, luggage space on trains, luggage storage at stations, specific tourist signage, travel plans for key tourist destinations. | |
| 3 Prevent damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | Reduction in transport related emissions of air pollutants including nitrogen oxides (NO_x), particulate matter (PM) and sulphur dioxide (SO₂). | Temporary or permanent habitat loss and/or mortality/disturbance to wildlife as a result of new infrastructure construction. This includes direct and indirect impacts on a number of designated sites (River Shannon SAC, River Shannon and Fergus Estuaries SPA, Askeaton Fen Complex SAC, Curraghchase Woods SAC, Tory Hill SAC, Ballyalllia Lough SPA, Lough Derg SPA, Knockalisheen Marsh pNHA, Fergus Estuaries and Inner Shannon North Shore pNHA). | - | Consideration of opportunities for tree planting and green verges and improvements to habitat connectivity to be incorporated within new or amended walking routes. Application of mitigation hierarchy to first seek to avoid effects, for example through route selection and design, then reduce effects with the aim to avoid habitat loss within ecologically sensitive areas (particularly European and national designated sites) as far as practicable, reduce and/or provide compensatory habitat as determined necessary as a result of the regulatory processes. Land take from | 0/- |

| SEO | Potential significant effects (without S | EA mitigation) | Summary assessment | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary |
|-----|--|----------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | Positive | Negative | (without SEA mitigation) | | (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
| | | | | valuable habitats to support new infrastructure should be minimised as far as practicable. This approach will apply to European and national designated sites and high value habitats. | |
| | | | | Design of new pedestrian and cycle crossings should be sensitive to riparian habitats. | |
| | | | | Potential impacts on habitats and wildlife to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) process down the line. | |
| | | | | Development of an LSMATS 'Natural Heritage Strategy' which would pull together aims and objectives in terms of habitat replacement and design principles and cap link | |
| | | | | to enhancement objectives for landscape and cultural heritage. This could include looking at management of verges and open space | |

| SEO | | Potential significant effects (without S | SEA mitigation) | Summary assessment | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary assessment |
|-----|--|--|--|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | | Positive | Negative | (without SEA mitigation) | | (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
| | | | | | associated with infrastructure to optimise habitat provision including for example the timing of verge cuttings and species mixes used. | |
| 4 | Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | Positive contribution to visual amenity afforded by blue-green corridors within Limerick City Centre. Improvements to the public realm within urban areas in Limerick and metropolitan towns realised through improvements to existing walking, cycling and highway infrastructure and improvements to wayfinding would make a positive contribution to townscape and public views. | Temporary or permanent impacts on landscape and townscape as a result of construction of new infrastructure. | +/- | Sensitive design of new infrastructure, in collaboration with local councils, to ensure that existing landscape and townscape character is maintained and where practicable enhanced. Potential impacts on landscape and townscape and townscape as a result of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. Consideration of opportunities for tree planting and green verges to be incorporated within new or amended walking routes. | +/- |
| 5 | Avoid damage to, maintain and where | Potential opportunity to enhance settings of built cultural heritage | Potential for temporary and permanent impacts on built | 0/- | Design of new infrastructure, and routing of new Shannon | 0 |

| SEO | Potential significant effects (without S | SEA mitigation) | Summary assessment | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary assessment |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | Positive | Negative | (without SEA mitigation) | | (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
| appropriate enhance, cultural heritage resources and their setting. | assets through public realm improvements identified and increase accessibility to heritage assets through reopening of Black Bridge, Limerick to pedestrians. | heritage assets and known and unknown archaeological remains as a result of new infrastructure. Potential adverse impacts on Black Bridge, Limerick if works needed to make safe for pedestrian access are not undertaken in sensitive manner. | | Commuter Rail network to be sensitive to the presence of known heritage assets. Proposal to reopen Black Bridge, Limerick to be developed in conjunction with and agreed with Limerick CC Heritage Officer and The Heritage Council. Public realm improvements to seek to increase the accessibility and improve the setting of build heritage assets. Potential impacts on known and unknown heritage as a result of construction of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. | |
| 6 Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of soil resources and contribute towards the appropriate | None identified. The Strategy however supports development consolidation and limits risk of urban sprawl and therefore can act to minimise additional soil loss from other development. | Loss and/or sterilisation of soil resources and may disturb areas of ground contamination as a result of new infrastructure construction. | 0/- | Ensure new infrastructure does not conflict with IGHS sites. Ensure appropriate site specific geotechnical and contaminated land risk assessments are undertaken | 0 |

| SEO | Potential significant effects (without S | SEA mitigation) | Summary assessment | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary assessment |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | Positive | Negative | (without SEA mitigation) | | (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
| management of soil resources and quality. | | | | and any remediation recommendations adhered to. Potential impacts on valuable soils and geology as a result of new infrastructure construction to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. | |
| 7 Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in air pollution. | Reduction in transport related air pollutant emissions associated with: HGV regulation in Limerick City centre and decarbonisation of the freight industry. Measures to support modal shift towards public transport and active travel modes. Transfer public transport fleet towards low carbon and/or zero emission alternatives. Electrification/retrofitting of existing train fleet. | Measures such as Park and Rides and freight consolidation centres, as well as measures to discourage traffic flows through built up areas, may worsen air quality locally through changes in traffic patterns in proximity to the chosen locations. New road schemes would likely have adverse effects on air pollution levels for local communities. | +/- | Ensure that Freight Consolidation Centres do not have a significant adverse effect on receptors sensitive to air quality outside of Limerick City by concentrating HGV movements within specific areas. This may be achieved through careful siting amongst other measures. Where significant changes in traffic patterns are anticipated as a result of measures to discourage traffic flows through built up areas, air quality modelling should be undertaken | +/0 |

| SEO | Potential significant effects (without SEA mitigation) | | Summary assessment | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary assessment |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | Positive | Negative | (without SEA mitigation) | | (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
| | | | | to ensure they do not result in unacceptable impacts on outlying communities. Potential impacts on air quality as a result of construction of new road and rail infrastructure to be considered and mitigated. through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. This may be achieved through careful routing to minimise direct impacts on sensitive receptors. | |
| 8 Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in noise pollution. | Reduction in noise emissions associated with the transport sector in the LSMA: HGV regulation in Limerick City centre and decarbonisation of the freight industry. Measures to support modal shift towards public transport and active travel modes. | Measures such as Park and Rides and freight consolidation centres and new railway lines may worsen noise pollution locally through changes in traffic patterns in proximity to the chosen locations. New road schemes would likely have adverse effects on noise pollution levels for local communities. | 0/+ | Ensure that Freight Consolidation Centres do not have a significant adverse effect on receptors sensitive to air quality outside of Limerick City by concentrating HGV movements within specific areas. Where significant changes in traffic patterns are anticipated as a result of measures to discourage traffic flows through | +/0 |

| SEO | Potential significant effects (without SEA mitigation) | | Summary assessment | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary assessment |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | Positive | Negative | (without SEA mitigation) | | (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
| | | | | built up areas, noise modelling should be undertaken to ensure they do not result in unacceptable impacts on outlying communities. | |
| | | | | stations do not have a significant adverse effect on receptors sensitive to noise located in close proximity to these new infrastructure developments. | |
| | | | | Potential impacts on air quality as a result of construction of new road and rail infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact According (EIA) | |
| | | | | Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. This may be achieved through careful routing to minimise direct impacts on sensitive receptors, amongst other measures. | |
| 9 Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water | Reduction in water pollution associated with road run-off associated with: | Increased area of hardstanding required for new infrastructure may | -/0 | Ensure that the identified solution for the existing flood issue on the Limerick-Ennis | +/0 |

| SEO | Potential significant effects (without SEA mitigation) | | Summary assessment | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary assessment |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | Positive | Negative | (without SEA mitigation) | | (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
| and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid increasing risks from floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk. | HGV regulation in Limerick City centre and decarbonisation of the freight industry Measures to support modal shift towards public transport and active travel modes. | have adverse impact on flood risk. The Ennis-Limerick line lies within areas at high risk of coastal and fluvial flooding Potential negative impacts on WFD objectives as a result of new pedestrian infrastructure spanning or adjacent to WFD watercourses including the Shannon, Blackwater, Abbey and Barnakyle. | | line at Ballycar is designed to accommodate future predicted changes in flood risk as a result of climate change; Where additional land take is required to facilitate upgrades to the Ennis-Limerick line, siting and design should seek to minimise impingement on the flood plain and take count of future climate change; New river crossings for the Cratloe-Shannon line should be WFD compliant; Use of Sustainable Urban Drainage (SuDs) principles in new infrastructure design; and Potential impacts water quality and flood risk be considered and mitigated through EIA, WFD and FRA processes down the line. | |
| 10 Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), | Reduction in consumption of fossil fuels associated with: | Construction of new infrastructure would require a degree of land take, although proposals seek to make use of | +/0 | New infrastructure design to minimise requirement for additional land take outside the existing highway boundary or | +/0 |

| SEO | Potential significant effects (without SEA mitigation) | | Summary assessment | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary assessment |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | Positive | Negative | (without SEA mitigation) | | (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
| encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | HGV regulation in Limerick City centre and decarbonisation of the freight industry. Measures to support modal shift towards public transport and active travel modes. | existing highway infrastructure through repurposing of on street parking areas and road space as far as practicable. | | railway corridor as far as practicable. | |
| 11 Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks. Contribute to the reduction in transport related GHG emissions through modal changes or new technologies. | Reduction in transport related carbon emissions within the LSMATS associated with: Decarbonisation of the freight industry. Measures to support modal shift towards public transport and active travel modes. | New infrastructure will result in carbon emissions during construction (both embodied and associated with construction plant and traffic) and operation (lighting, heating, electric gates etc) (predominantly new Park and Rides, Mobility Hubs, railway stations). | +/0 | Consideration of opportunities for tree planting and green verges to be incorporated within new or amended walking routes. Ensure that construction methodology for new built infrastructure is undertaken with consideration for the European Union (EU) waste hierarchy and in line with relevant Irish Green Building Council (IGBC) guidance where relevant. | +/0 |
| 12 To ensure that the resilience to climate change is designed for existing transport network and new network and promote improved | None identified. | Any new built infrastructure required is vulnerable to future climate change, including changes in air temperature, precipitation rates and wind speeds. | ? | Ensure that design of new infrastructure reflects likely worst case climate projections in terms of resilience to wind speeds, precipitation, flood risk and increases in air temperature over | +/0 |

| SEO | Potential significant effects (without S Positive | SEA mitigation) Negative | Summary assessment (without SEA mitigation) | Recommended SEA mitigation | Summary assessment (with recommended SEA mitigation) |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| environmental resilience to climate change. | | | | the full design life of the infrastructure. Consideration of how the changing climate with alter user's needs – for example increased seating and shaded areas on active travel routes and public transport interchanges. | |

7.3.1 Mitigation Hierarchy

The application of the mitigation hierarchy is a core SEA recommendation for the implementation of the LSMATS. The mitigation hierarchy is a well-established approach for addressing the impacts of development on particularly for biodiversity impact but the general principles can be more widely applied:

- First seek to Avoid adverse effect. This might be achieved through routing or site selection or design amendment
- Second consider how to Minimise or Reduce effects as far as possible.
- Third Remediate or Restore where effects are immediately reversible
- Fourth Compensate or Offset residual effects to achieve objectives for example for no net loss or to provide enhancement
- This approach is recommended to be applied for the European and nationally designated nature conservation sites. The term 'mitigation' is use to cover the range of these steps.

7.3.2 European designated sites

Table 7.6 sets out specific mitigation measures required to avoid unacceptable adverse impacts on European sites as set out in the assessment matrices in Appendix B and discussed in further detail in chapter 5 of the NIS (published alongside this document). This primarily includes impacts associated N69/M21 Foynes to Limerick Road (with Adare Bypass) and N/M20 Cork to Limerick road schemes, but also covers impacts associated with proposed new walking and cycling infrastructure. These measures provided in Table 7.6 align with the mitigation measures implemented/secured through the Policies and Objectives contained within the adopted LCDP and CCDP.

Table 7.6 High level site specific ecological mitigation measures

| Ref | Detail of Recommended Mitigation Measure |
|--------|---|
| Genera | al (design-level) measures |
| G1 | This NIS provides the information to inform the AA of the measures/projects to be delivered as part of the LSMATS at the plan level. At a project level should issues arise under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive, despite the implementation of avoidance/mitigation measures, and there remains a risk of AESI of a European site, the project will not be progressed unless an alternative solution cannot be implemented which avoids the potential for AESI. Where alternative solutions cannot be identified project level assessments utilizing the full provisions for AA as set out in the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (the Habitats Directive) on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora may be necessary. |
| G2 | In selecting the exact watercourse crossing locations within the preferred route corridor, there shall be full compliance with Article 6(3). At the project level should issues arise under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive, despite the implementation of avoidance/mitigation measures, and there remains a risk of AESI of a European site, the project will not be progressed unless an alternative solution cannot be implemented which avoids the potential for AESI. Where alternative solutions cannot be identified project level assessments utilizing the full provisions for AA as set out in the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (the Habitats Directive) on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora may be necessary. |
| G3 | Baseline surveys shall be conducted by suitably qualified ecologists to ensure that the design-stage AA has a sufficient level of scientific data to inform the assessment. |



| Ref | Detail of Recommended Mitigation Measure | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|
| G4 | As required a full suite of geomorphological, hydrological and topographical surveys shall be required and provided at project design stage to inform the project-level AA. | | | |
| G5 | The Construction Method Statement shall form part of the overall project design together with the development of an Environmental Construction Management Plan (ECMP), which together shall be subject to AA as part of the overall project assessment. | | | |
| G6 | All permits and consents required as part of the project shall be addressed at project design stage and incorporated as part of the overall AA. | | | |
| G7 | Ongoing monitoring to assess the real-time environ and post-construction works shall be undertaken by | mental impact of all site preparation, construction suitably qualified ecologists. | | |
| G8 | The design of any in-stream structure shall not lead regime, depositional patterns or interfere with habit | to any alteration of the channel morphology, flow at continuity. | | |
| G9 | Crossings of the River Shannon will be constructed a of riparian habitats. | at a sufficient height to allow for the development | | |
| Water veget | courses of plain to montane levels with the Ranunc ation - Lower River Shannon SAC | ulion fluitantis and Callitricho-Batrachion | | |
| WC1 | Direct physical loss/damage to habitat | At the project design stage, all works shall be carefully designed to ensure no direct loss of habitat. | | |
| WC2 | Indirect disturbance | Detailed, targeted surveys will be required and shall be provided to determine the extent of this habitat type and inform working areas/development footprints in order to maintain or increase (subject to natural processes) the overall habitat area and distribution within the SAC. | | |
| WC3 | Indirect disturbance or loss of habitat | Any potential impacts on water quality which may lead to an indirect effect on the concentration of nutrients or the typical vegetation composition shall be avoided through best practice construction methods. | | |
| WC4 | General | General avoidance and mitigation measures will be implemented unless project level environmental assessments or project level AA indicate that they are not required or not appropriate. | | |
| | | At a project level should issues arise under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive then the project will not be progressed unless alternative solutions cannot be identified, or additional measures | | |

| Ref | Detail of Recommended Mitigation Measure | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | | implemented which would avoid the potential for AESI on the Lower River Shannon SAC. | |
| | | Where alternative solutions cannot be identified project level assessments utilizing the full provisions for AA as set out in the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (the Habitats Directive) on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora may be necessary. | |
| Molini Shann | a meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey-silt-lade on SAC | n soils (Molinion caeruleae) – Lower River | |
| MM1 | Direct physical loss/damage to habitat | At the project design stage, all works shall be carefully designed to ensure no direct loss of habitat. | |
| MM2 | | Detailed, targeted surveys will be required and shall be provided to determine the extent of this habitat type and inform working areas/development footprints in order to maintain or increase (subject to natural processes) the overall habitat area and distribution within the SAC, particularly in areas which were previously unmapped | |
| MM3 | Invasive Non-native Species | Invasive species surveys (for species listed on Schedule 3 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (S.I. No. 477 of 2011)) will be undertaken. If invasive species are found to be present, an Invasive Species Management Plan will be prepared to outline the control and or removal measures. These measures will ensure such species are not spread during construction or operation of measures outlined within the draft LSMATS. All works relating to invasive species will be implemented in line with relevant national guidelines. | |
| MM4 | General | General avoidance and mitigation measures will be implemented unless project level environmental assessments or project level AA indicate that they are not required or not appropriate. At a project level should issues arise under Article | |
| | | not be progressed unless alternative solutions are | |

| Ref | Detail of Recommended Mitigation Measure | | |
|------------------|---|--|--|
| | | identified or additional measures implemented which would avoid the potential for AESI on the Lower River Shannon SAC | |
| Alluvia Lower | al forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsio River Shannon SAC. | or (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) – | |
| AF1 | Direct physical loss/damage to habitat | At the project design stage, all works shall be carefully designed to ensure no direct loss of the Annex I priority habitat. | |
| AF2 | Indirect disturbance | For areas with the potential to develop into this habitat type, measures shall be taken at both design and project implementation stages to ensure that it will continue to develop as such, subject to natural processes. | |
| AF3 | Indirect disturbance or loss of habitat | There shall be no alteration to the hydrological regime necessary for maintenance of alluvial vegetation. Periodic flooding is essential to maintain this habitat type. | |
| AF4 | Biological disturbance | The project design stage shall ensure that negative indicator species, particularly non-native invasive species, remain absent or under control. | |
| AF5 | General | General avoidance and mitigation measures will be implemented unless project level environmental assessments or project level AA indicate that they are not required or not appropriate. At a project level should issues arise under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive then the project will not be progressed unless alternative solutions cannot be identified, or additional measures implemented which would avoid the potential for AESI on the Lower River Shannon SAC. Where alternative solutions cannot be identified project level assessments utilizing the full provisions for AA as set out in the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (the Habitats Directive) on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora may be necessary. | |
| Calcar | eous and Alkaline Fens - Askeaton Fens Complex S | AC and Tory Hill SAC | |
| CAF1 | Direct physical loss/damage to habitats | Detailed hydrological studies surveys shall be required and provided for in order to fully understand and mitigate for this risk at design stage. Mitigation measures set out in section 7.2 of | |

| Ref | Detail of Recommended Mitigation Measure | | | |
|--------|---|---|--|--|
| | | the NIS will minimise the risk of changes in ground water flows and quality. | | |
| CAF2 | Indirect disturbance or loss of habitat | Detailed hydrological studies surveys shall be required and provided for in order to fully understand and mitigate for this risk at design stage. There shall be no alteration to the hydrological regime necessary for maintenance of fen vegetation. | | |
| CAF3 | General | General avoidance and mitigation measures will be implemented unless project level environmental assessments or project level AA indicate that they are not required or not appropriate. At a project level should issues arise under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive then the project will not be progressed unless alternative solutions cannot be identified, or additional measures implemented which would avoid the potential for AESI on calcareous and alkaline fen habitat within Askeaton Fens Complex SAC and Tory Hill SAC. Where alternative solutions cannot be identified project level assessments utilizing the full provisions for AA as set out in the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (the Habitats Directive) on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora may be necessary | | |
| Sea La | amprey, Brook Lamprey and River Lamprey – Lower | River Shannon SAC | | |
| LA1 | Direct physical loss/damage to habitat | The mitigation measures set out in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 of the NIS and the implementation of best practice measures outlined in NRA (2006) and IFI (2016) will minimise the risk of harmful ecological effects arising from habitat loss and deterioration, in particular that arising from pollution and sedimentation. | | |
| LA2 | Loss of habitat connectivity | On all lower order watercourses, all culverts shall be designed in accordance with NRA (2006) and IFI (2016) so as not to impede distribution and accessibility. | | |
| LA3 | Indirect disturbance or loss of habitat | Any potential impacts on water quality that may lead to an indirect effect on the extent and distribution of spawning or juvenile habitat shall be avoided. Juvenile habitat may occur in marginal areas of the River Shannon at the proposed crossing point: appropriate surveys shall be | | |
| Ref | Detail of Recommended Mitigation Measure | |
|-------------------|---|--|
| | | undertaken at the crossing location prior to construction works to establish the presence or absence of this species and, where necessary, these areas shall be salvaged. |
| LA4 | General | General avoidance and mitigation measures will be implemented unless project level environmental assessments or project level AA indicate that they are not required or not appropriate. |
| | | At a project level should issues arise under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive then the project will not be progressed unless alternative solutions cannot be identified, or additional measures implemented which would avoid the potential for AESI on the Lower River Shannon SAC. |
| | | Where alternative solutions cannot be identified project level assessments utilizing the full provisions for AA as set out in the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (the Habitats Directive) on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora may be necessary. |
| Atlant | ic Salmon – Lower River Shannon SAC | |
| AS1 | Direct physical loss/damage to habitat | The mitigation measures set out in Section7.2below and the implementation of best practice measures outlined in NRA (2006) and IFI (2016) will minimise the risk of harmful ecological |
| | | effects arising from habitat loss and deterioration, in particular that arising from pollution and sedimentation. |
| AS2 | Loss of habitat connectivity | effects arising from habitat loss and deterioration, in particular that arising from pollution and sedimentation. On all lower order watercourses, all culverts shall be designed in accordance with NRA (2006) and IFI (2016) so as not to impede distribution and accessibility. |
| AS2 AS3 | Loss of habitat connectivity Indirect disturbance or loss of habitat | effects arising from habitat loss and deterioration, in particular that arising from pollution and sedimentation. On all lower order watercourses, all culverts shall be designed in accordance with NRA (2006) and IFI (2016) so as not to impede distribution and accessibility. Any impacts on water quality that may lead to an indirect effect on the extent and distribution of spawning habitat shall be avoided. |
| AS2 AS3 AS4 | Loss of habitat connectivity Indirect disturbance or loss of habitat Direct Disturbance | effects arising from habitat loss and deterioration, in particular that arising from pollution and sedimentation. On all lower order watercourses, all culverts shall be designed in accordance with NRA (2006) and IFI (2016) so as not to impede distribution and accessibility. Any impacts on water quality that may lead to an indirect effect on the extent and distribution of spawning habitat shall be avoided. The use of high noise emission activities such as impact pilling and blasting (should it be required) shall be minimised. |

| Ref | Detail of Recommended Mitigation Measure | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | | If project level assessments indicate that there is a residual risk of AESI in relation to disturbance then this element of the project would not proceed unless an alternative solution can be implemented which avoids/reduces the impact to a level that the integrity of the SAC remains unaffected. | | |
| AS5 | General | General avoidance and mitigation measures will be implemented unless project level environmental assessments or project level AA indicate that they are not required or not appropriate. At a project level should issues arise under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive then the project will not be progressed unless alternatives solutions cannot be identified, or additional measures implemented which would avoid the potential for AESI on the Lower River Shannon SAC. Where alternative solutions cannot be identified project level assessments utilizing the full provisions for AA as set out in the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (the Habitats Directive) on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora may be necessary. | | |
| Europe | ean Otter – Lower River Shannon SAC | | | |
| EO1 | Direct physical loss/damage to habitats | Detailed surveys shall be required and provided for in order to fully understand the distribution and habitats usage (i.e. location of sensitive location such as holts or natal dens). This information shall be used to inform project design stage to determine appropriate avoidance or mitigation measures. | | |
| EO2 | Direct physical damage to mobile species | Temporary mammal-proof fencing shall be erected around the construction envelope to prevent Otters from entering the works area. A riparian corridor for Otter movement shall be maintained at all times during construction. | | |
| EO3 | Indirect disturbance or loss of habitat | The use of high noise emission activities such as impact pilling and blasting (should it be required) would be avoided where possible. Speed limits shall be enforced for all plant used during construction. A Code of Conduct shall be enforced to avoid disturbance to this species at construction sites and in transit to construction areas. | | |

| Ref | Detail of Recommended Mitigation Measure | |
|--------|--|--|
| EO4 | Direct disturbance | Given the need for movement of this species along riverbanks, any temporary obstruction to connectivity during construction works between the main Shannon and Mulkear rivers and the Tailrace Canal, where commuting routes may occur, shall be alleviated through the installation of appropriately designed mammal passes, which shall be routinely checked throughout the duration of the works. |
| E05 | General | General avoidance and mitigation measures will be implemented unless project level environmental assessments or project level AA indicate that they are not required or not appropriate. At a project level should issues arise under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive then the project will not be progressed unless alternatives solutions cannot be identified, or additional measures implemented which would avoid the potential for AESI on the Lower River Shannon SAC. Where alternative solutions cannot be identified project level assessments utilizing the full provisions for AA as set out in the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (the Habitats Directive) on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora may be necessary. |
| Lesser | Horseshoe Bats - Curraghchase Woods SAC | |
| LHB1 | Direct physical loss/damage to habitats | Surveys conducted to date have shown that the proposed route will not have any impact on known Lesser Horseshoe Bat roosts. Where there is the potential or actual bat movement in the area of the proposed route, provision will be made to avoid impeding bat flight paths by the design of appropriate vegetative landscaping and underpasses. If project level assessments identify the risk of AESI in relation to impeding bat flight paths then that aspect of the project would not proceed unless alternative solution can be identified, or additional measures can be implemented. |
| LHB2 | Indirect disturbance or loss of habitat | LHBs avoid areas of high human activity. During the construction phase the use of high noise emission activities such as impact pilling and blasting (should it be required) shall be avoided |

| Ref | Detail of Recommended Mitigation Measure | |
|-------------------|--|--|
| | | where possible . Lesser Horseshoe Bats avoid artificial lighting and therefore all project related lighting should be turned off at night if not required. During operation lighting should be designed to reduce impact on bat activity. A Code of Conduct shall be enforced to avoid disturbance to this species at construction sites. |
| LHB3 | Direct disturbance | Given the need for movement of this species along vegetation lines of hedgerows and trees, any temporary obstruction to connectivity during construction works can be mitigated by the erection of temporary bat bridges/lines. During operation, where Lesser Horseshoe Bat flight-lines are interrupted, all embankments and river crossings, shall incorporate appropriate underpasses with vegetated approaches to assist bats in commuting between foraging, roosting and breeding sites. |
| LHB4 | General | General avoidance and mitigation measures will be implemented unless project level environmental assessments or project level AA indicate that they are not required or not appropriate. At a project level should issues arise under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive then the project will not be progressed unless alternatives solutions cannot be identified, or additional measures implemented which would avoid the potential for AESI on Curraghchase Woods SAC. Where alternative solutions cannot be identified project level assessments utilizing the full provisions for AA as set out in the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (the Habitats Directive) on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora may be necessary. |
| Disturt (Shanr | pance/displacement of Special Conservation Intere non) SPA and River Shannon and River Fergus Estua | st Bird Species - Ballyallia Lough SPA, Lough Derg aries SPA |
| B1 | Disturbance/displacement effects associated with any element of a proposed development | At the project level it will be a requirement for any future development included within the LSMATS that has the potential to result in adverse effects to the populations of special conservation interest bird species of an SPA, that an appropriate level of assessment (AA) and survey will be required to identify if, and how, such bird species utilise habitat areas potentially affected by disturbance/displacement effects associated with any element of a proposed development. |

| Ref | Detail of Recommended Mitigation Measure | |
|-----|--|--|
| B2 | | Where disturbance or displacement effects are predicted, appropriate mitigation measures as outlined in Section 6.2 of the NIS will be required to ensure that development will not adversely affect the conservation status of special conservation interest bird species and the integrity of related SPAs, either alone or in- combination with any other plans or projects, via this impact pathway. |
| Β3 | | If, despite the implementation of mitigation measures, there remains a risk that disturbance or displacement at a project level then the project will not be progressed unless an alternative solution can be implemented which avoids/reduces the impact to a level that the integrity of the related SPA remains unaffected. |
| Β4 | General | General avoidance and mitigation measures will be implemented unless project level environmental assessments or project level AA indicate that they are not required or not appropriate. At a project level should issues arise under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive then the project will not be progressed unless alternatives solutions cannot be identified, or additional measures |
| | | Implemented which would avoid the potential for AESI. Where alternative solutions cannot be identified project level assessments utilizing the full provisions for AA as set out in the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (the Habitats Directive) on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora may be necessary. |

7.3.3 Summary of Preferred Approach Assessment

Following implementation of the recommended SEA mitigation, it is anticipated that the only potential residual significant negative effects would be associated with landscape and visual amenity as at this early and high level stage there remains uncertainty regarding the degree to which mitigation identified for implementation down the line at project level assessment would be successful in offsetting the identified potential negative effects.

7.4 Inter-Plan Cumulative Effects

Other plans and programmes with greatest potential to interact with the draft Strategy are the legislation and policy documents identified in Table 3.1 as well as those plans and programmes which relate to transport or land use within the LSMA. Note that intra-plan cumulative effects are considered within the assessment of the

preferred Strategy provided in Chapter 7 in accordance with the methodology for the SEA outlined in section 6.2.4.

With regards to interactions with other plans and programmes relating to transport or land use planning, the potential cumulative effects shown in Table 7.7 have been identified and an assessment of likely cumulative impact (either positive of negative) identified against the relevant SEOs.

In order to address the potential for negative cumulative effects on biodiversity, cultural heritage and landscape and visual amenity as identified in Table 7.7 it is proposed that a 'LSMATS Natural Heritage Strategy' is developed and implemented which sets out aims and measures to which development under the LSMATS should adhere, for example:

- Minimum standards for habitat replacement, and design principles which should be adopted at scheme level (for example, specifying species rich grassland seeding along new road verges);
- Principles of new infrastructure design that should be adhered to in order to benefit townscapes;
- Principles of new infrastructure design that should be adhered to in order to maintain or enhance the setting of build heritage assets; and
- Overarching strategy for managing any archaeological finds detected during construction of new infrastructure, which includes a commitment to facilitating public benefit through measures such as community engagement and/or provision of interpretation boards or other media as appropriate.

The Strategy would be implemented within 12 months of adoption of the LSMATS and reviewed on an annual basis until 2040.

Further specific mitigation for potential negative cumulative effects would be best identified at project level through down the line EIA and AA processes as relevant and it is anticipated that these would be aligned with the LSMATS Natural Heritage Strategy discussed above as well as the measures identified against the LSMATS itself and outlined in further detail in Table 7.5 and Table 8.1. This includes measures such as careful siting and design of new infrastructure to avoid and minimise risks of loss of habitat, take account of effects on setting of built cultural heritage assets or landscape character and visual impact receptors as well as include the use of SuDs principles where relevant.

Table 7.7 Potential cumulative effects with other plans and programmes

| SEO(s) | | LSMATS Residual Effect (Summary) | Nature of Cumulative Effect | Plans and Programmes | | |
|--------|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Pote | Potential positive cumulative effects | | | | | |
| 1 | Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | +/0 | Positive cumulative effects on public health relating to: To reduced air and noise pollution associated with modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling. Increased activity levels associated with modal shift as identified above and improved walking and cycling recreational facilities. Accessibility to community facilities and services and places of employment and study facilitated through consolidated development and improved public transport availability. | NDP NPF 2040 SFILT Smarter Travel NIFTI adopted and emerging LCDP, LDP and CCDP | | |
| 7 | Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in air pollution. | +/0 | As identified under first bullet against SEO 1. | Shannon LMASP RSES | | |
| 8 | Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in noise pollution. | +/0 | As identified under first bullet against SEO 1. | - | | |
| 10 | Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | +/0 | Positive cumulative effects associated with modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling and electrification and/or use of alternative fuels and/or modes in the rail and freight sectors and associated reduction in usage of petroleum derived fuels for transport within the LSMATS. | NDP 2040 NIFTI SmarterTravel | | |



| SEO(s) | | LSMATS Residual Effect (Summary) | Nature of Cumulative Effect | Plans and Programmes |
|--------|---|--|--|---|
| 11 | Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks. Contribute to the reduction in transport related GHG emissions through modal changes or new technologies. | +/0 | Positive cumulative effects associated with modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling and support for the usage of electric vehicles and associated reduction in transport related carbon emissions within the LSMATS. | National Energy and Climate Plan 2021-2030. National Policy Framework on Alternative Fuels Infrastructure for Transport in Ireland National Renewable Energy Action Plan. Climate Action Plan 2021. |
| Pote | ntial mixed or negative cumulative effects | <u>.</u> | | <u>-</u> |
| 3 | Prevent damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | +/- | Negative cumulative effects on biodiversity resulting from loss of designated and non- designated habitats across the LSMA, reductions in water quality and flood risk resulting from increases in non- permeable surface area across the LSMA and landscape and visual amenity associated with and cultural heritage from other plans and programmes which support new infrastructure construction within the LSMA. | Other plans and programmes involving construction of new infrastructure within the |
| 4 | Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | +/- | | LSMA, including but not limited to: Limerick Smarter Travel. Water supply plans. Small scale bousing |
| 5 | Avoid damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, cultural heritage resources and their setting. | +/0 | | development and commercial development. Limerick City Centre regeneration programmes. |
| 12 | Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid | +/0 | | |

Final Limerick-Shannon Metropolitan Transport Strategy: Environmental Report

Jacobs

| SEO(s) | | LSMATS Residual Effect (Summary) | Nature of Cumulative Effect | Plans and Programmes |
|--------|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| | increasing risks from floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk. | | | |

7.5 Appropriate Assessment

The NIS (Jacobs 2022) for LSMATs, identified seven European sites, Lower River Shannon SAC, the Curraghchase Woods SAC, the Askeaton Fens Complex SAC, Tory Hill SAC, Ballyallia Lough SPA, Lough Derg (Shannon) SPA and River Shannon and River Fergus Estuaries SPA, have the potential to be affected by the LSMATS.

The assessment of the LSMATS against the conservation objectives of each European site has indicated that, with the implementation of mitigation measures, it is anticipated that the potential for adverse effects on site integrity as a result of the LSMATS would be avoided/mitigated. Throughout this plan-level assessment it has been highlighted that individual measures/projects resulting from the LSMATS will require further assessment at a project level to determine potential for LSE/AESI and appropriate strategy to ensure that the conservation objectives of the sites are not compromised, and that site integrity can be preserved. Based on the AA prepared in support of the LSMATS suitable avoidance /mitigation measures have been included to address the potential for AESI in relation to a European site as a result of the implementation of the strategy.

At a project level should issues arise under Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive, despite the implementation of avoidance/mitigation measures, and there remains a risk of AESI of a European site, the project will not be progressed unless an alternative solution cannot be implemented which avoids the potential for AESI. Where alternative solutions cannot be identified project level assessments utilizing the full provisions for AA as set out in the requirements of the EU Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (the Habitats Directive) on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora may be necessary.

The implementation of the LSMATS will focus on avoidance of LSE/AESI through an overarching commitment to applying the mitigation hierarchy at the plan level which would in turn inform the design/progression of projects and plans contained within the LSMATS. If required specific mitigation measures will be implemented to safeguard sensitive habitats and species listed as Qualifying Interests of the European Site.

In conclusion, subject to the full and proper implementation of the avoidance / mitigation measures detail in the NIS, there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of any European site(s), either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects as a result of progressing the LSMATS.

8. Monitoring and Implementation

The SEA regulations require that the significant environmental effects of the implementation of plans and programmes are monitored to identify at an early stage unforeseen adverse effects, and to be able to undertake appropriate remedial action. The environmental report is also required to provide a monitoring plan describing how the requirement to monitor will be implemented (Stage E of Table 1.1). To meet this requirement indicators and targets have been set for each SEO, these are listed in Table 8.1. These will provide the basis for monitoring the effects of the Strategy against the objectives following implementation.

Monitoring and analysis of potential impacts are expected to be undertaken at appropriate milestones during the implementation of the Strategy. In terms of programme, the implementation of the major public transport and roads infrastructure projects of the LSMATS will be determined by the outcome of the current review of the NDP. However, an indicative implementation plan in the LSMATS divides delivery into three suggested phases –short (up to 2026), medium (up to 2031), and longer term (up to 2040). Monitoring and analysis of impacts on identified in Table 8.1 will need to be undertaken periodically during these phases – for example, every two years - with results presented in a Monitoring Report including additional mitigation and recommendations where required.

The purpose of the monitoring plan is to enable a proactive approach by allowing review of the predicted impacts of the LSMATS and to undertake additional mitigation if required. It also encourages continual improvement towards the SEOs. The monitoring proposals for the LSMATS will also be updated following consultation on this Environmental Report and will form part of the SEA post adoption statement which will be published with the final Strategy. Commitment to the implementing mitigation measures and monitoring plan will be included in the final LSMATS and will be integrated into the overall monitoring and implementation of the strategy.

| LSMATS | This SEA Environmental Report | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Individual projects for measures supported under the LSMATS | Non-statutory Environmental Assessment Reports or other discipline specific environmental assessment works Appropriate As Water Framework Directiv Flood Risk Asse | Environmental Statement and supporting documentation where required under EIA Regulations sessment (AA) ve (WFD) Assessment essment (FRA) | | | |

Figure 8.1 Relationship between SEA for the LSMATS and environmental assessment undertaken for projects implemented under the LSMATS

Table 8.1 Monitoring and Implementation Plan

| SEO | Potential significant negative effects identified through SEA process | Indicators | Targets | Sources (and frequency of monitoring) |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | Disproportionate negative impact on certain vulnerable groups and/or on small businesses as a result of measures to reduce reliance on private vehicles and restrict or manage freight movement within Limerick City centre. Severance impacts associated with converting areas currently designated for street parking to bus lanes, with particular concern over routes in close proximity to locations of importance to vulnerable groups (e.g. schools, care homes, hospitals, other community facilities) and protected characteristic groups. | Significant adverse effects/disproportionate adverse effects on groups which fall under the nine protected groups identified under the Equal Status Acts 2004-2018 arising from implementation of measures or development under the LSMATS. Increase in health or social inequalities within the LSMATS. Targets and indicators as identified against SEOs for air quality and noise. | No unmitigated significant adverse effects or disproportionate adverse effects recorded against any groups which fall under one of the nine protected grounds identified in the Equal Status Acts (2000-2018). No widening of health or social inequalities within the LSMATS. | Assessments and reporting undertaken by NTA and local authorities under Section 42 of the Public Sector Equality and Human Right Duty (Irish Human Rights and Equality Commission Act 2014) (as required for implementation of development and strategies under the LSMATS - timescales currently unknown). The preliminary EqIA included recommendations on additional more detailed analysis and engagement going forward which should be considered as part of the overall strategy monitoring and reporting. CSO and All Island Research Observatory (AIRO) data for relevant measures such as mortality differentials, income and poverty rates, unemployment statistics, life expectancy, road accident statistics (annually for CSO data, every 4 years for AIRO data). |

Jacobs

| SEO | Potential significant negative effects identified through SEA process | Indicators | Targets | Sources (and frequency of monitoring) |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Avoid damage to recreation and amenity facilities through construction of new transport infrastructure and support and enhance access for tourism recreation. | Impact on tourist industry arising from disruption to highway and public transport routes during construction of new infrastructure. | Significant adverse effects on public access and recreation facilities identified through lower tier environmental assessment. | No temporary or permanent severance of existing footways, footpaths or cycleways. No permanent unmitigated significant adverse effects on recreational facilities through land take or changes in amenity (noise, dust, views) as a result of development under the Strategy. Achievement of objectives, targets and indicators outlined in Healthy Ireland Implementation Plan 2016 - 2019. | Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making including review of project approvals granted and associated documents (as required - timescales currently unknown). Fáilte Ireland visitor number and experience monitoring and publications (annually). |
| Prevent damage to, and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | Temporary or permanent habitat loss and/or mortality/disturbance to wildlife as a result of new infrastructure construction. Designated sites of concern include: 1) Lower River Shannon SAC. 2) Ballycar Lough pNHA, Fin Lough pNHA, Knockalisheen Marsh pNHA. Woodcock Hill | Conservation status of habitats and species as assessed under Article 17 of the Habitats Directive. Percentage loss of functional connectivity without remediation resulting from development under the LSMATS. Number of significant impacts on relevant habitats, species, environmental features or other | Maintenance of favourable conservation status for all habitats and species protected under national and European legislation to be unaffected by implementation of the LSMATS. Avoid significant impacts on relevant habitats, | Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making by local authorities (as required for implementation of development and strategies under the LSMATS - timescales currently unknown). Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage report of the implementation of the measures contained in the |

| SEO | Potential significant negative effects identified through SEA process | Indicators | Targets | Sources (and frequency of monitoring) |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Bog NHA, River Shannon and River Fergus Estuaries SPA/Fergus Estuary Inner Shannon, North Shore pNHA. 3) Inner Shannon Estuary – South Shore pNHA. | sustaining resources in designated sites resulting from development under the LSMATS. Number of derogation licences granted for developments under the LSMATS. | species, environmental features or other sustaining resources in designated sites provided for by the LSMATS. No significant impacts on the protection of listed species. Full compliance with LSMATS Natural Heritage Strategy requirements. | Habitats Directive- as required by Article 17 of the Directive (every 6 years). Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht's National Monitoring Report for the Birds Directive under Article 12 (every 3 years). Consultations with the NPWS. |
| Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | Temporary or permanent impacts on landscape and townscape as a result of construction of new infrastructure. | Number of unmitigated conflicts with the appropriate protection of statutory designations relating to the landscape, including those included in the land use plans of local authorities. | No unmitigated conflicts with the appropriate protection of statutory designations relating to the landscape, including those included in the land use plans of planning authorities. Full compliance with LSMATS Natural Heritage Strategy requirements. | Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making – including review of project approvals granted and associated documents (as required for implementation of development and strategies under the LSMATS - timescales currently unknown). |
| Avoid damage to, and where appropriate enhance, cultural | Temporary or permanent impacts on built heritage assets and known and unknown archaeological remains as a result of new infrastructure and reinstatement | Significant adverse effects on entries to the Record of Monuments and Places - including Zones of Archaeological Potential (and their context of the above | No unmitigated conflicts with entries to the Record of Monuments and Places or Records of Protected Structures or | Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making including review of project approvals granted and associated documents (as |

| SEO | Potential significant negative effects identified through SEA process | Indicators | Targets | Sources (and frequency of monitoring) |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| heritage resources and their setting. | of pedestrian crossing at Black Bridge, Limerick. | within the surrounding landscape where relevant) from significant adverse effects arising from the LSMATS. Significant adverse effects on entries to the Records of Protected Structures and Architectural Conservation Areas and their context from significant adverse effects arising from the LSMATS. | Archaeological Conservation Areas. Full compliance with LSMATS Natural Heritage Strategy requirements. | required for implementation of development and strategies under the LSMATS - timescales currently unknown). Consultation with Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht (annually). |
| Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of soil resources and contribute towards the appropriate management of soil resources and quality. | Loss and/or sterilisation of soil resources and/or disturbance of areas of ground contamination during construction of new infrastructure. | Significant adverse effects on IGHS sites. Development within greenfield land (ha). Development within agricultural land (ha). | No significant adverse effects on IGHS sites arising from development under the Strategy. Maximise the use of brownfield sites. Soil Management Plans utilised to protect valuable soils from development under the Strategy as far as practicable. | Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making including review of project approvals granted and associated documents (as required for implementation of development and strategies under the LSMATS - timescales currently unknown). Corine land cover mapping (5 yearly). |
| Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential | Local changes in air pollution levels associated with changes in traffic patterns arising from Park and Rides, freight consolidation centres and new railway lines. | EPA air pollutant monitoring. Significant adverse effects on sensitive receptors identified through lower tier environmental assessment. | To contribute towards compliance with legislative air quality limits and target values. No significant adverse effects on sensitive | EPA monitoring and publications on air quality (annually). Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making including review of project approvals granted and |

| SEO | Potential significant negative effects identified through SEA process | Indicators | Targets | Sources (and frequency of monitoring) |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| benefits from reduction in air pollution. | | | receptors as a result of development under the LSMATS. | associated documents (as required for implementation of development and strategies under the LSMATS - timescales currently unknown). |
| Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in noise pollution. | Local changes in noise pollution levels associated changes in traffic patterns arising from Park and Rides, freight consolidation centres and new railway lines. | Population size within LSMATS exposed to Lden and Lnight exceedances. Number of Lden and Lnight exceedances within the LSMATS. Significant adverse effects on sensitive receptors identified through lower tier environmental assessment. | No new or increased (in population size or degree of exceedance) unmitigated exceedances of Lden and Lnight thresholds arising from development implemented under the Strategy. No unmitigated significant adverse effects on sensitive receptors as a result of development under the LSMATS. | Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making including review of project approvals granted and associated documents (as required for implementation of development and strategies under the LSMATS - timescales currently unknown). Noise Action Plans prepared by local authorities (Clare County Council and Limerick City and County Council). |
| Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid increasing risks from floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk. | Increased areas of hardstanding required for new infrastructure may have adverse impact on flood risk. Potential negative impacts on WFD objectives as a result of new pedestrian and rail infrastructure spanning or adjacent to the Shannon, | Classification of Overall Status (comprised of ecological and chemical status) under the European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Waters) Regulations 2009 (SI No. 272 of 2009). Groundwater Quality Standards and Threshold Values under Directive 2006/118/EC. | No deterioration in the status of any surface water or groundwater or affect the ability of any surface water or groundwater to achieve "good status "by 2021. All lower tier assessments and decision making by local | Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making including review of project approvals granted and associated documents (as required for implementation of development and strategies under the LSMATS - timescales currently unknown). |

| SEO | Potential significant negative effects identified through SEA process | Indicators | Targets | Sources (and frequency of monitoring) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Blackwater, Barnakyle, Fergus and other WFD waterbodies. | Compliance of lower tier assessments and decision making by local authorities with the Flood Risk Management Guidelines. | authorities to comply with the Flood Risk Management Guidelines. | Data issued under the Water Framework Directive Monitoring Programme for Ireland (multi- annual). |
| Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | Land take from areas of greenfield land and agricultural land. | Development within greenfield land (ha). Development within productive agricultural land (ha). | Development within greenfield land (ha) minimised as far as practicable. Development within productive agricultural land (ha) minimised as far as practicable. | Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making including review of project approvals granted and associated documents (as required for implementation of development and strategies under the LSMATS - timescales currently unknown). Corine land cover mapping (5 yearly). |
| Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks, modal changes or new technologies. | Carbon emissions associated with: Construction and operation of new infrastructure. Changes in traffic volumes or patterns associated with new road infrastructure. | EPA carbon emissions monitoring. Carbon emission data as provided through carbon emission calculators (embodied and operational) for development under the LSMATS. | Reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from transport sector within the LSMATS. Positive contribution to Ireland's GHG emission targets. | EPA monitoring and publications on greenhouse gas emissions (annually). Carbon emissions calculators maintained for development under the Strategy (as required for implementation of development and strategies under the LSMATS - timescales currently unknown). Internal NTA consultations and review of documentation (as required for implementation of development and strategies |

Jacobs

| SEO | Potential significant negative effects identified through SEA process | Indicators | Targets | Sources (and frequency of monitoring) |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | under the LSMATS - timescales currently unknown). |
| To ensure that the resilience to climate change is designed for existing transport network and new network and promote improved environmental resilience to climate change. | Resilience of new infrastructure to future changes in climate. | New built infrastructure is compliant with the European Union (EU) waste hierarchy Irish Green Building Council (IGBC) guidance where relevant. All new infrastructure resilient to future changes in air temperature, precipitation, wind speeds and flood risk throughout full design life. | All new infrastructure resilient to future changes in air temperature, precipitation, wind speeds and flood risk throughout full design life. | Lower tier environmental assessment and decision making including review of project approvals granted and associated documents (as required for implementation of development and strategies under the LSMATS - timescales currently unknown). |

9. Conclusions

This Environmental Report is an update to assessment of the potential environmental effects on the revised draft LSMATS taking account of the consultation comments received and the final LSMATS. The Environmental Report provides a summary of the SEA that has been undertaken as part of the development of the LSMATS to comply with the SEA Regulations.

As part of the SEA, the baseline environment was reviewed and likely trends and changes affecting the environment over the lifetime of the LSMATS identified based on best available current knowledge. Relevant legislation, policies, plans and programmes were considered in terms of the constraints, opportunities and priorities for assessment. Together these provide the context for the assessment and were used to highlight key sensitivities within the SEA study area which included the LSMA, plus any National and European designated sites within the Zone of Influence (ZoI) of the LSMA identified on the basis of a source-pathway-receptor basis.

A Scoping Report was issued to consultees in September and October 2019 for a four week consultation period. This document outlined the proposed SEOs, as well as the scope and methodology for assessment. Consultation on the draft Strategy and accompanying Environmental Report was undertaken between the 2nd September and 16th October 2020. This revised Environmental Report incorporates the comments provided through consultation on the Scoping Report and draft Environmental Report where possible and relevant.

The development of the Strategy was guided through seven principles:

- 1) To meet the demand generated by existing and planned development.
- 2) To support the future growth of the LSMA through the provision of an efficient transport network.
- 3) To prioritise active travel and sustainable transport and reduce car dependency within the LSMA.
- 4) To provide a high level of public transport connectivity to key destinations within high demand corridors.
- 5) To identify and protect key strategic routes for the movement of freight traffic to the Port of Foynes, Shannon Airport and industrial areas.
- 6) To enhance the public realm through traffic management and transport interventions.
- 7) To increase transport capacity where needed to achieve the Strategy outcomes.

The Strategy includes measures and objectives relating to improvements in the bus, rail, cycling and walking networks within the LSMA along with demand management (parking) measures and measures relating to freight transport specifically. Additional supporting measures have also been identified to help attractiveness and accessibility of public transport, walking and cycling and support the use of low carbon transport technologies.

Key significant effects assessed for the draft Strategy include potential positive effects on public health associated with reductions in transport related air and noise pollution as a result of modal shift towards public transport and active travel modes as well as increases in physical activity levels due to improvements in the amenity and availability of active travel and recreational journeys. Modal shift towards the use of public transport, walking and cycling as a result of measures included within the Strategy would also have a significant positive effect on climate through a reduction in transport related carbon emissions within the LSMATS. Potential significant negative effects would primarily be related to risks to habitats (including European and nationally designated sites) and wildlife, flooding, archaeology, landscape character and public views as a result of new infrastructure (particularly road and rail) construction. Cumulative impacts with other plans and programmes have also been considered as part of the SEA, with significant positive and potential significant negative effects (in the absence of SEA mitigation) being of similar nature to those previously described for the Strategy alone.

The SEA has identified a range of mitigation measures to reduce or, where practicable, fully mitigate the potential impacts of the Strategy which have been incorporated into a monitoring and implementation plan to be implemented throughout the lifetime of the LSMATS. The SEA has identified no potential significant residual negative effects are anticipated following implementation of the SEA mitigation recommended, with the potential

exception of the impacts on biodiversity, with potential for negative effects and landscape and visual amenity for which mixed positive and negative impacts are anticipated. These reflect uncertainty over the level of success of the recommended biodiversity and landscape mitigation for new road and cycle path infrastructure which cannot be fully assessed at plan level.

9.1 Next Steps

An SEA Statement will be produced outlining how the SEA has influenced the final LSMATS and how consultation comments have been taken into account and the Statement will include the final Monitoring Plan for the LSMATS.

Jacobs

10.

References

Clare County Council. 2013.Strategic Integrated Framework Plan for the Shannon Estuary 2013-2020. Accessed: 04/04/2019. Available at: <u>http://www.shannonestuarysifp.ie/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/volume_ils.pdf</u>

Clare County Council. 2017. Clare County Development Plan 2017-2023. Available at: <u>https://www.clarecoco.ie/services/planning/publications/clare-county-development-plan-2017-2023-volume-1-written-statement-24125.pdf</u>

Clare County Council. 2018. Noise Action Plan 2018-2023. Accessed: 24/04/20. Available at: <u>https://www.clarecoco.ie/services/environment/publications/draft-noise-action-plan-2018-27548.pdf</u>

Clare County Council. 2019. Climate Change Adaptation Strategy 2019-2040. Accessed: 24/04/20. Available at: <u>https://www.clarecoco.ie/services/environment/publications/clare-climate-change-adaptation-strategy-2019-2024-33843.pdf</u>

Central Statistics Office. 2019a. Monthly Unemployment; February 2019. Accessed: 20/03/2019, Available at: <u>https://www.cso.ie/en/releasesandpublications/er/mue/monthlyunemploymentfebruary2019/</u>

Central Statistics Office. 2019b.2011 Area Profiles for Counties, Dáil Constituencies and Towns. County Clare and County Limerick. Accessed at: 01/04/2019. Available at: <u>http://census.cso.ie/areaprofiles/</u>

Central Statistics Office. 2019c. Transport Omnibus 2017. Accessed: 01/04/2019, Available at:<u>https://www.cso.ie/en/releasesandpublications/ep/p-tranom/transportomnibus2017/roadsafetyandroadlengths/</u>

Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine. 2018. Forest Statistics Ireland 2018. Accessed: 05/08/2019. Available at:

https://www.agriculture.gov.ie/media/migration/forestry/forestservicegeneralinformation/ForestStatisticsIreland 211218.pdf

Department of Communications, Climate Action and Environment (DCCAE). 2017. National Mitigation Plan. Accessed: 15/06/2020. Available at: https://www.dccae.gov.ie/documents/National%20Mitigation%20Plan%202017.pdf

DCCAE. 2018. National Adaptation Framework. Accessed: 15/06/20. Available at: https://www.dccae.gov.ie/en-

ie/climate-action/topics/adapting-to-climate-change/national-adaptation-framework/pages/default.aspx

DCCAE. 2018. National Implementation Plan for the Sustainable Development Goals 2018-2020. Accessed: 15/06/20. Available at: https://www.dccae.gov.ie/en-ie/environment/topics/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/Pages/National-Implementation-Plan-2018---2020.aspx

DCCAE. 2021. National Energy and Climate Plan 2021-2030. Accessed: 15/03/2022. Available at: gov.ie -Ireland's National Energy and Climate Plan 2021-2030 (www.gov.ie) https://www.gov.ie/en/publication/0015cirelands-national-energy-climate-plan-2021-2030/

DCCAE. 2021. Climate Action Plan 2021 Accessed: 15/03/22. Available at: https://www.dccae.gov.ie/enie/climate-action/publications/Pages/Climate-Action-Plan.aspx

DCCAE. 2020. National Air Pollution Control Programme. Accessed: 15/06/20. Available at: https://www.dccae.gov.ie/en-ie/environment/consultations/Pages/Public-Consultation-on-the-National-Air-Pollution-Control-Programme.aspx

Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport (DTTaS). 2015. Strategic Framework for Investment in Land Transport (SFILT). Accessed: 25/03/2019. Available at:

www.dttas.ie/sites/default/files/content/corporate/english/general/sfilt-investing-our-transportfuture/investing-our-transport-future.pdf

DTTaS. 2017. National Policy Framework on Alternative Fuels Infrastructure for Transport in Ireland – 2017-2030. Accessed: 15/06/20. Available at: https://www.gov.ie/en/press-release/0c575f-minister-publishes-nationalpolicy-framework-on-alternative-fuels-in/DTTaS. 2019. Transport Climate Change Sectoral Adaptation Plan. Accessed: 24/04/20. Available at: https://www.gov.ie/en/publication/a2444e-sectoral-adaptation-plan-fortransport-infrastructure/

Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, 2010. Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland: Guidance for Planning Authorities

Department of Housing, Planning and Local Government. 2018. River Basin Management Plan 2018-2021. Accessed: 15/06/20. Available at: <u>https://www.housing.gov.ie/water/water-quality/river-basin-management-plan-2018-2021</u>

Department of Housing, Planning and Local Government. 2021. Draft River Basin Management Plan for Ireland 2022-2027 Accessed 15/03/22 Available at:

Environmental Protection Agency. 2008 as updated. SEA Process Checklist – Consultation Draft. Accessed 15/03/2022. Available at: <u>http://www.epa.ie/pubs/advice/ea/seaprocesschecklist.html</u>

Environmental Protection Agency. 2010. Shannon River Basin Management Plan (2009-2015). Accessed: 05/09/2019. Available at: http://www.wfdireland.ie/docs/1_River%20Basin%20Management%20Plans%202009%20-

%202015/ShIRBD%20RBMP%202010/ShIRBD%20RBMP%202010.pdf

EPA. 2020. Ireland's Environment: An Integrated Assessment Accessed 20/04/2020. Available at: https://www.epa.ie/pubs/reports/indicators/SOER2020_HiRES.pdf

European Commission; Digital Economy and Society Index (DESI). 2018. Country Report Ireland. Accessed: 12/09/2018. Available at: <u>http://ec.europa.eu/information_society/newsroom/image/document/2018-20/ie-desi_2018-country-profile_eng_B4406C2F-97C3-AA9A-53C27B701589A4F3_52225.pdf</u>

European Union. 2011. The EU Biodiversity Strategy to 2020. Accessed: 13/08/2018. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/info/pubs/docs/brochures/2020%20Biod%20brochure%20final%20l owres.pdf

Fáilte Ireland. 2015. Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme (2015-2019). Accessed: 15/06/20. Available at: https://www.failteireland.ie/Wild-Atlantic-Way/The-Wild-Atlantic-Way-Operational-Programme/Wild-Atlantic-Way-Operational-Programme.aspx

Fáilte Ireland, 2019. Draft Burren Cliffs of Moher Visitor Experience Development. Accessed: 15/06/2020. Available at:

http://failteireland.ie/FailteIreland/media/WebsiteStructure/Documents/2_Develop_Your_Business/Key%20Pro jects/WORKING-DRAFT-Burren-_Cliffs-VEDP-(Oct-11-2019).pdf

Government of Ireland. 2018. National Planning Framework (NPF), Project Ireland 2040. Accessed at: 25/03/2019. Available at: <u>http://npf.ie/wp-content/uploads/Project-Ireland-2040-NPF.pdf</u>

Government of Ireland. 2018. National Development Strategy 2018-2027 (NDP), Project Ireland 2040. Accessed at: 25/03/2019. Available at: <u>https://www.per.gov.ie/en/national-development-plan-2018-2027/</u>

Government of Ireland, Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine 2019. Annual Forest Sector Statistics. Accessed at: 04/04/2019. Available at:

https://www.agriculture.gov.ie/forestservice/forestservicegeneralinformation/foreststatisticsandmapping/annual forestsectorstatistics/

Health Service Executive. 2015. County Health Profiles County Clare, Limerick County, and Limerick City. Accessed at: 01/04/2019. Available

at:<u>https://www.hse.ie/eng/services/list/5/publichealth/publichealthdepts/pub/profiles.html</u>

Irish Trails. (n.d.), National Trails Office. Accessed at: 05/08/2018, Available at: http://www.irishtrails.ie/

Jacobs. 2019a. Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Transport Strategy; Baseline Conditions and Policy Context Report.

Jacobs. 2019b. Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Transport Strategy; Demand Analysis Report.

Limerick City and County Council. 2010. Limerick County Development Plan 2010-2016. Accessed: 01/04/2019. Available at: https://www.limerick.ie/sites/default/files/media/documents/2018-04/Limerick%20County%20Development%20Plan%202010-2016%20%28with%20variation%201-3%2C%205%266%29_0.pdfhttps://www.limerick.ie/sites/default/files/media/documents/2018-04/Limerick%20County%20Development%20Plan%202010-2016%20%28with%20variation%201-3%2C%205%266%29_0.pdf

Limerick City and County Council. 2010. Limerick City Development Plan 2010-2016. Limerick City Development Plan Review. Accessed: 01/04/2019. Available at: https://www.limerick.ie/sites/default/files/limerick_city_development_plan_2010-2016_as_varied_1-5_print_0.pdf

Limerick City and County Council. 2018. Noise Action Plan. Accessed: 24/04/20. Available at: https://www.limerick.ie/council/services/environment/environmental-control/noise-action-plan-2018-2023

Limerick City and County Council. 2019a. Climate Change Adaptation Strategy 2019-2024. Accessed: 24/04/20. Available at: <u>https://www.limerick.ie/sites/default/files/media/documents/2019-09/Limerick-City-and-County-Council-Climate-Change-Adaptation-Strategy-2019-2024.pdf</u>

Limerick City and County Council. 2019b. Castletroy Local Area Plan. Accessed: 18/06/2020. Available at: https://www.limerick.ie/sites/default/files/media/documents/2019-01/Castletroy%20LAP%202019-2025%20Circulation.pdf

Limerick City and Council. n.d. Limerick Metropolitan Cycle Network Study. Accessed: 19/08/2019. Available at: https://www.limerick.ie/sites/default/files/media/documents/2018-05/Limerick%20Metropolitan%20Cycle%20Network%20Study_0.pdf

Limerick City and County Council. n.d. Draft Limerick Tourism and Marketing Strategy 2019-2023. Accessed: 05/08/2019. Available at: <u>https://www.limerick.ie/sites/default/files/media/documents/2019-01/04%20%28a%29%20Draft%20%20Limerick%20Tourism%20Development%20Strategy%202019-2023.pdf</u>

Limerick City and County Council. nd. Food Strategy for Limerick 2015-2018. Accessed: 05/08/2019. Available at:

https://www.limerick.ie/sites/default/files/limerick city and county council food strategy for limerick 2016-2018.pdf

Mid-West Regional Planning Guidelines 2010 – 2022 Vol. 1, Mid-West Regional Authority. Accessed 01/04/2019. Available at: <u>https://www.southernassembly.ie/uploads/general-files/http---www.southernassembly_ie-images-uploads-MW_RPGs_pdf</u>

National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS). 2017. National Biodiversity Action Plan 2017-2021. Accessed 28/06/2021. Available at:

https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/publications/pdf/National%20Biodiversity%20Action%20Plan%20Engli sh.pdf

National Transport Authority (NTA). April 2022. Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Transport Strategy: Transport Modelling Assessment Report

Office of the Planning Regulator, 2021. Practice Note (PN) 01 Appropriate Assessment Screening for Development Management

SIFP Steering Group (2013). SIFP for the Shannon Estuary (2013-2020). Accessed: 15/06/2020. Available at: http://www.shannonestuarysifp.ie/.

Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TII) Strategic Noise Modelling 2017. Accessed: 04/04/2019. Available at: <u>http://tii-gis.maps.arcgis.com/apps/StorytellingSwipe/index.html?appid=b73a34d41dc942aa959d318214a53acf#</u>

Waterways Ireland. 2021. Tourism Masterplan for the Shannon. Accessed: 15/03/2022. Available at: https://www.waterwaysireland.org/about-us/public-consultations

World Health Organisation. 2012. WHO Estimating the Burden of disease from Environmental Noise 2012. Accessed: 01/04/2019. Available at: http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0008/136466/e94888.pdf

Appendix A. Plans, Policies and Programmes (PPP) Review

A.1 Key National Policy Influences

Project Ireland 2040 is the overarching policy and planning framework for the social, economic and cultural development of Ireland. It assumes that 25 years from now, one million extra people will be living in the State, and the framework aims to provide a coordinated and sustainable approach to balanced regional development. Project Ireland 2040 includes the 20-year National Planning Framework 2040, the National Development Strategy 2018-2027, and a detailed capital investment Strategy for the period 2018 to 2027.

A.1.1 National Planning Framework 2040 (Department of Housing, Planning, Community and Local Government, 2018)

The National Planning Framework (NPF) 2040 is a strategic development framework setting out the long-term context for Ireland's physical development and associated progress in economic, social and environmental terms. The NPF is being followed and underpinned by supporting policies and actions at sectoral, regional and local level.

In the period to 2040, the NPF recognises Dublin as Ireland's key international and global city of scale and principal economic driver, accounting for 25% of growth. A further 25% of growth is estimated to occur across the other four cities combined (Cork, Limerick, Galway and Waterford), enabling all four to become cities of greater scale by growing their population and jobs by 50-60%. The remaining 50% of growth relates to key regional centres, towns, villages and rural areas, to be determined in the forthcoming Regional Spatial and Economic Strategies (RSESs).

Under the framework three regional assemblies have been identified, Eastern and Midland, Northern and Western, and Southern. Limerick and Clare are part of the Southern Region Assembly. Each of the assemblies is illustrated in Figure A.1 below.



Figure A.1 Ireland's Regional Assembly Areas and Northern Ireland (Source: National Planning Framework 2040)

The NPF identifies the most significant challenge for the Southern Region in the period to 2040, "to position its cities as more significantly scaled, while also more compact and attractive, acting as metropolitan drivers for the region as a whole and as effective complements to the economic strength of Dublin. Ensuring a balanced approach in activating and realising much underutilised potential in wider rural towns and dispersed communities will also be a priority.as the positioning of its cities as metropolitan drivers for the region as a whole, and as effective complements to the economic strength of Laboratory and the rural towns are dispersed communities will also be a priority.as the positioning of its cities as metropolitan drivers for the region as a whole, and as effective complements to the economic strength of the Greater Dublin Area."

Due to the large geographical extent of each assembly, each regional strategy will be informed by smaller Strategic Planning Areas which cover key economic catchments. The City of Limerick lies within the Mid-West Strategic Planning Areas, along with County Clare, County Limerick and much of County Tipperary. Shannon Airport and the Tier 1 Shannon-Foynes Port on the Shannon Estuary are also key resources within the region, with potential for further growth.

Limerick is projected to grow with at least an additional 55,000 people by 2040 to support a minimum population of 145,000 within the CSO defined *City and Suburbs* alone.

The key transport growth enablers outlined in the NPF that are relevant to the development of LSMATS are summarised below.

- Implement the Limerick 2030 economic strategy to revitalise and redevelop Limerick City Centre and further extend the Strategy towards Limerick Docks area;
- Identify development opportunities to improve housing and employment in suburban areas;
- Develop new greenfield areas for housing, along with the provision of sustainable public transport and infrastructure required to support this development;
- Develop a Citywide public transport network which enhances accessibility from the City Centre to the National Technological Park, UL and Shannon Airport;
- Develop a strategic cycleway network to include a number of high-capacity "flagship routes";
- Improve the road connectivity to Shannon-Foynes Port, through the use of local by-passes; and
- Enhance the regional connectivity by road to both Cork and Waterford by improving average journey times.

A.1.2 The National Development Strategy (NDP) 2018-2027

The National Development Strategy 2018-2027 (NDP) was published in tandem with the NPF to support the delivery of the framework's objectives. It sets out the investment priorities that will underpin the successful implementation of the NPF, and guides national, regional and local planning and investment decisions in Ireland, including in the transport sector.

In order to drive a more balanced approach to Ireland's long term economic, environmental and social progress, a core priority under the NPF is "the essential requirement to enhance and upgrade accessibility between urban centres of population and their regions, in parallel with the initiation of compact growth of urban centres. This has a crucial role to play in maximising the growth potential of the regional urban centres and the economy as a whole".

The NDP is fully integrated with the approach to Ireland's spatial planning in the NPF and provides a comprehensive breakdown of the ten National Strategic Outcomes (NSO):

- 8) Compact Growth;
 - Enhanced Regional Accessibility;
 - Strengthened Rural Economies and Communities;
 - Sustainable Mobility;
 - A Strong Economy, supported by Enterprise, Innovation and Skills;
 - High-Quality International Connectivity;
 - Enhanced Amenity and Heritage;
 - Transition to a Low-Carbon and Climate-Resilient Society;
 - Sustainable Management of Water and other Environmental Resources; and
 - Access to Quality Childcare, Education and Health Services.

The NSOs most relevant to the area of transport planning are as follows:

NSO 2: Enhanced Regional Accessibility "A core priority under the NPF is the essential requirement to enhance and upgrade accessibility between urban centres of population and their regions, in parallel with the initiation of compact growth of urban centres. This has a crucial role to play in maximising the growth potential of the regional urban centres and the economy as a whole. "

NSO 4: Sustainable Mobility "An environmentally sustainable public transport system will enable growth and change, meet the significant increase in travel demand and urban congestion while also contributing to our national policy vision of a low-carbon economy."

NSO 6: High-Quality International Connectivity "As an island, continued investment in our port and airport connections to the UK, the EU and the rest of the world, is integral to underpinning international competitiveness. It is also central to responding to the challenges as well as the opportunities arising from Brexit".

If transport planning within the local area is neglected however, this will also have a negative impact on achievement of the other NSOs, such as NSO 1: Compact Growth, NSO 3: Strengthened Rural Economies and Communities, and NSO 10: Access to Quality Childcare, Education and Health Services.

A number of the investment actions outlined within the NDP are of particular relevance to the LSMATS:

- Improvement of the existing M20 Cork to Limerick Road;
- N21/N69 Limerick to Adare to Foynes, to improve access to Shannon Foynes Port;
- N24 Cahir to Limerick Junction; and
- The Limerick Regeneration Program.

A.1.3 Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (Amendment) Act 2021

In July 2021 the Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (Amendment) Act 2021 was signed into law.

This Act establishes the following national climate objective:

"The State shall, so as to reduce the extent of further global warming, pursue and achieve, by no later than the end of the year 2050, the transition to a climate resilient, biodiversity rich, environmentally sustainable and climate neutral economy."

To achieve that objective the Act sets out a number of actions. These include:

- The preparation of an annual update to the Climate Action Plan 2019;
- The preparation, not less frequently than once every 5 years, of a national long term climate action strategy (referred to as a 'national long term climate action strategy);
- The establishment of carbon budgets, aligned with the achievement of the national climate objective, for consecutive five year periods;
- The preparation of "sectoral emissions ceilings" which establish the maximum amount of greenhouse gas emissions that are permitted in different sectors of the economy during the five year period of a carbon budget; and
- The preparation of "local authority climate action plans" covering periods of five years, which are required to specify the mitigation measures and the adaption measures to be adopted by the relevant local authority in relation to climate matters.

An obligation that public bodies must take account of Climate Action Plans in the performance of their functions. The Act provides that the first two five-year carbon budgets should equate to a total reduction of 51% over the period to 2030, relative to a baseline of 2018. While that overall target has not yet been disaggregated into sectorial targets, it is understood that the transport sector will be required to achieve this 51% reduction in full.

This is a highly significant and challenging target, which will fundamentally guide and direct transport provision and use in Ireland over the next decade. Achieving this target will require a major transformation in transport patterns, focused on increasing travel by sustainable modes and reducing travel by petrol/diesel powered vehicles.

A.1.4 Climate Action Plan 2021

The Climate Action Plan 2021 (Government of Ireland 2021b) sets out at a National Level how Ireland is to halve its emissions by 2030 (51% reduction) and reach net zero no later than 2050. The Climate Action Plan is a route map to delivering Ireland's climate ambition and there are 475 actions identified that extend to all sectors of the economy aiming to transform Ireland to a low carbon nation over the next three decades.

With regards to modal shift the Climate Action Plan 2021 sets out that:

'The proposed pathway in transport is focussed on accelerating the electrification of road transport, the use of biofuels, and a modal shift to transport modes with lower energy consumption (e.g. public and active transport)'

Promoting more sustainable travel modes is seen as critical for climate policy. It offers an opportunity to 'improve our health, boost the quality of our lives, meet the need of our growing urban centres and connect our rural, urban and suburban communities'.

A.1.5 Strategic Framework for Investment in Land Transport (SFILT)

The Department of Transport (DoT) (formerly the Department of Transport, Travel and Sport or DTTAS) is the Government Department responsible for the development of safe and sustainable transport, tourism, and sport to support economic growth and social progress in Ireland. The DoT has over-sight of the decision-making framework specific to transport investment, and the Strategic Framework for Investment in Land Transport (SFILT) was published in 2015 by DTTAS.

The main output of the SFILT was to identify high-level priorities for future investment in land transport, against a backdrop of growing, though still constrained, funding after the recession. SFILT identified the following three high-level priorities:

- Achieve 'steady state' maintenance. The first priority was to ensure the maintenance and renewal expenditure necessary to keep the system in adequate condition. The steady state cost of the land transport network was estimated in SFILT to be €1.6 million per annum.
- 2) Address urban congestion. The next priority was to address urban congestion. It was expected that this would include measures to: improve and expand public transport capacity; improve and expand walking and cycling infrastructure; enhance the use of Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS). A 2017 DTTaS report found that congestion in the Greater Dublin Area had an economic cost of more than €358 million in 2013, and this was projected to grow to more than €2 billion per annum by 2035, in the absence of additional measures and investment.
- 3) Maximise the contribution of land transport networks to our national development. The final priority was to maximise the value of existing networks through: enhanced efficiency of the network, particularly through ITS; improved connections to key airports and seaports; provide better access for poorly served regions, large-scale employment proposals, to complete missing links, and to address critical safety issues; and to support identified national and regional spatial planning priorities.

A.1.6 National Investment Framework for Transport in Ireland (NIFTI) 2021 (Department of Transport)

The National Investment Framework for Transport in Ireland was published in December 2021 following consultation in 2021. Its purpose is to support the delivery of the National Planning Framework. The NIFTI investment priorities are as follows:

- Decarbonisation;
- Protection and Renewal;
- Mobility of People and Goods in Urban Areas; and
- Enhanced Regional and Rural Connectivity.

NIFTI sets out a hierarchy of travel modes to be accommodated and encouraged when investments and other interventions are made. Sustainable modes, starting with active travel and then public transport, will be encouraged over less sustainable modes such as the private car.

A hierarchy of intervention types has been developed to ensure that investment is proportionate to the problem identified. The NIFTI intervention hierarchy set out four high-level categories of investment: Maintain; Optimise; Improve; and New.

A.1.7 Smarter Travel: A Sustainable Transport Future 2009-2020 (Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport, 2009)

The Government policy document 'smarter Travel: A Sustainable Transport Future 2009 – 2020", recognises the vital importance of continued investment in transport to ensure an efficient economy and continued social development, but it also sets out the necessary steps to ensure that people choose more sustainable transport modes such as walking, cycling and public transport.

The policy acknowledges that continued growth in demand for road transport is not sustainable due to the impact on congestion, local air pollution, contribution to global warming and promotion of increasingly sedentary lifestyles. Its main objective is to promote a significant modal shift from private transport to public transport and active modes over the period up to 2020 and also to reduce the share of travel demand growth that is car dependant. Controlling development so that it is sustainable / public transport oriented, is identified as a mechanism by which this can be achieved.

The key goals of the policy document are to:

- Improve quality of life and accessibility to transport for all and with emphasis on people with reduced mobility and those who may experience isolation due to lack of transport;
- Improve economic competitiveness through maximising the efficiency of the transport system and alleviating congestion and infrastructural bottlenecks;
- Minimise the negative impacts of transport on the local and global environment through reducing localised air pollutants and greenhouse gas emissions;
- Reduce overall travel demand and commuting distances travelled by the private car; and
- Improve security of energy supply by reducing dependency on imported fossil fuels.

These are to be achieved through four main actions;

- Actions to reduce distance travelled by private car and encourage smarter travel, including focusing population growth in areas of employment and to encourage people to live near places of employment and the use of pricing mechanisms or fiscal measures to encourage behavioural change;
- Actions aimed at ensuring that alternatives to the car are more widely available, mainly through a radically improved public transport service and through investment in cycling and walking;
- Actions aimed at improving the fuel efficiency of motorised transport through improved fleet structure, energy efficient driving and alternative technologies; and
- Actions aimed at strengthening institutional arrangements.

Smarter Travel outlines a key target to reduce work-related commuting by car from a current modal share of 65% to 45%, with commuting by alternative sustainable modes rising to 55% by 2020.

A.2 Key Regional Policy and Guidance

A.2.1 Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy (RSES)

The LSMA lies within the area of the Southern Regional Assembly, which was established on 1st January 2015, as one of three Assemblies in Ireland along with the Eastern and Midland, and Northern and Western regional assemblies. Each new assembly is tasked with preparing a new Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy (RSES) for their region, which will provide a link between the NPF, the relevant City and County Development Plans, and the Local Economic and Community Plans. The RSES will provide a long-term regional level strategic planning and economic framework for the future physical, economic and social developments for the region, to ensure the balanced dispersal of economic growth and development.

The Southern Regional Assembly published the final version of the RSES for 2020 to 2031 in January 2020 for the Southern Region. The RSES outlines strategic priorities for the Limerick Metropolitan Area to ensure it can fulfil its strategic function as a driver for growth in the Mid-West Region. The delivery of the LSMATS and an integrated multi-modal transport network is recognised as a key priority.

Key policies relevant to the LSMATs, SEA and project level environmental assessment include RSES Regional Policy Objective O1 which states "Any reference to support for all plans, projects, activities and development in the RSES should be considered to refer to 'environmentally sustainable development' that has no adverse effects on the integrity of European sites and no net loss of biodiversity". "The RSES seeks to protect, manage, and through enhanced ecological connectivity, improve the coherence of the Natura 2000 Network in the Southern Region." In addition, RPO 124(d) requires future development of greenways to include an assessment of any impacts that may arise from increased visitor pressures, in particular on sensitive European sites and "the design of the network will consider the provision of protective measures on sites sensitive to disturbance/visitor pressure". Section 7.2.7 of the RSES details policy on the development of Greenways, Blueways & Peatways and RPO 201 states "Proposals for investment in walking and cycling facilities, greenway and blueway corridors should be based on rigorous site/route selection studies and Local authorities should ensure that decision-making in relation to such developments is informed by an appropriate level of environmental assessment, including all necessary reports to assess the potential impact on designated European sites and on biodiversity."

A.2.2 Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Strategic Plan (LSMASP)

RSESs are developed to co-ordinate local authority plans at a strategic and regional assembly level. However, the area of the assemblies is too broad to be able to sufficiently focus on city and metropolitan issues. Accordingly, in tandem with and as part of the RSES process, the NPF stipulates that five coordinated MASPs for the Dublin, Cork, Limerick Shannon, Galway and Waterford Metropolitan Areas will be prepared.

The MASP will provide statutory underpinning in line with the relevant RSES, to act as 12-year strategic planning and investment frameworks for the city metropolitan areas, addressing high-level and long-term strategic development issues.

Key transport priorities for the LSMA include the following measures.

- Preparation of the LSMATS;
- Target growth along high-quality public transport corridors and nodes linked to the delivery of key public transport projects;
- Implementation of an integrated, multi-modal public transport network across the Metropolitan Area servicing strategic residential and employment growth locations;
- Development and promotion of existing intercity rail and commuter links from Limerick to Dublin, Cork, and Galway, together with Ennis, Nenagh, Thurles, Clonmel, Ballybrophy and Athenry;
- Reinstatement of the Limerick to Foynes rail line, linking Ireland's deepest port to the national rail network; and
- Investigate the potential for a higher speed rail link between Dublin and Limerick City.

Final Limerick-Shannon Metropolitan Transport Strategy: Environmental Report

Jacobs



Figure A.2 Limerick Shannon Metropolitan Area Strategic Plan. (Source: RSES 2018)

LSMATS, in combination with the RSES for the Southern Region and the MASP for the LSMA, will provide the building blocks for regional planning within the Limerick Shannon area, as informed by the national policy objectives within the NPF and the NDP.

A.2.4 Other

Other relevant land use and economic plans and strategies include:

- Strategic Integrated Framework Plan (SIFP) for the Shannon Estuary (2013-2020) (SIFP Steering Group, 2013);
- Wild Atlantic Way Operational Programme (2015-2019) (Fáilte Ireland, 2015);
- Draft Tourism Masterplan for the Shannon (Waterways Ireland, 2020); and
- Draft Burren Cliffs of Moher Visitor Experience Development Plan (Fáilte Ireland, 2019).

A.3 Key Local Policy and Guidance

The following local development plans set out land use plans and transport planning measures for areas within the geographic scope for the LSMATS:

- Limerick County Development Plan 2010-2016 (Limerick County Council, 2010);
- Limerick City Development Plan 2010-2016 (Limerick City Council, 2010);
- Clare County Development Plan 2017-2023: [including Shannon Municipal District Volume 3 (b)] (Clare CDP) (Clare County Council, 2017);
- Emerging Limerick Development Plan 2022-2028;
- Emerging Clare County Development Plan 2022-2028;
- Southern Environs Local Area Strategy 2011-2017 (extended to 2021) (LCCC, 2011);
- Shannon Town and Environs Local Area Plan 2012-2018 (CCC, 2012);
- Castletroy Local Area Plan 2019-2025 (LCCC, 2019b);
- Limerick Metropolitan Cycle Network Study (LCCC, 2018); and
- Limerick Metropolitan District Movement Framework Study (LCCC, 2015).

In addition, the following local level plans and strategies relating to noise, air quality and climate for areas within the geographic scope for the LSMATS are relevant:

- Draft Climate Change Adaptation Strategy 2019-2024 (Limerick City and County Council (LCCC), 2019b);
- Climate Change Adaptation Strategy 2019-2024 (Clare County Council, 2019);
- Noise Action Plan 2018-2023 (Clare County Council, 2018); and
- Noise Action Plan 2018-2023 (Limerick City and County Council, 2018).

Appendix B. Individual Element Assessment Matrices

| Description of effect | Significance |
|--|--------------|
| The draft strategy objective / recommended investment priority is likely to have a significant positive effect on the environmental receptors associated with this objective. | + |
| The draft strategy objective / recommended investment priority may have neutral or significant positive effects on the environmental receptors associated with this objective depending on how the policy or objective is delivered. | 0/+ |
| The draft strategy objective / recommended investment priority is likely to have mixed significant positive & negative effects on the environmental receptors associated with this objective. | +/- |
| The draft strategy objective/ recommended investment priority is likely to have a neutral effect on the environmental receptors associated with this objective. | 0 |
| The draft strategy objective / recommended investment priority may have neutral or significant negative effects on the environmental receptors associated with this objective depending on how the policy or objective is delivered. | 0/- |
| The draft strategy objective / recommended investment priority is likely to have a significant negative effect on the environmental receptors associated with this objective. | - |
| The draft strategy objective / recommended investment priority effects are uncertain/there is insufficient information on which to determine effect on potential environmental receptors associated with this objective at this stage. | ? |

B.1 Bus Assessment Matrix

LSMATS Measure BC1 BusConnects Limerick

Changes to the service network to include:

- A 'branch and spine' network;
- Orbital routes;
- Additional Radial routes;
- Increased capacity and frequency; and
- Demand Responsive Transport in locations where public transport patronage is low.

Greater levels of bus priority leading to shorter journey times and greater reliability, as follows:

- Continuous bus lanes on main radials where feasible;
- Bus gates whereby only public transport vehicles and cyclists will be allowed on certain parts of the road network;
- Other traffic management arrangements which provide bus priority; and
- New bus-only links.

Conversion of public transport fleet to zero carbon vehicles; and

Improvements to fares, ticketing and interchange services and infrastructure.

LSMATS Measure BC2 remove traffic from O'Connell Street

remove general traffic from O'Connell Street in order to eliminate delays to bus services, and to facilitate the redevelopment of Limerick City Centre by maximising accessibility to the core.

LSMATS Measure BC3 Sarsfield Bridge

examine the feasibility of removing general traffic from Sarsfield Bridge in order to reduce delays to strategic bus services from Clare, including Shannon Airport. and North West Limerick City.

Jacobs

LSMATS Measure BC4 Thomond Bridge and Shannon Bridge

examine the feasibility of providing priority for bus services using Thomond and Shannon Bridge.

LSMATS Measure BC5 Bus Only Link at Colbert Station

examine the feasibility of a bus-only link behind Colbert Station on Roxboro Road.

LSMATS Measure BC6 Short-Term Bus Network Review

undertake a review and implement changes to the Limerick Metropolitan Bus Service Network as part of BusConnects Limerick in order to maximise the effectiveness and efficiency of the city's public transport system.

| LSMATS Measure BC7 Bus Service Network Monitoring and Review |
|--|
| continually monitor the demand for bus services in the LSMA throughout the lifetime of the LSMATS and enhance or amend the service network as appropriate |
| LSMATS Measure BC8 Regional Bus Networks |
| maintain and enhance regional bus networks. |
| LSMATS Measure BC9 Shannon Bus Connectivity |
| improve local and regional bus connectivity to Shannon town centre, employment areas and Airport. |
| LSMATS Measure BC10 Local Link Services |
| maintain and enhance Local Link services where required. |
| LSMATs Measure BC12 Bus Stops and Shelters |
| continue to roll-out the program of bus stop and shelter provision, and to monitor potential for further expansion and upgrade during the lifetime of the strategy. |
| LSMATS Measure BC13 Coach Management Strategy |
| produce a Coach Management Strategy to support growing visitor numbers. |
| LSMATS Measure BC14 Supporting Measures |
| deliver supporting measures to complement the implementation of BusConnects Limerick and improved regional services. |
| Assumptions and limitations |
| specific locations of land take required to support implementation of bus lanes, either within or without the existing highway boundary, are unknown; and |
| it is assumed that the alignments/locations of new or improved infrastructure required to support objectives can be managed such that no loss or land take from built heritage assets or recreational facilities is required, except where specifically indicated below. |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | Measures to improve the public transport network, particularly within Limerick City and Shannon, would help improve access to places of employment and community facilities. Measures would support modal shift away from | +/0 | Consideration of inclusion of measures to support increased patronage of bus services by vulnerable and social groups which may be driven by safety and security or accessibility concerns; and | +/0 |
| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | private vehicle towards the use of public transport, with likely attendant benefits for air and noise pollution associated with transport within the LSMA and particularly within Limerick City. | | Consideration of impact of converting areas currently designated for street parking to bus lanes on severance, with particular focus on routes in close proximity to locations of importance to vulnerable groups (e.g. schools, care homes, hospitals, other community facilities). | |
| Avoid damage to recreation and amenity facilities through construction of new transport infrastructure and support and enhance access for tourism recreation. | None identified (potential impacts with land take associated with new road infrastructure considered against "Roads" objectives). | 0 | Consideration of impact of converting areas currently designated for street parking to bus lanes on severance, with particular focus on routes in close proximity to tourist locations; and Consideration of whether beneficial impacts for the tourism industry (hop on hop off tours etc.) can be realised through design and implementation of new bus lanes. | +/0 |
| Prevent damage to, and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | Potential impacts on biodiversity associated with new road infrastructure considered against "Roads" objectives. Improvements to the public transport network within Limerick, Shannon and the wider LSMA would support modal shift away from private cars towards the use of public transport. Together with the supporting measures to transfer the public transport fleet to low carbon and/or zero emission alternatives, this would reduce emissions of air quality pollutants including particulate matter (PM), nitrogen oxides (NO _x) and sulphur | +/0 | None identified. | +/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| | dioxide (SO ₂) from road transport, with a beneficial impact for ecology. | | | |
| Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | There would be temporary impacts on landscape and townscape character whilst construction works required support the reallocation of road space to bus lanes, or provision of new bus lanes, is undertaken. No significant impact on landscape or townscape character or public views is anticipated in the longer term. Positive impact from reduced traffic as a result of bus-only links i.e. removing general traffic from certain roads and streets. | 0 | Potential impacts on landscape/townscape character and public views, to be considered through EIA process and appropriate mitigation identified and implemented. | 0 |
| Avoid damage to, maintain, and where appropriate enhance, cultural heritage resources and their setting. | There may be temporary disruption to the setting of built heritage assets during construction the new bus only link at Colbert Station (BC2), new bus priority lanes (objective BC3) and walking network updates around existing bus stops (Measure BC8). Construction of new infrastructure also has potential to result in the loss or truncation of known or unknown buried archaeology where the new or improved bus lanes would not be contained within the existing highway boundary. | -/0 | Potential impacts on heritage assets, particularly archaeology, to be considered through EIA process and appropriate mitigation identified and implemented. | 0 |
| Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of soil resources and | Construction the new bus only link at Colbert Station (BC2) and new bus priority lanes | -/0 | Potential impacts on soil resources and contaminated land to be considered through | 0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| contribute towards the appropriate management of soil resources and quality. | (Measure BC3) will require a degree of sterilisation and/or loss of soil resources. Most of the proposed new bus lanes would be within the existing highway boundary and within an urban environment, and therefore the impact on soils as a resource would be minimal and the risk of encountering new areas of contaminated ground is also relatively low. No impact on Irish | | EIA process and appropriate mitigation identified and implemented. | |
| Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in air pollution. | Geological Heritage Sites (IGHS) is anticipated. In the longer term, improvements to the public transport network within Limerick, Shannon and the wider LSMA would support modal shift away from private cars towards the use of public transport. Together with the supporting measures to transfer the public transport fleet to low carbon and/or zero emission alternatives, this would reduce emissions of air quality pollutants including particulate matter (PM), nitrogen oxides (NO _x) and sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) from road transport. | +/0 | None identified. | +/0 |
| Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in noise pollution. | In the longer term, improvements to the public transport network within Limerick, Shannon and the wider LSMA would support modal shift away from private cars towards the use of public transport, reducing the volume of traffic utilising | +/0 | None identified. | +/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| | roads within built up areas with beneficial impacts for noise pollution. | | | |
| Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid increasing risks from floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk. | New or improved crossings of Water Framework Directive (WFD) waterbodies will be required in a number of locations, including new crossings of the Lower Shannon and South Ballycannan, which may potentially have negative impacts on WFD objectives for affected waterbodies. In addition, where new or improved infrastructure requires increased areas of hard surfacing this could contribute to increased flood risk. However, supporting measures to transfer the public transport fleet to low carbon and/or zero emission alternatives may support a reduction in pollutant concentrations within road run-off. | -/0 | Potential impacts water quality and flood risk be considered and mitigated through EIA and Water Framework Directive (WFD) assessment process down the line. | 0 |
| Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | It is intended to make use of existing infrastructure where practicable e.g. through conversion of on street parking areas into bus lanes, In the longer term, improvements to public transport the network within Limerick, Shannon and the wider LSMA would support modal shift away from private cars towards the use of public transport, reducing traffic volumes within the LSMA and particularly within Limerick City. Together with the supporting measures to transfer the public transport fleet to low carbon | +/0 | None identified. | +/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| | and/or zero emission alternatives, this would reduce reliance on fossil fuel sources for transport within the LSMA. | | | |
| Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks. Contribute to the reduction in transport related GHG emissions through modal changes or new technologies. | In the longer term, improvements to the public transport network within Limerick, Shannon and the wider LSMA would support modal shift away from private cars towards the use of public transport, reducing traffic volumes within the LSMA and particularly within Limerick City. Together with the supporting measures to transfer the public transport fleet to low carbon and/or zero emission alternatives, this would reduce carbon emissions associated with road transport within the LSMA. | +/0 | None identified. | +/0 |
| To ensure that the resilience to climate change is designed for existing transport network and new network and promote improved environmental resilience to climate change. | New bus lanes and associated infrastructure are vulnerable to future climate change, including changes in air temperature, precipitation rates and wind speeds. | ? | Ensure that design of new infrastructure reflects likely worst case climate projections in terms of resilience to wind speeds, precipitation, flood risk and increases in air temperature over the full design life of the infrastructure. | +/0 |

B.3 Cycling Matrix

LSMATS Measure CC1 Develop a Comprehensive Strategic Cycling Network

Build upon the existing Limerick Metropolitan Cycle Network Study and Shannon and Environs Local Area Plan to deliver a comprehensive cycle network for the LSMA, in a manner consistent with the National Cycle Manual;

To deliver an integrated, fully connected high-quality cycle network linking all major origins and destinations within the LSMA;

Develop an Inter-Urban network connecting Limerick City and Metropolitan town centres;

Identify local opportunities for permeability and feeder routes to improve links to the primary, secondary and greenway network and enhance the attractiveness of cycling for short trips; and

Maintain and enhance existing infrastructure to a high standard.

LSMATS Measure CC2 Shannon River Crossing

Provide significantly enhanced cycle infrastructure across the River Shannon in Limerick City Centre, via the upgrading of existing bridges and, if required, the provision of a new crossing dedicated to pedestrians and cyclists.

LSMATS Measure CC3 Bicycle Sharing Schemes (BSS)

Maintain the Limerick City Bicycle Sharing Scheme and expand the service where feasible based on identified potential patterns of demand; and

Consider the feasibility of Dockless BSS in more peripheral areas across the LSMA.

LSMATS Measure CC4 Long Stay Cycle Parking

Deliver high-quality on-street bicycle parking; secure sheltered public bicycle parking; and to ensure that sheltered and secure bicycle parking is provided as part of any development proposals in line with the standards set out in the National Cycle Manual as a minimum. **LSMATS** Measure C5 End-of-Trip Facilities

Ensure the provision of end-of-trip supporting facilities such as showers and lockers in new developments.

LSMATS Measure CC6 Behavioural Change and Promotion

Continue to foster a cycling culture in the LSMA through promotional events and behavioural change initiatives as part of the NTA's Smarter Travel Workplaces and Campuses and the An Taisce / NTA Green Schools Travel Programmes.

LSMATS Measure CC7 Micro Mobility

Facilitate and promote the use of scooters, e-scooters and emerging personal mobility modes of travel, once legislation regularising their use is passed. This includes exploring a scooter rental scheme for Limerick City.

Key measures identified:



Identification of the following types of cycle routes within Limerick City settlement boundary:

Primary radial and orbital routes;

Secondary routes;

Greenway Networks;

Inter-urban Network;

Feeder Network;

Quietways; and

Shannon Cycle Network.

Supporting measures including:

Support for existing Limerick City Bicycle Sharing Scheme and consideration of feasibility of Dockless BSS;

Delivery of high quality, sheltered bicycle parking - on street and as part of any new development proposals. Long stay bicycle parking at key transport nodes;

Provision of end of trip facilities; and

Range of behavioural change measures to support modal shift towards cycling, predominantly targeting work places.

Assumptions and limitations

Specific locations of land take required to support implementation of cycle routes, either within or without the existing highway boundary, have not yet been quantified at this stage in most of the proposed locations; and

It is assumed that the alignments/locations of new or improved infrastructure required to support objectives can be managed such that no loss or land take from built heritage assets or recreational facilities is required, except where specifically indicated below.

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | Improvement and/or provision of new cycling infrastructure in the form of new routes and additional measures such as bicycle sharing schemes, bicycling parking and end of trip facilities would help encourage modal shift towards cycling with subsequent beneficial impacts on public | + | Micromobility rental schemes should ensure that they are accessible and inclusive for disabled people. In addition, local level. | + |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| | health as a result of increased activity levels and reductions in noise and air pollution. Support for emerging micro-mobility transport methods, once regularised, would likely increase the appeal of low carbon transport methods to a wider range of users. | | | |
| Avoid damage to recreation and amenity facilities through construction of new transport infrastructure and support and enhance access for tourism recreation. | Construction of new cycling infrastructure (routes, bicycle parking and end of trip facilities) may result in a degree of loss of existing recreational facilities, depending on location. However, the proposed new greenway routes would function as recreational facilities in their own rights and would help improve access to existing recreational and tourist facilities. | +/- | Potential impacts on recreational facilities as to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. | +/- |
| Prevent damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | Construction of new cycling infrastructure (routes, bicycle parking and end of trip facilities) would likely require a degree of habitat loss and disturbance to wildlife (including protected species). The proposed routes the River Shannon and River Fergus Special Protection Area (SPA) and Fergus Estuary, Inner Shannon, North Shore NHA and Inner Shannon South Shore pNHA. If land take is required in areas outside the existing highway boundary, there may be adverse impacts on Ballyallia Lough SPA and Lough Derg SPA as a result of loss of functional habitat for bird QI species. In the longer term, measures proposed would help encourage modal shift from private car use to cycling, helping reduce particular matter (PM), nitrogen oxides (NO _x) and sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) emissions from road transport, and with beneficial impacts for ecology. | +/- | Implementation of high level mitigation measures identified in Table 7.6 and chapter 6 of the NIS; and Potential construction impacts on habitats and wildlife to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) process down the line. | +/- |
| Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects | There may be temporary disruption to landscape and townscape during construction of new infrastructure, however no significant impacts are anticipated in the longer term. | 0 | Sensitive design of new infrastructure, including street furniture in collaboration with local councils, to ensure that | +/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. Avoid damage to, maintains and where appropriate enhance. | There may be temporary disruption to the setting of built heritage assets during construction of new infrastructure or improvement works to | | existing landscape and townscape character is maintained and where practicable enhanced. Consideration of opportunities for tree planting and green verges to be incorporated within new or amended routes; and Potential impacts on landscape and townscape be considered and mitigated through EIA process down the line. Potential impacts on heritage assets. particularly archaeology. to | |
| cultural heritage resources and their setting. | existing infrastructure. Construction of new infrastructure also has potential to result in the loss or truncation of known or unknown buried archaeology, particularly in low lying previously undisturbed areas near Knockalisheen Marsh, however as most of the proposed new cycle routes would adjoin existing the existing highway, the risk of significant finds is considered low. | -/0 | be considered through EIA process and appropriate mitigation identified and implemented. | 0 |
| Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of soil resources and contribute towards the appropriate management of soil resources and quality. | Construction of new cycling infrastructure (routes, bicycle parking and end of trip facilities) would likely require a degree of sterilisation and/or loss of soil resources. Most of the proposed new cycle routes would adjoin existing the existing highway, and therefore the impact on soils as a resource would be minimal. No impact on Irish Geological Heritage Sites (IGHS) is anticipated. | -/0 | Potential impacts on soil resources to be considered through EIA process and appropriate mitigation identified and implemented. | 0 |
| Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential | Measures proposed would help encourage modal shift from private car use to cycling, helping reduce particular matter (PM), nitrogen oxides | +/0 | None identified. | +/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| benefits from reduction in air pollution. | (NO _x) and sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) emissions from road transport, and with beneficial impacts for air quality. | | | |
| Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in noise pollution. | Measures proposed would help encourage modal shift from private car use to cycling, which is likely to have a beneficial impact on noise pollution within the LSMA, in particular within Limerick City, and for receptors located adjacent to arterial roads. | +/0 | None identified. | +/0 |
| Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid increasing risks from floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk. | Improvement and/or provision of new cycling infrastructure will encourage modal shift towards active travel modes, contributing to a reduction in water pollution associated with road runoff. However, where new or improved infrastructure requires increased areas of hard surfacing this could contribute to increased flood risk. | +/- | Use of Sustainable Urban Drainage (SuDs) principles, such as use of geosynthetics for surfacing where appropriate; and Potential impacts water quality and flood risk be considered and mitigated through EIA and Water Framework Directive (WFD) assessment process down the line. | |
| Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | Improvement and/or provision of new cycling infrastructure will encourage modal shift towards active travel modes within the LSMA, reducing demand for fossil fuel dependent methods of transport. Proposals make use of existing infrastructure where practicable to reduce land take. | +/0 | None identified. | +/0 |
| Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or | Improvement and/or provision of new cycling infrastructure would help contribute to modal shift towards active travel modes, reducing carbon emissions associated with road transport in the LSMA. | +/0 | None identified. | +/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| operation of existing and new transport networks. Contribute to the reduction in transport related GHG emissions through modal changes or new technologies. | | | | |
| To ensure that the resilience to climate change is designed for existing transport network and new network and promote improved environmental resilience to climate change. | Proposed new and existing cycling routes and bicycle parking facilities are vulnerable to future climate change, predominantly through changes in air temperature (e.g. impacts of integrity of surfacing), precipitation and resilience to flood risk. | ? | Ensure that design of new infrastructure or infrastructure improvements reflect likely worst case climate projections in terms of resilience to wind speeds, precipitation, flood risk and increases in air temperature over the full design life of the infrastructure; and Consider whether measures to support the future use of cycle routes under a changing climate is required, such as new or more frequent seating provision along pedestrian routes or improvements in access to public drinking water supplies, drying rooms and showering facilities. | +/0 |

B.4 Rail Assessment Matrix

LSMATS Measure RL1 InterCity Services

• Improve InterCity services, particularly journey times between Dublin, Limerick Junction and Cork.

LSMATS Measure RL2 Limerick Junction -Limerick Dual Track

 Provide a dual-track between Limerick Colbert and Limerick Junction to facilitate improved national and regional connectivity and improve service frequency in line with increased demand.

Jacobs

LSMATS Measure RL3 Limerick Commuter Rail Network

Provide a new rail station at Moyross as demand for travel increases in line with the regeneration of this area;

Examine the feasibility of providing additional stations in the LSMA on an on-going basis, including facilities at Corbally and Parkway;

Improve the frequency of services on the Ennis Rail Line to provide for an efficient and effective commuter rail service in the long term;

Improve the frequency of services on the Nenagh-Ballybrophy line as demand for travel increases; and

In cooperation with the Southern Regional Assembly and the local authorities, seek to develop land use policies for implementation via the RSES and Development Plans which focus development in the LSMA, and the wider region, on the rail network in order to support further investment in suburban rail in the longer term. Examine the feasibility of providing the full commuter rail network for the LSMA, including the re-use of the Foynes and Mungret lines; a new line to Shannon; and new stations at appropriate locations integrated with high density Transit-Oriented Development LSMATS Measure RL4 Rail Freight

Reinstate the Limerick to Foynes railway line to provide a freight service.

Investigate the potential for the delivery of a rail freight facility at Limerick junction; and

To investigate the feasibility of rail freight from the Port of Foynes to Cork and Rosslare over the lifetime of the Strategy

LSMATS Measure RL5 Rail-Based Park and Ride

Provide a rail-based Park and Ride station at Ballysimon to reduce traffic levels on the N24 approach to Limerick City in line with the provision of increased service frequencies; and

Investigate the potential for further Park and Ride facilities where the strategic road network meets high-frequency rail services.

LSMATS Measure RL6 Colbert Station Redevelopment

Complete the redevelopment of Colbert rail and bus station to provide a more attractive, secure and comfortable experience for passengers, in line with the project developed by Irish Rail and the NTA; and

Examine the feasibility of a bus-only link behind Colbert Station on Roxboro Road and enhanced improved pedestrian, cycle and bus connectivity to the City Centre.

LSMATS Measure RL7 Supporting Rail Infrastructure

Enhance the attractiveness and efficiency of LSMA rail services through station enhancements, signaling improvements and completing the National Train Control Centre. LSMATS Measure RL8 Flood Management at Ballycar

Resolve the localised flooding issue on the Limerick-Ennis line at Ballycar. LSMATS Measure RL9 Electrification and Alternative Fuelling

- Progress the electrification of the Rail Network in line with the Climate Action Plan 2019; and
- Investigate the potential for alternatively fueled trains.

LSMATS Measure RL10 Rail Lines and Greenways

Examine the feasibility of the provision of new greenways either within disused rail lines or immediately adjacent to existing or proposed rail corridors.

Key measures identified:

Evaluation of the economic benefits of high-speed rail for the Dublin-Belfast, Dublin-Limerick Junction and Dublin-Cork lines;

Dual-track the existing line between Colbert Station and Limerick Junction, in conjunction with wider InterCity frequency improvements to align with Cork / Dublin services and timetables and potential consideration and appraisal for new stations with complementary Park and Ride facilities at Oola and Pallas Green;

Explore opportunity to provide new railway stations to align with strategic land-use planning objectives;

Improve the Colbert station building and environs;

Reinstatement of the line between Limerick and the Port of Foynes, recognition of the potential to develop Limerick Junction for rail freight logistics and support for the RSES objective to develop a Regional Freight Strategy;

Improvements to existing stations including improvements to accessibility for those with reduced mobility, smart ticketing facilities, sheltered waiting areas, Real Time Passenger Information (RTPI) and sheltered cycle parking;

Signalling improvements;

New National Train Control Centre for Ireland;

Investigate solution for flooding problems on the Limerick-Ennis line at Ballycar; and

Potential commitment to electrification of the LSMA Rail Network during the latter half of the Strategy lifetime and/or examine the feasibility of a fleet upgrade to battery and/or hybrid trains.

Assumptions and limitations

Specific locations of improvements to existing infrastructure and new infrastructure required under these objectives are unknown;

It is assumed that the planned improvements to the Ennis-Limerick line would not include dual tracking; and

It is assumed that the alignments/locations new and improved infrastructure required to support these objectives can be managed such that no loss or land take from built heritage assets or recreational facilities is required, except where specifically indicated below.

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | Potential dual tracking of the existing line between Colbert Station and Limerick Junction, InterCity frequency improvements and potential new train stations would help improve the accessibility of employment opportunities for the LSMA population and further support continued economic growth in the region. Temporary disruption to the road network may occur where doubletracking is required at existing crossing points on the Ennis line and to constrict the new Shannon line. There are numerous residential properties and commercial premises between Cratloe and Shannon lining the minor roads which run between the R471 and N18 and within the settlements of Bunratty and Shannon themselves, as well as large areas of agricultural land and a quarry (Roadstone Quarry, Bunratty). Some degree of land take from agricultural holdings in particular will be required but can be limited through design and route selection. | +/- | Whilst it is anticipated that measures would have a beneficial impact on noise across the LSMA as a whole, the appropriate environmental assessment work must be undertaken to ensure that new railway lines and stations do not have a significant adverse effect on receptors sensitive to noise located in close proximity to these new infrastructure developments. | +/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Measures to improve the rail network within the LSMA would likely contribute to modal shift away from private vehicle usage and towards public transport. Together with measures to electrify and/or retrofit the existing fleet with battery or hybrid technologies, these measures would likely have a positive contribution to public health within the LSMA as a whole through a reduction in air and noise pollutant emissions. Localised new adverse noise impacts may occur | | | |
| Avoid damage to recreation and amenity facilities through construction of new transport infrastructure and support and enhance access for tourism recreation. | No significant impacts on existing recreation and amenity facilities are anticipated. | 0 | Consider inclusion of specific policies to (i) manage the potential impacts of disruption to existing rail services during construction of new infrastructure and (ii) improve tourist passenger experience, for example simplified ticketing, luggage space on trains, luggage storage at stations, specific tourist signage, travel plans for key tourist destinations. | +/0 |
| Prevent damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | Construction of new infrastructure to support improvement to existing stations, and in particular construction of new stations and dual tracking of the existing line between Colbert Station and Limerick Junction, will likely result in a degree of loss or damage to | -/0 | Land take to support new infrastructure should be minimised as far as practicable; and Potential impacts on terrestrial and aquatic habitats as a result of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) process | -/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| | habitats and risk disturbance or mortality of wildlife (including protected species). The Limerick-Ennis line passes through or over the Ballycar Lough pNHA and Lower River Shannon SAC, adjacent to Fin Lough pNHA and within close proximity to River Shannon and Fergus Estuaries SPA. In addition, the new stations at Castleclare and Moyross would be located in proximity to pNHAs, with access to Castleclare station from the town likely to require land take from the pNHA. In the longer term, measures to improve the rail network within the LSMA would likely contribute to modal shift away from private vehicle usage and towards public transport with attendant positive impacts on air and noise pollution. | | down the line. Compensatory habitat may be required. | |
| Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | Construction of new infrastructure to support improvement to existing stations, and in particular construction of new stations and dual tracking of the existing line between Colbert Station and Limerick Junction, have potential temporary and permanent adverse impacts on landscape and townscape. | -/0 | Sensitive design of new infrastructure, including street furniture in collaboration with local councils, to ensure that existing landscape and townscape character is maintained and where practicable enhanced; and Potential impacts on landscape and townscape be considered and mitigated through EIA process down the line. | -/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| Avoid damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, cultural heritage resources and their setting. | Construction of new infrastructure to support improvement to existing stations, and in particular construction of new stations and dual tracking of the existing line between Colbert Station and Limerick Junction, have potential temporary and permanent adverse impacts on the setting of cultural heritage assets. There are numerous monuments included in the National Monuments Service (NMS) and National Inventory of Architectural Heritage (NIAH) sites located adjacent to the Ennis line including three at Clare Abbey in particular. There are also several NMS and NIAH sites located between Cratloe and Shannon. It is likely that direct impacts on these sites from the new Shannon line can be avoided through careful routing and siting. | -/0 | Design of new infrastructure and street furniture to be sensitive to the presence of built heritage assets and incorporate visual screening measures to minimise effects on setting where required; and Potential impacts on heritage assets to be considered and mitigated through EIA process down the line. | -/0 |
| Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of soil resources and contribute towards the appropriate management of soil resources and quality. | Construction of new infrastructure to support improvement to existing stations, and in particular construction of new stations and dual tracking of the existing line between Colbert Station and Limerick Junction, will likely require a degree of loss of sterilisation of soil resources. Dualling of the existing line between Colbert Station and Limerick Junction may require land take from or cause damage to Irish Geological Heritage Sites (IGHS) | - | Ensure appropriate site specific geotechnical and contaminated land risk assessments are undertaken and any remediation recommendations adhered to. Potential impacts on IGHS sites and soil resources quality be considered and mitigated through EIA process down the line. | -/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | located at Brittas (Brittas Morainic Ridge) and Limerick Junction (Gortdrum). It is likely that areas of made or contaminated land will encountered during works to dual track the existing Colbert Station to Limerick Junction line. The Ennis line is located adjacent to an area of peat bog, and land take make be required. | | | |
| Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in air pollution. | Measures to improve the rail network within the LSMA through improvements to station amenity and timetabling would likely contribute to modal shift away from private vehicle usage and towards public transport. Together with measures to electrify and/or retrofit the existing fleet with battery or hybrid technologies, these measures would likely have a positive contribution to air quality across the LSMA as a whole. | 0/+ | None identified. | 0/+ |
| Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in noise pollution. | Measures to improve the rail network within the LSMA through improvements to station amenity and timetabling would likely contribute to modal shift away from private vehicle usage and towards public transport. This would likely have a beneficial impact on noise pollution across the LSMA as a whole, although sensitive receptors in close proximity | 0/+ | Whilst it is anticipated that measures would have a beneficial impact on noise across the LSMA as a whole, the appropriate environmental assessment work must be undertaken to ensure that new railway lines and stations do not have a significant adverse effect on receptors sensitive to noise located in close proximity to these new infrastructure developments. | 0/+ |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid increasing risks from floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk. | to the upgraded Colbert Station to Limerick Junction route and any new stations (depending on location) would likely experience an increase in noise pollution. The objective to resolve the existing localised flood issue at Ballycar on the Limerick-Ennis line would have a positive impact on this SEO through reducing vulnerability from flood risks. The Ennis line also passes through areas at high risk of coastal and fluvial flooding. Construction of new infrastructure, particularly new stations and new sections of track may potentially have an adverse impact on flood risk. The Colbert Station to Limerick Junction track may also require land take from areas of moderate and high fluvial flood risk. The Limerick-Colbert and Limerick-Ennis lines pass over WFD waterbodies in several locations, and the proposed Cratloe-Shannon line is also likely to do so. Temporary impacts on water quality are likely where construction is required on river banks, and permanent impacts could occur where new crossings are required | -/0 | Ensure that the identified solution for the existing flood issue on the Limerick-Ennis line at Ballycar is designed to accommodate future predicted changes in flood risk as a result of climate change; Where additional land take is required to facilitate upgrades to the Ennis-Limerick line, siting and design should seek to minimise impingement on the flood plain and take count of future climate change; New river crossings for the Cratloe-Shannon line should be WFD compliant; Use of Sustainable Urban Drainage (SuDs) principles in new infrastructure design; and Potential impacts water quality and flood risk be considered and mitigated through EIA, FRA WFD process down the line. This is likely to include provision of flood plain compensatory storage. | 0/+ |
| Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage | The objective to progress the electrification of the Rail Network and/or investigate the | +/0 | None identified. | +/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | feasibility of alternatively fuelled trains would reduce the fossil fuel consumption associated with the transport sector within the LSMA if realised. | | | |
| Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks. Contribute to the reduction in transport related GHG emissions through modal changes or new technologies. | Measures to improve the rail network within the LSMA would likely contribute to modal shift away from private vehicle usage and towards public transport. Together with measures to electrify and/or retrofit the existing fleet with battery or hybrid technologies, these measures would likely help reduce carbon emissions associated with the transport sector within the LSMA. | 0/+ | None identified. | 0/+ |
| To ensure that the resilience to climate change is designed for existing transport network and new network and promote improved environmental resilience to climate change. | Any new built infrastructure, including that required to support amenity improvements to existing stations and signalling arrangements as well as new stations or new sections of track (and associated lighting, signalling etc), is vulnerable to future climate change, including changes in air temperature, precipitation rates and wind speeds. | ? | Ensure that design of new infrastructure reflects likely worst case climate projections in terms of resilience to wind speeds, precipitation, flood risk and increases in air temperature over the full design life of the infrastructure. | +/0 |

B.5 Walking Assessment Matrix

LSMATs Measure WK1 Improvements to the Pedestrian Environment

Develop a primary pedestrian network throughout Limerick City, Shannon and other metropolitan towns;

Retrofit neighbourhood infrastructure to enhance walkability and increase the attractiveness of walking such as permeability and passive surveillance;

Lower traffic speeds to increase pedestrian safety;

Improve junctions and pedestrian crossings such as Pedestrian Countdown, longer crossing times and crossings that align with desire lines; and

Remove unnecessary signage, advertising and other obstacles which impede pedestrian movement.

LSMATS Measure WK2 Limerick City Strategic Pedestrian Projects

Upgrade the quality of the pedestrian environment in Limerick City Centre;

Secure improvements to the walking network in tandem with the implementation of BusConnects to prioritise multi-modal travel; and

Realise the potential of the World Class Waterfront Project.

LSMATS Measure WK3 Metropolitan Town and Village Centres

Complete the improvements to the pedestrian environment set out in the Shannon Town and Environs LAP; and

Complement the consolidation of development around existing LSMA town and village centres with public realm improvements that facilitate a greater level of safer walking trips.

Jacobs

LSMATs Measure WK4 Leisure and Recreation Routes

Upgrade walking facilities linking green spaces, the River Shannon and other recreational areas to create green-blue corridors to promote positive physical and mental wellbeing, including progressing the redevelopment of the Black Bridge in Limerick and crossings of the River Blackwater; and

Provide and / or improve footpaths on rural roads where demand for pedestrian movement is identified.

LSMATs Measure WK5 Supporting Measures for Walking.

Develop and implement a pedestrian wayfinding system for Limerick City Centre and Shannon;

Ensure pedestrian infrastructure is inclusive and accessible for all individuals of all abilities and ages using Universal Design principles and collaboration between a diverse range of stakeholders;

Deliver permeability projects throughout urban areas which reduce the distance required to travel on foot to key destinations and to public transport services. In select locations, a package of permeability projects will be developed as part of local area plans or masterplans;

| Undertake walkability audits at locations where demand for pedestrian activity is high and where deficiencies in the network have been identified; and |
|--|
| Continue to implement behavioural change initiatives that promote walking provided through workplaces and schools, e.g. Smarter Travel, and initiatives such as Safe Routes to School and School Streets. |
| Key measures identified: |
| Walkability improvements to Limerick City Centre; |
| Upgrades to key commuter routes within Limerick City in tandem with the Bus Connects and cycle network upgrades; |
| Opportunities to create green-blue corridors throughout Limerick and suburbs, connecting existing greenways and green spaces; |
| Improvements to pedestrian environment around bus and train stations in Cratloe, Shannon, Sixmilebridge and other metropolitan town and village centres; |
| Shannon – support LAP objectives to reform and recreate pedestrian linkages between existing and new development; |
| Sixmilebridge – measures focussed on improving connection between train station and town centre as per LAP; |
| Cratloe - strengthening the village centre between Wood Road and Cratloe Cross and improving the streetscape in this area to improve pedestrian safety and comfort; |
| Patrickswell – retrofit and safeguard the permeability of residential areas and the town centre and implement off-road footpath and cycleway along the River Barnakyle as per LAP; |
| Bunratty – improve the public realm along Old Bunratty Road, including the upgrade of footpaths and crossing facilities; |
| Annacotty – enhance pedestrian environment as part of wider UL improvements; |
| Clarina -discourage further ribbon development; |
| Identification of amenity routes in Castletroy (River Shannon, Groody and Mulcair) with potential for tourism development (as per LAP); and |
| Propose Area Based Transport Strategy for the South Clare Economic Strategic Development Zone (SDZ). |
| Supporting measures include: |
| Follow principles of universal design such that the public realm is accessible by all; |
| Consideration how the public realm and transport networks require adaptation to consider the varied needs of older people; |
| Walking routes to schools; |
| Wayfinding: Walkable Limerick Map as Limerick City and County Council in 2019; and |
| Consider permeability for pedestrians in future development. |
| Assumptions and limitations |
| Uncertainty regarding timescales and funding availability for delivery of measures not included within the Limerick Metropolitan District Movement Framework or within Limerick City and connected to the proposed Bus Connects or Cycling measures; and |
| Uncertainty regarding level of commitment to exploring proposals for enhanced recreational opportunities and tourism development with Castletroy and Patrickswell. |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | Improvements to provision, accessibility and amenity of active travel and recreational routes, with consideration of accessibility requirements and facilitating connections with other public transport modes such as bus and rail. Long term benefits to public health (mental and physical) and quality of life. Potential tourism development opportunities in Castletroy would contribute to local economy if taken forward. | + | Consultation with local communities to identify and mitigate temporary construction impacts given that extensive works planned on multiple transport routes and modes concurrently. | + |
| Avoid damage to recreation and amenity facilities through construction of new transport infrastructure and support and enhance access for tourism recreation. | Provision of a Tourist Walking Strategy, improvements to the public realm along the Old Bunratty Road and the World Class Waterfront Project, as well as general improvements within to the pedestrian realm within Limerick, would support and enhance access for tourists within the region. | ÷ | Timing of construction works to avoid peak period for tourism. | ÷ |
| Prevent damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | Creation of blue-green corridors within the LSMA would positively contribute to biodiversity and improve ecosystem connectivity. Potential for new infrastructure to conflict with existing terrestrial habitat and protected species. Improvements to pedestrian infrastructure on the banks of the Shannon and provision of a new pedestrian/cycle bridge over the Shannon has potential for adverse impacts on European designated sites (Lower River Shannon SAC, River Shannon and River Fergus Estuaries SPA, Fergus and Inner Shannon, North Shore Proposed NHA), | +/- | High level mitigation measures as identified in Table 7.7 and chapter 6 of the NIS; Potential impacts on terrestrial and aquatic species and habitats (including designated sites) as a result of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) process down the line; and | +/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| | particularly during construction. Construction of new crossings over the River Blackwater also has potential to affect riparian habitats and aquatic ecology, depending on siting and design. Land take for new infrastructure could have an adverse impacts on Ballyallia Lough SPA and Lough Derg SPA as a result of loss of functional habitat for bird QI species. | | Consideration of opportunities for tree planting and green verges to be incorporated within new or amended pedestrian routes. | |
| Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | Potential for temporary and permanent impacts on townscape as a result of introduction of new infrastructure, including street furniture, as required to facilitate new and improved walking routes. Provision of blue green corridors would likely positive contribute to visual amenity for nearby residents and users of these routes. | +/- | Sensitive design of new infrastructure, including street furniture in collaboration with local councils, to ensure that existing landscape and townscape character is maintained and where practicable enhanced. Consideration of opportunities for tree planting and green verges to be incorporated within new or amended routes. Potential impacts on landscape and townscape be considered and mitigated through EIA process down the line. | +/0 |
| Avoid damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, cultural heritage resources and their setting. | New infrastructure could conflict with the settling of heritage assets (protected structures). Most new/improved infrastructure proposed within existing built urban environment and therefore potential for disturbance to unknown archaeological remains is considered low. The proposal to reopen Black Bridge, Limerick to pedestrians has potential to adversely affect the integrity of this heritage asset if works to | +/- | Design of new infrastructure and street furniture to be sensitive to the presence of built heritage assets. Proposal to reopen Black Bridge, Limerick to be developed in conjunction with and agreed with Limerick CC Heritage Officer and The Heritage Council | +/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| | make the structure safe are not undertaken in a sensitive manner, however it also has potential for beneficial effects by improving the accessibility of the site. | | Potential impacts on heritage assets to be considered and mitigated through EIA process down the line. | |
| Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of soil resources and contribute towards the appropriate management of soil resources and quality. | Most new/improved infrastructure proposed within existing built urban environment and therefore potential for loss of valuable soil resources low, although risk of encountering contaminated land is greater. | 0/- | Ensure new infrastructure does not conflict with IGHS sites. Ensure appropriate site specific geotechnical and contaminated land risk assessments are undertaken and any remediation recommendations adhered to. Potential impacts on and soil resources quality be considered and mitigated through EIA process down the line. | 0 |
| Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in air pollution. | Improvements to provision and accessibility of active travel routes will likely help reduce emissions of air quality pollutants (particulate matter and NOx) associated with road transport through encouraging model shift to sustainable transport modes, particularly if walking routes are well integrated with public transport interchanges. Provision of blue green corridors would also support localised air quality improvements within Limerick. | 0/+ | Consideration of opportunities for tree planting and green verges to be incorporated within new or amended routes. | 0/+ |
| Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in noise pollution. | Improvements to provision and accessibility of active travel routes will likely help reduce noise emissions associated with road transport through encouraging modal shift to sustainable transport modes, | 0/+ | Consideration of opportunities for tree planting and green verges to be incorporated within new or amended routes. | 0/+ |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| | particularly if walking routes are well integrated with the cycling network and public transport interchanges. | | | |
| Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid increasing risks from floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk. | Improvements to provision and accessibility of active travel route may help reduce water pollution associated with road runoff. However, where new or improved infrastructure requires increased areas of hard surfacing this could contribute to increased flood risk. New pedestrian infrastructure adjacent or spanning the Shannon, Blackwater, Abbey and Barnakyle may have an adverse effect on water quality and/or WFD objectives. | -/+ | Use of Sustainable Urban Drainage (SuDs) principles, such as use of geosynthetics for surfacing where appropriate. Potential impacts water quality and flood risk be considered and mitigated through EIA, FRA and WFD processes down the line. | 0/+ |
| Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | Walking proposals within the LSMATs are predominantly focused on improving existing infrastructure. Improvements to provision and accessibility of active travel routes will likely help reduce demand for fossil fuel dependent methods of transport. | ÷ | Consideration of opportunities for tree planting and green verges to be incorporated within new or amended routes. | ÷ |
| Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks. Contribute to the reduction in transport related GHG emissions through modal changes or new technologies. | Improvements to provision and accessibility of active travel routes will likely help GHG emissions associated with road transport through encouraging modal shift to sustainable transport modes, particularly if walking routes are well integrated with public transport interchanges. | 0/+ | Consideration of opportunities for tree planting and green verges to be incorporated within new or amended routes. | 0/+ |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| To ensure that the resilience to climate change is designed for existing transport network and new network and promote improved environmental resilience to climate change. | New and existing built infrastructure is vulnerable to future climate change, including changes in air temperature, precipitation rates and wind speeds. Provision of blue-green corridors would contribute to climate resilience through supporting ecosystem resilience and resilience to flood risk. | ? | Ensure that design of new infrastructure reflects likely worst case climate projections in terms of resilience to wind speeds, precipitation, flood risk and increases in air temperature over the full design life of the infrastructure. Consider whether measures to support the future use of active travel routes under a changing climate is required, such as new or more frequent seating provision along pedestrian routes or improvements in access to public drinking water supplies, drying rooms and showering facilities. | +/0 |

B.6 Roads and Parking Assessment Matrix

LSMATS Measure RS1 Road and Street Network

Maintain, manage and operate the existing road infrastructure in a more efficient manner.

LSMATS Measure RS2 Supporting Sustainable Mobility

Better manage the road network to protect the function of the strategic road network and to minimise use of the private car for short journeys. LSMATS Measure RS3 Principles for the Provision of New Roads

Subject to the feasibility and environmental assessment process, new roads, where provided, will be developed in accordance with the principles and objectives outlined in this chapter.

LSMATS Measure RS4 National Roads

Retain and protect the strategic function of the National Road network;

Complete the appraisal process and deliver the N/M20 Cork to Limerick Road Improvement Scheme;

Reduce peak time congestion on the N18/N19 network at Shannon; and

Construct the N69/M21 Foynes to Limerick Road (including Adare Bypass) to TEN-T standard.

LSMATS Measure RS5 Addressing Regional Road Network Constraints

Develop and deliver a scheme to manage congestion and associated road safety issues at the M7 Junction 28 Mackey roundabout for delivery subsequent to the commencement of construction of bus priority measures and cycle infrastructure on the Dublin Road corridor as part of BusConnects Limerick. This scheme shall consider the following:

- Bus based Park and Ride in the vicinity of the M7 Junction 28 Mackey roundabout;
- Reallocation of road space within the NTP and UL to bus and cycling; and
- Provision of a new direct transport link from the M7 Junction 28 Mackey roundabout into the NTP and UL.
- In association with the BusConnects project on Corbally Road, the identification and delivery of a project or projects, potentially including Park and Ride, behavioural change, and traffic management measures, to reduce car traffic crossing Athlunkard Bridge.

SM2 Park and Rides

Implement a network of strategic Park and Ride facilities, served by high-frequency bus services, and walking and cycling networks.

- cycling networks;
- Investigate the potential for local Park and Ride facilities at other locations such as Corbally; and
- In the development of the Metropolitan bus and rail network, consider the impact of Park and Ride facilities which may be delivered outside the LSMA.

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| LSMATS Measure RS6/PK3 Parking Manag | ement | | | |
| Implement maximum car parking standards | for all new developments; | | | |
| Seek car-free and low car development in ce | entral and accessible areas; | | | |
| Repurpose car parking areas to support bus | priority, cycle lanes, footpath widening, street trees and | placemaking fe | atures; | |
| Support the gradual reduction of long-stay | on-street parking in urban centres; | | | |
| Support the redevelopment of off-street pa | rking for higher value uses including residential and emp | oloyment; and | | |
| Examine the case for a Workplace Parking L | evy and charges on internet shopping deliveries and out | -of-town shopp | ing centres | |
| LSMATS Measure SM3 Mobility Hubs | | | | |
| Determine the feasibility of mobility hubs to | support Public Transport Orientated Development and | low car regener | ation sites. | |
| Supporting and demand management mea | asures include: | | | |
| Local Transport Plans, Regional Transport H | lubs, Walking and Cycling Officers, Smarter Travel Workp | places and camp | ouses /Behavioural Change and Smarter | Гravel |
| Green Schools Travel, Residential Planning, | Sustainable Transport Initiatives, Technology for Sustair | hable Transport, | Small Public Service Vehicles, Late Nigh | t Transport |
| Interchange, Travel Information, Smart ticke | ting, Public Transport fares, Equality in Transport, Inclus | ivity Campaigns | s, Equality Impact Assessments, Travellin | g at night |
| Accessible infrastructure, Travel Assist, Enfo | rcement of Road Traffic Laws | | | |
| Urban development and demand manager | nent measures also include | | | |
| sustainable mobility, traffic management, a | ccessibility and way finding, reduced speed limits, low tr | affic neighbourl | noods and car free and home zones. | |
| Key measures identified: | | | | |
| Manage and restrict local access to the strat | egic road network to protect the function of National roa | ads; | | |
| Urban roads and streets designed to facilitat | te walking and cycling; | | | |
| Street networks to emphasis "place" functio | n and enhance liveability of urban neighbourhoods; | | | |
| Any new road schemes must demonstrate the exception of motorway or expressway properties of the exception of motorway or express and the exception of the excep | nat alternative solutions are not applicable/appropriate, osals) designed to facilitate active travel and public trans | be developed in port provision; | n accordance with NPF objectives, and (w | ith the |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| Upgrade to the N18/N19 junctions; | | | | | |
| Support for the M/N20 Cork to Limerick Road | ł; | | | | |
| Support for the N69 Limerick-Foynes Road; | | | | | |
| Review of Limerick City street network to prio | ritise space for public transport and active travel. Conside | eration of con | gestion charge for Limerick City; | | |
| Streetscape and public realm improvements walking); | to the road network within Metropolitan towns as develo | ped through ir | ndividual Local Transport Plans (LTPs) (as d | iscussed in | |
| Strategic Park and Rides (PnR) at designated | public transport interchanges on the approach roads to I | Limerick City (| and potentially Shannon); | | |
| Development of a Park and Ride Strategy for | the LSMA; | | | | |
| Mobility hubs will be encouraged in regeneration lands; | tion areas or central areas where high-density housing is | planned, such | as the Land Development Agency (LDA) Co | olbert | |
| Parking management: | | | | | |
| New guiding principles for parking in new dev | velopments in the LSMA; | | | | |
| Review parking management within Shannon | Town with view to developing a Parking Management St | rategy; | | | |
| On street parking: review availability and pricing structure for on-street parking within the LSMA to: (i) facilitate a quicker turnover of spaces which facilitates the economic functions of town centres and provision of Electric Vehicle Charge Points (ECVPs); and (ii) in residential areas discourage commuter parking that contributes to parking stress and unsafe parking practices immediately outside paid parking zones, and to free-up kerbside space by providing alternatives to private car ownership; and | | | | | |
| Off street parking: free-up kerbside space within urban centres and to support a viable, public transport system through co-ordinated local and national measures including PnR centres, mobility hubs, parking charges at out of town retail centres, examining the case of a Work Place Levy (WPL) and development mandatory, target-based Mobility Management Plans for new development and area-based travel planning for clusters of existing places of education and employment. | | | | | |
| Assumptions and limitations | Assumptions and limitations | | | | |
| Extents of future road infrastructure improve | ments are unknown, and routing of the M/N20 Cork-Lim | erick and N69 | Limerick-Foynes routes are unknown | | |
| Potential charging zone with Limerick has not | t been assessed as no timescale for implementation is inc | dicated; and | | | |
| It is assumed that the alignments/locations o recreational facilities is required, except when | f future highway and streetscape improvements can be r e specifically indicated below. | nanaged such | that no loss or land take from built heritage | assets or | |
| All footpaths, cycleways and other recreation | al routes would be reconnected where severed by new ro | ad infrastruct | ure | | |

SEO Description of impact Potential effect (pre- initigation) Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities Residual effect (post-initigation) Timescales for delivery of PnRs currently unknown, although it is anticipated that key locations will be delivered in the short to medium term in tandem with the

Jacobs

Timescales for delivery of PnRs currently unknown, although it is anticipated that key locations will be delivered in the short to medium term in tandem with the BusConnects measures;

Timescales for implementation of on street and off-street parking measures; and

Locations of Mobility Hubs (as proposed in regeneration areas).

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | Proposals to consider complete the appraisal process for the N18/N19 junction upgrades, N69 Limerick – Foynes to TEN-T standard upgrade would help improve access to places of employment and community facilities and support continued economic growth. The M/N20 Cork to Limerick Road and N69 Limerick- Foynes Road are likely to have mixed positive and negative impacts on air and noise pollution and on the amenity of local communities. Measures to support the faster turnover of on street parking spaces within Limerick City will help support the local economy. Measures that aim to reduce reliance on private transport, for example through increasing prices of on street parking permits, introducing parking charges at out of town retail centres, Work Place Levy and mandatory target based Mobility Management Plans may have a disproportionate adverse impact on accessibility to place of employment and community | +/- | Potential impacts on local economy and on public health relating to and noise pollution, loss of access to recreational facilities and severance to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. Final routing of the N69 Limerick to Foynes to avoid or minimise loss of residential, community and commercial premises and include measures as deemed appropriate following determination of preferred route and design to minimise the noise and visual impact of the new infrastructure as far as practicable. BusConnects and other strategy measures to support modal shift towards public transport, walking and cycling with Limerick to be implemented or consider alternative methods of improving access for communities on the northern outskirts of Limerick such as improved bus routes. Undertake Equalities Impact Assessment for measures that aim to reduce reliance on private vehicles for social and | +/- |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | facilities for certain vulnerable or social groups who are less able to make use of public transport and/or active travel modes. | | commuting purposes and implement mitigation measures as identified. | |
| Avoid damage to recreation and amenity facilities through construction of new transport infrastructure and support and enhance access for tourism recreation. | The proposed route of the N69 Limerick to Foynes is likely to require a degree of land take from recreational assets. Proximity to recreational assets would also lead to long term impacts on amenity. Other future road schemes may also result in land take or amenity impacts on recreational facilities. Improvements to the public realm within urban areas in Limerick and metropolitan towns would make a positive contribution to townscape and public views, with a positive contribution to tourism. At the indicative locations identified, it is not considered likely that the proposed new PnRs would have an adverse impact on existing recreation and amenity facilities. As Mobility Hubs would be located in areas of new development, it is not anticipated that they would have an adverse impact on existing recreation and amenity facilities. A reduction in traffic volumes within the centre of Limerick City help improve the amenity of the area for tourists and residents. | -/0 | Potential impacts on recreational assets to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. Consider requirement for specific parking strategy for tourists, which may have a seasonal component; and Potential impacts on access and amenity of recreational facilities as a result of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. | -/0 |
| Prevent damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated | The N69/M21 Foynes to Limerick Road (including Adare Bypass) could potentially affect fen habitats within the Askeaton Fen Complex SAC and qualifying interests (Lesser Horseshoe Bats) of the Curraghchase Woods SAC, and would also require a new crossing of River Maigue which is hydrologically linked to the Lower River Shannon SAC and the River Shannon and River Fergus Estuaries | - | Avoid habitat loss and land take, particularly within European and nationally designated sites through routing and design; Implementation of specific high level measures identified in Table 5.1 and the section 5 of the NIS; | -/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| sites and protected species. | SPA. The N/M20 Cork to Limerick Road is hydrologically linked to Tory Hill SAC, with potential for indirect adverse impacts associated with alkaline fen habitats which are QI of this site. The inclusion of measures to address public transport and the provision of walking and cycling facilities, include potential works at the Mackey Roundabout Junction 28 on the M7 and provision of new direct transport link from the roundabout to the NTP and UL. As yet unspecified future road or other transport infrastructure construction is likely to result in the temporary and permanent loss of habitat and mortality/disturbance to wildlife (including protected species). | | Potential impacts on designated sites as a result of new infrastructure to be considered through project level option development with the mitigation hierarchy applied to routing and design of options aiming to avoid impacts and then to mitigate and/or compensate or seek alternative solutions. The project level proposals will be considered further through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA) process down the line. This would likely include provision of compensatory habitat and measures to mitigate potential disturbance effects and maintain habitat connectivity; Potential impacts on non-designated habitats and wildlife as a result of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. | |
| Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | New road infrastructure would likely have a significant adverse impact on landscape character without mitigation, particularly where routed through rural areas. However, improvements to the public realm within urban areas in Limerick and metropolitan towns would make a positive contribution to townscape and public views. Potential for temporary and permanent impacts on townscapes and landscapes as a result of construction of infrastructure required to support proposed PnRs and Mobility Hubs. | +/- | Potential impacts on landscape and townscape as a result of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. Sensitive design of new infrastructure, in collaboration with local councils, to ensure that existing landscape and townscape character is maintained and where practicable enhanced; and Potential impacts on landscape and townscape as a result of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. | +/- |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| | | | Sensitive design of new infrastructure, in collaboration with local councils, to ensure that existing landscape and townscape character is maintained and where practicable enhanced; and Potential impacts on landscape and townscape as a result of | |
| | | | Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. | |
| Avoid damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, cultural heritage resources and their setting. | Road and other infrastructure construction could have potential for significant adverse impacts on the setting of designated heritage assets and for the loss or truncation of archaeological remains is considered high. Other junction improvement and road schemes may also result in impact on the setting of protected structures and/or truncation and loss of known and unknown buried archaeology, particularly where the new footprint extends beyond the existing highway boundary. | -/0 | Potential impacts on cultural heritage to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. Design of new infrastructure to be sensitive to the presence of known heritage assets; Potential impacts on known and unknown heritage as a result of construction of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated | -/0 |
| Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of soil resources and contribute towards the appropriate management of soil resources and quality. | Planned new roads or junction improvement schemes and construction of new infrastructure required to support the proposed PnRs and Mobility Hubs. included within the Strategy would result in the loss or sterilisation of soils. No impact on Irish Geological Heritage Sites (IGHS) is considered likely. | -/0 | Ensure new infrastructure does not conflict with IGHS sites. Ensure appropriate site specific geotechnical and contaminated land risk assessments are undertaken and any remediation recommendations adhered to; and Potential impacts on geological sites and soil resources resulting from construction of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. | -/0 |
| Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a | Planned new regional and local road infrastructure would incorporate provision for public transport, walking and cycling in addition to car traffic, with an | -/0 | Ensure design of new strategic, regional and local roads facilitates the use of electric vehicles (EVs) through | -/+ |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in air pollution. | approximate equal space allocation for each of these modes. This would help encourage modal shift towards public transport and active travel modes, minimising the additional air pollution generated by new road infrastructure in the LSMA. Measures which seek to reduce the number of journeys made by private vehicle within settlement centres within the LSMA would likely lead to a reduction in noise pollution associated with road transport. However, newly developed PnRs and Mobility Hubs have potential to generate significant but localised noise pollution, depending on location and existing noise environment. It is anticipated that PnRs would likely be located outside of settlement centres within lightly populated localities. | | measures such as provision for public charging infrastructure. Whilst it is anticipated that measures would have a beneficial impact on noise, the appropriate environmental assessment work must be undertaken to ensure that measures do not have a significant adverse effect on existing receptors sensitive to noise (Mobility Hubs and PnRs) or compromise the amenity of future development proposals (Mobility Hubs). | |
| Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in noise pollution. | Planned new regional and local road infrastructure would incorporate provision for public transport, walking and cycling in addition to car traffic, with an approximate equal space allocation for each of these modes. This would help encourage modal shift towards public transport and active travel modes, minimising the additional noise pollution generated by new road infrastructure in the LSMA. | -/0 | Ensure design of new strategic, regional and local roads facilitates the use of electric vehicles (EVs) through measures such as provision for public charging infrastructure. | -/0 |
| Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid | New or improved crossings of Water Framework Directive (WFD) waterbodies will be required in a number of locations, including new crossings of the Lower Shannon and South Ballycannan, which may potentially have negative impacts on WFD objectives for affected waterbodies. | -/0 | Potential impacts water quality and flood risk be considered and mitigated through EIA and Water Framework Directive (WFD) assessment process down the line. Use of Sustainable Urban Drainage (SuDS) principles in design of new infrastructure. Site new infrastructure outside areas of pluvial flood risk. | -/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| increasing risks from floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk. | Measures that aim to reduce the number of private vehicles utilising the road network within the central areas of major settlements (e.g. PnRs, Mobility Hubs, Workplace Parking Levy, car free or low car standards for new development within 800m walking catchment of Limerick City centre) may contribute towards a reduction in waterbody pollution associated with highway run-off in these areas. Construction of new large-scale areas of hardstanding to form new PnRs and Mobility Hubs may negatively contribute to flood risk, depending on location and construction methodology. | | | |
| Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | Measures which aim to better manage the existing road network to protect the function of the strategic road network and reallocate existing road space for use by public transport and active travel modes seek to minimise the requirement for additional land take and reuse existing infrastructure as far as possible to support increased demand within the LSMA. However, the new road infrastructure proposed or under consideration (e.g. the N18/N19 junction improvements, M/N20 Cork to Limerick Roads, the short section of the N69 Limerick to Foynes scheme within the LSMA) will require a degree of land take. Measures aimed at reducing the use of private vehicles within larger settlements such as PnRs, Mobility Hubs and car free or low car standards for new development proposals will contribute towards a reduction in fossil fuel consumption within the LSMA. Indicative locations of PnRs show locations within greenfield sites, and some | +/- | Locate new infrastructure such as PnRs and mobility hubs on brownfield sites where practicable | +/- |
| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Minimise contributions to | relatively small scale loss of agricultural land is considered likely. Planned new road schemes would be required to demonstrate that lower carbon alternatives are either | | Ensure design of new strategic, regional and local roads | |
| climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks. Contribute to the reduction in transport related GHG emissions through modal changes or new technologies. | not applicable or not appropriate. Planned new regional and local road infrastructure would incorporate provision for public transport, walking and cycling in addition to car traffic, with an approximate equal space allocation for each of these modes. Measures designed to support modal shift towards low carbon transport modes such as public transport and walking/cycling will help minimise greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with the transport sector in the LSMA. New infrastructure required to support PnRs and Mobility Hubs will result in carbon emissions during construction (both embodied and associated with construction plant and traffic) and operation (lighting, heating, electric gates etc). | -/0 | measures such as provision for public charging infrastructure. Ensure that construction methodology for new infrastructure is undertaken with consideration for the European Union (EU) waste hierarchy and in line with relevant Irish Green Building Council (IGBC) guidance. | -/0 |
| To ensure that the resilience to climate change is designed for existing transport network and new network and promote improved environmental | New and improved highway infrastructure and new built infrastructure required to support the provision of PnRs and Mobility Hubs is vulnerable to future climate change, including changes in air temperature, precipitation rates, wind speeds and fluvial/pluvial flood risk. | ? | Ensure that design of new infrastructure reflects likely worst case climate projections in terms of resilience to wind speeds, precipitation, flood risk and increases in air temperature over the full design life of the infrastructure. | +/0 |

Final Limerick-Shannon Metropolitan Transport Strategy: Environmental Report

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| resilience to climate change. | | | | |

B.7 Freight Assessment Matrix

LSMATS Measure FDS1 HGV Restrictions

Identify specific lorry routes and/or time restrictions; to reduce peak-time HGV movements through Limerick City and neighbourhoods;

LSMATS Measure FDS2 Local Freight Management

Examine the feasibility of consolidation centres and break-bulk facilities outside of the National Road network in the medium-term, to facilitate smaller vehicles delivering to Limerick City Centre.

Jacobs

LSMATS Measure FDS3 Rail Freight

Investigate the feasibility of rail freight from the Port of Foynes to Limerick and further afield over the lifetime of the Strategy.

LSMATS Measure FDS4 Regional Freight Strategy

Support the development of a Regional Freight Strategy to accelerate the decarbonisation of the freight sector, integrate smart technologies in logistics management and reinforce the important role that the strategic rail and road network play in efficiently moving freight; and

Facilitate the transition to zero-emission delivery vehicles such as cargo bikes, solar powered and electric vehicles.

LSMATS Measure FDS5 Delivery and Servicing Strategy

Reduce the amount of "last mile trips" being made by motorised vehicles;

Facilitate the transition to zero-emission delivery vehicles such as cargo bikes, solar powered and electric vehicles; and

Support local "Click and Collect" facilities where appropriate to minimise trips to individual homes and workplaces.

Key measures identified:

Implementation of HGV restrictions within the area bounded by N18/M7 South Ring Road;

Mobility management planning at key freight locations;

Support investigation into feasibility of rail freight, linking Tier 1 Ports of Cork, Rosslare and Foynes;

Support development of Regional Freight Strategy to accelerate decarbonisation within this sector; and

Measures to manage future increases in demand for personal delivery and waste management services such as (i) micro consolidation centres, (ii) use of low noise vehicles (e.g. electric vehicles), (iii) feasibility of using smaller and non-motorised vehicles for delivery and servicing, (iv) minimising empty return trips, (v) supporting the placement of local Click and Collect facilities at rail stations, new residential developments and Park and Ride facilities.

Assumptions and limitations

Feasibility and deliverability of new rail freight links between Tier 1 ports;

Feasibility of using low emission vehicles or non-motorised vehicles to replace a proportion of freight movements currently undertaken by HGV; and

Locations, routes and spatial extents of new infrastructure required to implement measures are not known.

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | Measures to limit and regulate the number of HGV movements within the central area of Limerick City would improve the accessibility and amenity of local routes for walkers and cyclists and alleviate congestion. These measures would also contribute positively to air quality by reducing particulate matter (PM) and nitrogen oxides (NO _x) emissions from road transport, supporting health outcomes in this densely populated area. However, whilst the overall economic impact of such measures is anticipated to be positive, there may be disproportionate negative impacts on certain business sectors (for example small retail businesses), and therefore indirectly also on certain vulnerable or social groups. | +/- | Undertake Equalities Impact Assessment for HGV restriction measures and measures to promote fright decarbonisation and implement mitigation measures as identified. | 0/+ |
| Avoid damage to recreation and amenity facilities through construction of new transport infrastructure and support and enhance access for tourism recreation. | Measures to limit and regulate the number of HGV movements within the Limerick City would help improve amenity of the central area. | 0 | None identified. | 0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Prevent damage to, maintain and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | Provision of new rail infrastructure and smaller scale infrastructure required to support freight consolidation such as Construction Logistics Centres (CLCs) and micro- consolidation centres has potential to result in loss of habitats and disturbance/mortality of wildlife, including protected species. Measures to decarbonise the freight industry would help reduce PM, NO _x and sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) emissions from road transport, with beneficial impacts. | +/- | Potential impacts on habitats and wildlife as a result of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. | +/- |
| Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | Potential for temporary and permanent impacts on townscapes and landscapes as a result of new infrastructure required to support road freight consolidation and rail freight links between the Tier 1 ports of Cork, Rosslare and Foynes. | +/- | Sensitive design of new infrastructure, in collaboration with local councils, to ensure that existing landscape and townscape character is maintained and where practicable enhanced. Potential impacts on landscape and townscape as a result of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. | +/- |
| Avoid damage to, maintain, and where appropriate enhance, cultural heritage resources and their setting. | Potential for temporary and permanent impacts on built heritage assets and known and unknown archaeological remains as a result of new infrastructure required to support road freight consolidation and, in particular, any new stretches of rail infrastructure constructed between the Tier 1 ports of Cork, Rosslare and Foynes. | 0/- | Design of new infrastructure to be sensitive to the presence of known heritage assets. Potential impacts on known and unknown heritage as a result of construction of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated. through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. | 0 |
| Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of soil resources and contribute | Provision of new rail links between Tier 1 Ports and also smaller scale infrastructure required to support freight consolidation such as Construction Logistics Centres | 0/- | Ensure new infrastructure does not conflict with IGHS sites. Ensure appropriate site specific geotechnical and contaminated land risk | 0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| towards the appropriate management of soil resources and quality. | (CLCs) and micro-consolidation centres would likely require a degree of loss and/or sterilisation of soil resources and may disturb areas of ground contamination. | | assessments are undertaken and any remediation recommendations adhered to. Potential impacts on landscape and townscape as a result of new infrastructure to be considered and mitigated through Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process down the line. | |
| Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in air pollution. | Support for and/or implementation of measures which are likely to reduce the volumes of HGVs and other motorised vehicles utilising conventional fuel sources travelling within the central area of Limerick City could potentially lead to improvements in air quality through reducing in transport related emissions of air pollutants such as particulate matter and nitrogen oxides. | +/- | Whilst it is anticipated that measures would have a beneficial impact on air quality, the appropriate environmental assessment work must be undertaken to ensure that measures do not have a significant adverse effect on receptors sensitive to air quality outside of Limerick City by concentrating HGV movements within specific areas. | +/0 |
| Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in noise pollution. | Support for and/or implementation of measures which are likely to reduce the volumes of HGVs and other motorised vehicles within Limerick could potentially lead to a reduction in noise emissions associated with road transport. | +/- | Whilst it is anticipated that measures would have a beneficial impact on noise, the appropriate environmental assessment work must be undertaken to ensure that measures do not have a significant adverse effect on receptors sensitive to noise outside of Limerick City by concentrating HGV movements within specific areas. | +/0 |
| Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid increasing risks from | Support for and/or implementation of measures which are likely to reduce the volumes of HGVs and other motorised vehicles utilising conventional fuel sources within Limerick and surrounding areas and encourage modal shift towards walking and cycling within the central | +/0 | None identified. | +/0 |

| SEO | Description of impact | Potential effect (pre- mitigation) | Suggested mitigation and/or enhancement opportunities | Residual effect (post- mitigation) |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk | area of Limerick City could potentially reduce water pollution associated with highway runoff. | | | |
| Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | Support for and/or implementation of measures which are likely to reduce the volumes of HGVs and other motorised vehicles using conventional fuel sources would help reduce consumption of fossil fuels. | +/0 | Make use of existing infrastructure as far as practicable to facilitate potential new rail links between Tier 1 ports. | +/0 |
| Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks. Contribute to the reduction in transport related GHG emissions through modal changes or new technologies. | Support for and/or implementation of measures which are likely to reduce the volumes of HGVs and other motorised vehicles using conventional fuel sources could help reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with road transport in Ireland. Measures to minimise and/or regulate HGV movements within the central area of Limerick City would also help encourage a modal shift towards walking and cycling, further contributing to a reduction in GHG emissions. | +/0 | None identified. | +/0 |
| To ensure that the resilience to climate change is designed for existing transport network and new network and promote improved environmental resilience to climate change. | Any new built infrastructure required to support freight consolidation and rail freight links between the Tier 1 ports of Cork, Rosslare and Foynes is vulnerable to future climate change, including changes in air temperature, precipitation rates and wind speeds. | ? | Ensure that design of new infrastructure reflects likely worst case climate projections in terms of resilience to wind speeds, precipitation, flood risk and increases in air temperature over the full design life of the infrastructure. | +/0 |

Appendix C. Summary Assessment Matrices

Table D1 Likely significant effects of the draft LSMATS in the absence of SEA mitigation

| SEC |) | Strategy El | lement | | | | | | | | Summary |
|-----|--|-------------|---------|------|---------|----------------------|---------|--|------------------------------------|---|------------|
| | | BusConnects | Cycling | Rail | Walking | Roads and Parking | Freight | Land use, regeneration and schools | Urban design and placemaking | Supporting measures and integration | Assessment |
| 1 | Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | +/0 | + | +/- | + | +/- | +/- | +/0 | +/0 | +/0 | +/0 |
| 2 | Avoid damage to recreation and amenity facilities through construction of new transport infrastructure and support and enhance access for tourism recreation. | 0 | +/- | 0 | + | -/0 | 0 | +/0 | -/0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Prevent damage to, and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | +/0 | +/- | -/0 | +/- | - | +/- | +/0 | 0 | 0 | +/0 |
| 4 | Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | 0 | 0 | -/0 | +/- | +/- | +/- | +/0 | -/0 | 0 | +/- |



| SEC |) | Strategy E | lement | | | | | | | | Summary |
|-----|---|-------------|---------|------|---------|----------------------|---------|--|------------------------------------|---|------------|
| | | BusConnects | Cycling | Rail | Walking | Roads and Parking | Freight | Land use, regeneration and schools | Urban design and placemaking | Supporting measures and integration | Assessment |
| 5 | Avoid damage to, maintain, and where appropriate enhance, cultural heritage resources and their setting. | -/0 | -/0 | -/0 | +/- | -/0 | 0/- | +/0 | 0/+ | 0 | 0/- |
| 6 | Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of soil resources and contribute towards the appropriate management of soil resources and quality. | -/0 | -/0 | - | 0/- | -/0 | 0/- | +/0 | 0 | 0 | 0/- |
| 7 | Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in air pollution. | +/0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | -/0 | +/- | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | +/- |
| 8 | Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in noise pollution. | +/0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | -/0 | +/- | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | 0/+ |
| 9 | Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid increasing risks from floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk. | -/0 | +/- | -/0 | 0/+ | -/0 | +/0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -/0 |

strategy: Environmental Report

| SEC | | Strategy E | lement | | | | | | | | Summary |
|-----|---|-------------|---------|------|---------|----------------------|---------|--|------------------------------------|---|------------|
| | | BusConnects | Cycling | Rail | Walking | Roads and Parking | Freight | Land use, regeneration and schools | Urban design and placemaking | Supporting measures and integration | Assessment |
| 10 | Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | +/0 | +/0 | +/0 | + | +/- | +/0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | +/0 |
| 11 | Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks. Contribute to the reduction in transport related GHG emissions through modal changes or new technologies. | +/0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | -/0 | +/0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | +/0 |
| 12 | To ensure that the resilience to climate change is designed for existing transport network and new network and promote improved environmental resilience to climate change. | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? |

Final Limerick-Shannon Metropolitan Transport Strategy: Environmental Report



Table D2 Residual (post SEA mitigation) effects of the draft LSMATS

| SEC |) | Strategy E | lement | | | | | | | | Summary |
|-----|--|-------------|---------|------|---------|----------------------|---------|--|------------------------------------|---|------------|
| | | BusConnects | Cycling | Rail | Walking | Roads and Parking | Freight | Land use, regeneration and schools | Urban design and placemaking | Supporting measures and integration | Assessment |
| 1 | Protect and enhance quality of life in relation to transport while increasing accessibility to economic, employment and community facilities. | +/0 | + | +/0 | + | +/- | 0/+ | +/0 | + | +/0 | +/0 |
| 2 | Avoid damage to recreation and amenity facilities through construction of new transport infrastructure and support and enhance access for tourism recreation. | +/0 | +/- | +/0 | + | -/0 | 0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0 | +/0 |
| 3 | Prevent damage to, and where appropriate enhance, terrestrial, aquatic and soil biodiversity, particularly EU and national designated sites and protected species. | +/0 | +/- | -/0 | +/0 | -/0 | +/- | +/0 | 0 | 0 | +/0 |
| 4 | Safeguard the character and diversity of the Irish landscape and minimise the visual effects on sensitive, designated landscapes and public views. | 0 | +/0 | -/0 | +/0 | -/0 | +/- | +/0 | 0/+ | 0 | +/- |
| 5 | Avoid damage to, maintain, and where appropriate enhance, cultural heritage resources and their setting. | 0 | 0 | -/0 | +/0 | -/0 | 0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0 | 0 |



| SEO | | Strategy E | lement | | | | | | | | Summary |
|-----|--|-------------|---------|------|---------|----------------------|---------|--|------------------------------------|---|------------|
| | | BusConnects | Cycling | Rail | Walking | Roads and Parking | Freight | Land use, regeneration and schools | Urban design and placemaking | Supporting measures and integration | Assessment |
| 6 | Avoid conflicts with geological sites of value. Minimise loss of soil resources and contribute towards the appropriate management of soil resources and quality. | 0 | 0 | -/0 | 0 | -/0 | 0 | +/0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Contribute to the mitigation of air pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in air pollution. | +/0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | -/0 | +/0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | +/0 |
| 8 | Contribute to the mitigation of noise pollution issues as a result of transport and optimise potential benefits from reduction in noise pollution. | +/0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | -/0 | +/0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | +/0 |
| 9 | Prevent deterioration of the water quality status of surface water and groundwater bodies as appropriate to the WFD and avoid increasing risks from floods or increasing vulnerability to flood risk. | 0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | 0/+ | -/0 | +/0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | +/0 |
| 10 | Promote the sustainable use of natural resources (including land), encourage energy efficiency, reuse, recycling while | +/0 | +/0 | +/0 | + | +/- | +/0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | +/0 |

| SEC |) | Strategy El | lement | | | | | | | | Summary |
|-----|---|-------------|---------|------|---------|----------------------|---------|--|------------------------------------|---|------------|
| | | BusConnects | Cycling | Rail | Walking | Roads and Parking | Freight | Land use, regeneration and schools | Urban design and placemaking | Supporting measures and integration | ASSESSMENT |
| | encouraging the effective use of existing infrastructure. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Minimise contributions to climate change (including greenhouse gas emissions) from construction of new/upgraded transport infrastructure or operation of existing and new transport networks. Contribute to the reduction in transport related GHG emissions through modal changes or new technologies. | +/0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | -/0 | +/0 | +/0 | 0/+ | 0/+ | +/0 |
| 12 | To ensure that the resilience to climate change is designed for existing transport network and new network and promote improved environmental resilience to climate change. | +/0 | +/0 | +/0 | +/0 | +/0 | +/0 | 0 | 0/+ | 0 | +/0 |